

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

Deciphering urban traffic impacts on air quality by deep learning and emission inventory

Wenjie Du^{1,2,**}, Lianliang Chen^{3,4,**}, Haoran Wang^{1,2}, Ziyang Shan^{1,2},
Zhengyang Zhou^{2,4}, Wenwei Li^{5,6}, Yang Wang^{1,4,*}

¹School of Software Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

²Suzhou Institute for Advanced Research, University of Science and Technology of China, Suzhou 215123, China

³Alibaba Inc., Hangzhou 310052, China

⁴School of Computer Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

⁵CAS Key Laboratory of Urban Pollutant Conversion, Department of environmental science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

⁶USTC-CityU Joint Advanced Research Center, Suzhou 215123, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 August 2021

Revised 27 November 2021

Accepted 19 December 2021

Available online 4 January 2022

Keywords:

PM_{2.5} concentration forecast

Traffic emissions

Deep learning

Attention mechanism

New energy vehicles

ABSTRACT

Air pollution is a major obstacle to future sustainability, and traffic pollution has become a large drag on the sustainable developments of future metropolises. Here, combined with the large volume of real-time monitoring data, we propose a deep learning model, iDeepAir, to predict surface-level PM_{2.5} concentration in Shanghai megacity and link with MEIC emission inventory creatively to decipher urban traffic impacts on air quality. Our model exhibits high-fidelity in reproducing pollutant concentrations and reduces the MAE from 25.355 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to 12.283 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ compared with other models. And identifies the ranking of major factors, local meteorological conditions have become a nonnegligible factor. Layer-wise relevance propagation (LRP) is used here to enhance the interpretability of the model and we visualize and analyze the reasons for the different correlation between traffic density and PM_{2.5} concentration in various regions of Shanghai. Meanwhile, As the strict and effective industrial emission reduction measurements implementing in China, the contribution of urban traffic to PM_{2.5} formation calculated by combining MEIC emission inventory and LRP is gradually increasing from 18.03% in 2011 to 24.37% in 2017 in Shanghai, and the impact of traffic emissions would be ever-prominent in 2030 according to our prediction. We also infer that the promotion of vehicular electrification would achieve further alleviation of PM_{2.5} about 8.45% by 2030 gradually. These insights are of great significance to provide the decision-making basis for accurate and high-efficient traffic management and urban pollution control, and eventually benefit people's lives and high-quality sustainable developments of cities.

© 2022 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: angyan@ustc.edu.cn (Y. Wang).

** These authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

Introduction

Air pollution is a large obstacle to the world's future sustainable developments, and millions of people die from air pollution-related diseases every year around the world (Zheng et al., 2017). This is seriously severe in some developing countries like China (Lelieveld et al., 2015), which has the highest country-level values globally for the population-weighted annual average concentration of PM_{2.5} (Tichenor and Sridhar, 2019; Zhang et al., 2012) and has been a major public health concern in recent years (Li et al., 2019a). Shanghai, one of the most developed and populous cities in China, has suffered severe increasing haze episodes mostly attributed to the severe particle pollution especially fine particles (particles $\leq 2.5\mu\text{m}$ in aerodynamic diameter; PM_{2.5}) (Han et al., 2020) since 1990s with the rapid urbanization and industrialization (Wang et al., 2015). Under these circumstances, air pollution-related diseases have emerged gradually, such as respiratory diseases in the elderly and preterm birth and low birth weight for birth when maternal exposure to PM_{2.5} in Shanghai (Li et al., 2019a; Liu et al., 2017).

Going further, the total number of vehicles in China has exceeded 200 million in 2019 and increases by more than 20 million annually in the urban. Vehicular traffic is a principal source of air pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO) and carbonaceous particles (Zhang and Batterman, 2010). Traffic emission has become one of the important factors affecting air quality due to the extensive motor vehicles in China (Yan et al., 2020). Meanwhile, some secondary pollutants discharged like O₃, SO₂ and a major portion of PM_{2.5} are generally diverse in different regions and time (Kroll et al., 2020). When they involve different changes or conditions, they could promote or alleviate the formation of PM_{2.5} in varying degrees such as high relative humidity promoting the formation of PM_{2.5} (Benas et al., 2013), higher temperature enhancing the photochemical reaction in the atmosphere (Dumka et al., 2015), wind contributing greatly to diffuse particulate matter (Xiao et al., 2011), which makes it difficult to trace and analyze the causes of local air pollution and the major drivers (Le et al., 2020a) in a specific area. Generally speaking, atmospheric chemical reactions serve as essential nonlinear links between traffic emissions and atmospheric composition (Yang et al., 2021a; Zhu et al., 2021). Meanwhile, local meteorological factors, for instance, air temperature, wind-field (Zhou et al., 2021), humidity, aerosol (Zang et al., 2021) and so on also strongly regulate photochemical formation of ozone and PM (Le et al., 2020b; Wu et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021a). Here, we disentangle the complex factors involving emissions inventory, transport emission, and meteorological conditions to evaluate the effect of different factors on air quality in urban area by deep-learning.

To disentangle the complex factors, we focus on the issue of pollution tracing by deep learning. Relatively speaking, traditional source apportionment (SA) methods which mainly based on receptor-oriented model and source-oriented model (Huang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017), forecast the multi-scale various pollutions in the atmosphere using multi-model integrated prediction technology (Zhu et al., 2021). Traditional offline SA methods have to use offline filter mem-

brane sampling of PM so that they can't resolve hourly variation patterns of each chemical component, and lack the capability to characterize the rapid change of pollution sources and capture the evolution of meteorological and photochemical processes (Wang et al., 2021). With the development of multiple online observation techniques as time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (ToF-AMS) (Capes et al., 2008), Quadrupole AMS (Xiao et al., 2009). Online SA of particulate matter now can be realized with high temporal resolution, stable and reliable continuous observation data on particle compositions for example the basic chemical mass balance (CMB) model (Fujita et al., 1994), positive matrix factorization (PMF) (Cesari et al., 2016), WALSPMF model which combines an eigenvalue-based method and weighted alternating least squares (WALS) process (Shi et al., 2016). However, the data-driven based data analysis method has more flexibility in leveraging real-world data and could better fit nonlinear relationship (Yang et al., 2021a; Zhu et al., 2021) which has been considered as a new perspective to conduct environment-related research in recent years (Alfaseeh et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020) since this technology is able to simulate complex pollution formation mechanisms by focusing on data itself.

Some researchers think that deep learning is suitable for analyzing air pollution (Hino et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2020), such as PM_{2.5} concentration prediction via interpretable convolutional neural networks (Park et al., 2020; Zhou, Zhang, Du and Liu, 2021), assessing traffic impacts by random forest (Yang et al., 2021a), air quality prediction in an image-based deep learning model (Zhang et al., 2020) and constructing spatiotemporally coherent long-term PM_{2.5} concentration using a machine learning approach (Li et al., 2021). Actually, deep learning technologies has demonstrated its strong ability and applied in multiple fields including intelligent driving, intelligent medical (Li et al., 2019b; Lindsey et al., 2018), life science studies (Anonymous, 2019; Ham et al., 2019).

In order to enhance the interpretability of the model, Layer-wise relevance propagation is used here. LRP (Bach et al., 2015), a method for explaining the predictions of a broad class of machine learning models, has been widely applied and well verified in many scenarios, such as machine translation, emotion analysis and text classification text classification (Arras et al., 2017; Ding et al., 2017). According to the contribution of neurons in the former layer to the latter layer, all relevant values in the latter layer are allocated to the former layer and pushed back to the input layer. In the process of pushing back, this follows the conservation principle (Lapuschkin et al., 2019).

In this article, we propose a novel deep learning network, iDeepAir, to decipher the impact of urban traffic on air quality by combining the multi-source real-time data such as traffic information, in situ surface-level pollutant concentrations and meteorology. We assess the sensitivity of PM_{2.5} in Shanghai to traffic emission changes at different stages by comparing predicted concentrations under different traffic emission scenarios. Then, we utilize iDeepAir model to account for the nonlinear interactions among different input parameters to fit the complex chemical reaction and temporal accumulation procedure of PM_{2.5} formation. Furthermore, with the embedded LRP algorithm (Bach et al., 2015; Lapuschkin et al., 2019), the contribution of each pollutant on the formation

Table 1 – Composition of the dataset and the related statistics.

| Data feature | Variable | Mean | Std. | Min. | Max. | Time interval |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------|------|--------|---------------|
| Traffic data | TSI | 28.39 | 9.13 | 5.83 | 50.64 | 2 min |
| Air quality | PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 55.56 | 42.01 | 4 | 356.67 | 60 min |
| | PM ₁₀ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 78.97 | 51.64 | 4.78 | 386.44 | |
| | O ₃ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 64.33 | 38.5 | 5.11 | 271 | |
| | SO ₂ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 20.14 | 13.91 | 6 | 125.56 | |
| | NO ₂ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 49.19 | 26.63 | 3.78 | 173.89 | |
| | CO ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 0.89 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 2.96 | |
| Meteorological data | Weather conditions ^a | 2.87 | 4.49 | 0 | 15 | 30 min |
| | Dew point | | | | | |
| | temperature (°C) | 9.04 | 9.48 | -17 | 27 | |
| | Humidity (%) | 69.56 | 18.19 | 14 | 100 | |
| | Pressure (kPa) | 1019.23 | 8.36 | 994 | 1037 | |
| | Temperature (°C) | 15.12 | 8.27 | -3 | 37 | |
| | Wind direction ^b | 3.84 | 2.59 | 0 | 8 | |
| | Wind speed(m/sec) | 13.95 | 6.53 | 3.6 | 43.2 | |
| | Air quality ^c | 2.02 | 1.02 | 1 | 6 | |
| | Time period | From 2014/8/11 to 2015/4/30 | | | | |

a. The weather conditions has 16 features (sunny, overcast, sunny to cloudy, fog, sleet, thunder shower, light rain, heavy rain, moderate rain, rainstorm, heavy snow, light snow, moderate snow, rain, hail, cloudy), which are encoded into numerical variable [0,15].

b. The wind direction has 9 directions (no wind, north wind, west wind, east wind, south wind wind, northwest wind, northeast wind, etc.), which are encoded into numerical variable [0,8].

c. The air quality has six levels (I, II, III, IV, V, VI). The higher the index, the more serious the air pollution is, which are encoded into numerical variable [1, 6].

of PM_{2.5} can be quantified clearly and separately which enhance the interpretability of the model. Moreover, to quantify the contribution of anthropogenic emissions to PM_{2.5} development for each year from 2010 to 2017 we couple the MEIC emission inventories with the iDeepAir. And finally, considering the development of new energy transportation policy and traffic emissions in the future, we assess the possible benefits of future traffic evolution on PM_{2.5} reductions and derive some potential impacts of new energy transportation policy in 2030.

1. Material and methods

1.1. Study area and datasets

With development of environmental monitoring technology, we can obtain a large number of historical monitoring data for academic research. The iDeepAir model based on observation values connects multiple feature time series data to predict PM_{2.5} concentration. The study focuses on Shanghai region, which is one of the most developed area in China including 16 districts with different terrain and population density. The location information of monitoring points and road network are present in Fig. 1.

The dataset used in this research consists of four parts: transportation-related data, air quality data, meteorological data and pollutant emission load related data. The detailed composition of the dataset and related statistical results are presented in Table 1. (1) Transportation-related data. This dataset includes two parts: Total numbers of both petrol and new energy vehicles and Traffic State Indexes (TSIs). To-

tal numbers of petrol and new energy vehicles, which are from Shanghai Statistical Yearbook (<http://tj.sh.gov.cn>) and Shanghai Traffic Comprehensive Annual Report. TSIs, which can be obtained from Shanghai Traffic Information Platform (<http://www.jtcx.sh.cn>), includes 68 traffic state indexes reflecting the real-time traffic status in different regions of the city. Regarding one specific region, this index is calculated with real-time road traffic status that is collected from intraregional road segments every 2 minutes (Text S1). (2) Air quality data. This data, which contains the hourly average monitored air quality data of Shanghai, is collected from the Real-time Air Quality Reporting System (<http://219.233.250.38:8087/AQI/siteAQI.aspx>). The detailed elements of monitored data include Time, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, O₃, SO₂, NO₂, CO, and all contaminant concentrations are recorded in micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). (3) Meteorological data. The meteorological data of Shanghai is collected from the platform of Weather Underground, by taking the Shanghai Hongqiao international Airport Monitoring Station as the reference point (<https://www.wunderground.com/weather/ZSSS>). The detailed categories of each meteorological record are shown in Table 1 and the sampling interval of meteorological data is 30 minutes. (4) Pollutant emission load related data. The annual total pollutant emission loads from the sectors of industry, resident, and transportation can be found from MEIC emission inventory (Liu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2021; Peng et al., 2019; Tong et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2014).

Transportation-related data, air quality data and meteorological data at one-hour interval are picked out, with a total of 5969 records. The datasets are divided from August 1, 2014 to 13:00 on March 7, 2015 into training set (80%), and from 14:00 on March 7, 2015 to 23:00 on April 30, 2015 into test set (20%).




Fig. 1 – (a) Spatial distribution of monitoring stations and road traffic network in Shanghai, (b) distribution of monitoring stations in urban center area of Shanghai City.

1.2. *iDeepAir* architecture

The *iDeepAir* adopts an encoder-decoder architecture, which consists of three kinds of modules: Traffic Fusion Module (TFM), Feature Interaction Module (FIM) and Time Interaction Module (TIM) (Fig. 2). (a) Traffic Fusion Module. We employ an independent TFM to exploit the spatial dependence between the road traffic status and air pollution with its multiple embedded convolutional layers, and the results are combined with geographic information to present the spatial distribution of the dependencies within traffic status and air pollution. (b) Feature Interaction Module. In this module, we simulate the complex chemical reactions between different contaminants by employing the Multi-Head Attention structure (Vaswani et al., 2017) to achieve the interactions between different features. Considering that not all of these features can contribute to the prediction of air pollution, we then introduce traditional attention mechanism into this module to highlight key features. By combining the self-attention and attention mechanism, this module can not only enable the interactions between different features, but also can address sequential forecasting problems with long-term dependency.

Besides, this can achieve higher accuracy forecasting. (c) Time Interaction Module. We use Temporal Convolutional Network (TCN) to capture the long-term and deep interactions between pollutant ingredients in the temporal dimension and simulate the pollutant accumulation processes over time in chemical reactions with the inputs of fine-grained meteorological records and learned feature interactions, and finally generate the sequence of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in next 24 hours (Text S3). TCN contains three sub-structures: causal convolution, dilation convolution and residual connection (Text S3).

1.3. *iDeepAir* training algorithm

We implement and train the *iDeepAir* network with the deep learning toolkits Keras (version 2.2.4) and Tensorflow (version 1.10.0) in Python (version 3.6.6). The training process is performed on Tesla V100-PCIE GPU, running under the CentOS Linux 7 server. During the training phase, the batch size is 128 and the learning rate is 0.001. Adam optimizer is adopted and the objective loss function is formulated as follows:

$$\text{Loss} = \|\hat{y} - y\|_2^2$$




Fig. 2 – Overview of iDeepAir architecture and three important modules. (a) Overall hierarchical structure of iDeepAir, (b) Traffic Fusion Module (TFM), (c) Feature Interaction Module (FIM), and (d) Time Interaction Module (TIM).

where, \hat{y} and y are the predicted vector and the ground truth vector respectively.

1.4. Evaluation methods

We use the mean absolute error (MAE), and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) as the measurement to evaluate the prediction performance of our proposed iDeepAir. Given a test set $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$, the MAE and RMSE is as follows:

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{y}_i - y_i|$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{y}_i - y_i|^2}$$

here, $\hat{Y} = \{\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \dots, \hat{y}_n\}$ is the set of the predicted value.

1.5. Layer-wise relevance propagation

Regarding a deep learning neural network, the input vector can be denoted as $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, and the prediction result of the network is $f(V)$, LRP produces a decomposition $R = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ of that prediction on the input variables satisfying:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i = f(V)$$

The LRP method is based on a backward propagation mechanism applying uniformly to all neurons in the network. By employing the LRP method on our iDeepAir network, we then obtain the relevance between all 5 pollutants and the output, and denote these as $\{r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}$.

1.6. MEIC emission inventory

The emission loads of SO_2 , NO_x , CO , PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$ from power, industrial, residential and transportation sectors can be directly obtained from MEIC-v1.3 emission inventory (Li et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2018).

1.7. Quantifying contribution of emission sources

Assuming c_i is the contribution of the i -th emission source (Here $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$), and we have

$$c_i = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^4 p_i^k r_k}{\sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{k=0}^4 p_i^k r_k}$$

Here p_i^k is the value of k -th pollutant component discharged by the i -th emission source from the emission inventories.

1.8. Prediction of future contribution of traffic emissions

Based on the total numbers of petrol vehicles in Shanghai from 2010 to 2019, we first learn the growth regularity of the total number of urban petrol vehicles with regression analysis, and finally we selected the correlation with higher R^2 to predict the number of petrol and new energy vehicles in the next decade. Actually, the number of petrol vehicles tend to the linear incremental relationship (Song et al., 2020), while the quantity of new energy vehicles show a quadratic growth in Shanghai (Natural resources defense council, 2016) which might be due to the improvement of Chinese people’s awareness of environmental protection and the influence of policy instruments (Li and Liu, 2016). The regression equation can be

written as (Fig.S1):

$$v_{\text{year}}^p = 26.569 \times (\text{year} - 2009) + 111.81$$

where, variable year indicates the year for prediction, and v_{year}^p corresponds to the predicted number of petrol vehicles in the year for prediction. Also, we can predict the number of Electrified vehicles in Shanghai based on regression analysis on the historical numbers of new energy vehicles during 2013-2019, the regression equation is (Fig. S2):

$$v_{\text{year}}^n = 0.4634 \times (\text{year} - 2012)^2 + 1.162 \times (\text{year} - 2012) - 2.0102$$

where, variable year also means the year for prediction, and v_{year}^n is the predicted number of new energy vehicles in the year for prediction. Then, quantitative method for the analysis is used here to estimate the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ emissions by gasoline vehicle (Alimujiang and Jiang, 2020):

$$Q_i = \sum (N_i \times L_i \times EF_i)$$

Here, $Q_{i,h}$ means the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ emissions by traditional petrol vehicles, while i represents the vehicle type. N_i , L_i , and EF_i represent the number of vehicle ownership, annual average driving distance and Emission factor of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. Meanwhile, pure electric vehicles mainly rely on electricity, we take the electric power transmission loss and power consumption by new energy vehicles into consideration. The detailed description of research method is given as follows (Alimujiang and Jiang, 2020):

$$PE_i = \sum (N_i \times S_i \times L_i \times EV)/(1 - k)$$

$$\Delta R_i = Q_i - PE_i$$

Here, PE_i represents the pollutant emissions in the process of power generation. N_i , L_i , S_i , and EV represent the number of new energy vehicle ownership, annual average driving distance, 100-kilometer energy consumption (kWh/100 km) and Emission factor of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ by power production. k refers to the electric power transmission loss rate about 6% (National Energy Administration, 2017). ΔR_i refers to the emission reduction of electric vehicles. We assume that the proportion of various vehicle types will remain unchanged in the future (Alimujiang and Jiang, 2020). The calculated parameters are displayed in Table S1 in detail.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Evaluations of iDeepAir on $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ predictions

In this subsection, the performance of iDeepAir on simulating the dynamic spatiotemporal generation and evolution processes of urban air pollution can be evaluated by measuring its accuracy on future $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration prediction (Fig. 3a). Besides, we compared our model with several alternative neural networks for sequence forecasting including ARIMA (Autoregressive integrated moving average (Box and Pierce, 1970)),

GBDT (Gradient Boosting Regression Tree (Friedman, 2001)) and emerging deep learning based methods including LSTM (Long-short-term-memory network(Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997)), GRU (Gated Recurrent Unit(Cho et al., 2014)), Seq2seq (Sequence to sequence (Sutskever et al., 2014)), DA-RNN (Dual-stage Attention-based Recurrent Neural network(Yao et al., 2017)), ADAIN (Neural Attention Model for Urban Air Quality Inference (Cheng et al., 2018)), Geo-MAN (Multi-level-attention-based RNN Model for Time Series Prediction(Liang et al., 2018)). These methods use the same dataset, but the input data could be adjusted for different models (Text S2).

The results of models for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration prediction in different time periods (+6 hr, +12hr, +24hr, +48 hr) are presented in Table 2. The best results are marked in bold. Obviously, the results demonstrate that our iDeepAir outperforms other alternative solutions in each prediction task, particularly in short-term predictions, iDeepAir has a great prediction effect with the least MAR and RMSE. Totally, these models tend to have greater inaccuracies in long-term prediction (+24 hr, +48 hr) but iDeepAir has a better prediction accuracy compared with other baselines. Specifically, compared to the baseline of ARIMA, iDeepAir can reduce the MAE from 25.355 to 12.283 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Table 2 and Fig. 3b). Besides, we further discover that those hierarchical structured networks such as seq2seq, DA-RNN, ADAIN, and Geo-MAN, can significantly surpass those non-hierarchical structured networks, and this explains the superiority of our hierarchical iDeepAir which is carefully designed based on the prior knowledge of the dynamic formation process of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (firstly the vehicle exhaust is discharged into the atmosphere to affect the pollutant concentration data of the atmosphere, and then the generation of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ is enhanced or offset under different meteorological conditions). To verify the effectiveness of each module and the robustness of the model, we conduct a series of ablation studies by removing and replacing each module of the integrated iDeepAir framework. It can be observed that existed modules can effectively improve the performance of the integrated model independently. These experiments verify the predictive ability and robustness of the algorithm under different time lengths, and reflects the practicality and stability (Fig. 3c).

In terms of verifying the accuracy of future $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration prediction, iDeepAir has a distinct advantage with high fidelity. In addition, an important output of iDeepAir is a ranking of the contributions of single parameter to the prediction in LRP method (take the 24-hour prediction results as an example) (Bach et al., 2015) (Fig. 3d). For $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, the five major governing factors are air quality, wind direction, weather conditions, pressure and wind speed which are mainly some meteorological factors. Secondary pollutants like O_3 , and NO_2 and traffic emission are also important precursors of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (Wang et al., 2015) which are also important influence factors. Some open source such as urban dust, soil dust, and cement dust could directly affect the air quality, and then they remain in the air or are not completely removed, which would still promote or inhibit the formation of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ in the future (Xiong et al., 2016). Besides, the formation of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ is correlated to wind direction and wind speed due to the dilution or aerial migration effect and slightly decreased as pressure




Fig. 3 – Model performance, ablative evaluations and variable contribution for PM_{2.5} prediction. (a) and (b) The iDeepAir Model performance for PM_{2.5} prediction, (c) ablative evaluations of iDeepAir, (d) contribution assessment of variables (DPT: Dew point temperature).

increases because of the change of air pressure will lead to the movement of air flow, which would contribute to the diffusion of pollutants (Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020). As the variation of meteorological conditions, secondary pollutants or precursor gases (e.g. sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and carbonaceous matters) are essential to participate in the generation of PM_{2.5} through photo-chemical reactions forming ozone and biogenic VOC (volatile organic compound) to cause the severe PM_{2.5} pollution in Shanghai megacity (Wang et al., 2015). Furthermore, weather condition is a key factor by changing solar irradiance that is a limiting factor that influences ozone-related photochemistry (Parker et al., 2020; Pusede et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2021b). Such a ranking of influencing factors of PM_{2.5} formation is comparatively consistent with current research (Su et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021a).

2.2. Spatial contribution from crucial domain on air quality

Combined with the spatial location of Shanghai Center (31.118°N-31.381°N, 121.340°E-121.646°E, Fig.1b), we analyze the correlation between traffic flow and PM_{2.5} in the mesh division of 16 × 16 and the its spatial resolution is


about 1.6km × 1.6km. With the embedded Layer-wise Relevance Propagation (LRP) algorithm, the spatial traffic emissions are located and tracked clearly. The overall spatial patterns of urban traffic follow a core-peripheral distribution, and Huangpu district, which lies in the core area of Shanghai, is the most congested district in the city. In addition, there are two minor cores in Baoshan District and Pudong New District respectively (Fig. 4a). Regarding Huangpu district which is highly-developed, the more traffic emissions due to its developed economy and the less diffusion caused by its internal skyscrapers will definitely lead to more serious air pollution.

The spatial correlation between urban traffic and PM_{2.5} concentration is shown with a citywide heat-map in Fig. 4b. As observed, there exist strong positive spatial correlations within traffic patterns and PM_{2.5} concentration (Circled blue and marked A). And most significant correlations are focused on the west side of Huangpu River since this area has more focused traffic flows. Besides, in the central area of the city, there exist two interesting sub-regions (Circled green and marked B) where the traffic flow is relatively high while the correlations are inconspicuous. Through practical field investigations, we discover most of these sub-

Table 2 – The result for hourly prediction values of PM_{2.5} of different models in different time periods.

| Method | +6 hr | | +12 hr | | +24 hr | | +48 hr | |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | RMSE | MAE | RMSE | MAE | RMSE | MAE | RMSE | MAE |
| ARIMA | 36.803 | 25.861 | 34.725 | 24.558 | 34.581 | 24.581 | 34.815 | 25.494 |
| GBDT | 26.755 | 21.556 | 27.067 | 21.860 | 27.205 | 22.182 | 28.261 | 22.780 |
| LSTM | 30.914 | 23.128 | 32.195 | 24.409 | 33.445 | 25.355 | 33.724 | 25.667 |
| GRU | 24.197 | 19.758 | 25.478 | 19.856 | 30.014 | 22.856 | 31.654 | 22.490 |
| Seq2Seq | 19.630 | 14.532 | 25.162 | 19.352 | 28.254 | 22.564 | 28.564 | 21.754 |
| DA-RNN | 20.916 | 16.720 | 26.062 | 21.147 | 27.241 | 21.096 | 31.343 | 23.708 |
| ADAIN | 18.741 | 14.002 | 24.032 | 18.800 | 26.895 | 21.086 | 34.030 | 25.953 |
| Geo-MAN | 20.835 | 15.894 | 31.591 | 23.804 | 24.380 | 18.920 | 32.739 | 25.413 |
| iDeepAir | 15.587 | 12.373 | 18.920 | 14.287 | 21.125 | 12.283 | 25.977 | 19.313 |

ARIMA: Autoregressive integrated moving average; GBDT: Gradient Boosting Regression Tree; LSTM: Long-short-term-memory network; GRU: Gated Recurrent Unit; Seq2seq: Sequence to sequence; DA-RNN: Dual-stage Attention-based Recurrent Neural network; ADAIN: Neural Attention Model for Urban Air Quality Inference; Geo-MAN: Multi-level-attention-based RNN Model for Time Series Prediction.

**Fig. 4 – Spatial distributions of average TSIs and correlations between traffic and PM_{2.5} in Shanghai. (a) Spatial distribution of average TSIs in Shanghai, (b) spatial distribution of correlations between urban traffic and PM_{2.5} Concentration.**

regions are parklands and the heights of most buildings in these two sub-regions are relatively low, and infer that this kind of specific land properties could effectively accelerate the diffusion of air pollution, hence reduce the impacts of traffic emissions on pollution. Furthermore, there exist some particular sections (Circled black and marked C) with conflicting heavy traffic and scarce correlations. Considering the industrial distribution of Shanghai, we believe the correlations between urban traffic and PM_{2.5} concentration have been masked by the dominant industrial emissions (Wang et al., 2014).

2.3. Calculate emission inventory from 2010 to 2017

Based on the released emission loads of different pollutants from anthropogenic sources and the generated electricity in Shanghai from 2010 to 2017, we calculate and reconstruct the emission inventories based on MEIC model for Shanghai for each year from 2010 to 2017 (Fig. S4). During these years, the industrial emissions were always the source with the highest emission load, and the transportation sector was the second-highest emission source. Moreover, the emission loads of the industrial and power sectors decreased signifi-

cantly with the strictest industrial emission limitation measurements ever such as simultaneous desulfurization and denitrification since 2013 as the consequence of clean air actions (Tang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Besides, clean energy transformation process ("replace residential coal use and electricity and natural gas" policy) (Ministry of Ecological Environment, 2020) have obvious benefits for environmental improvement and play an important role in reducing pollutant emissions in residential sector. However, even though strict license plate limitation policy, vehicle exhaust emission standard and improving fuel quality had been employed during these years, the emission load of transportation sector decreased laxly and limitedly since the absolute total number of vehicles increased with great rapidity (The proportion of traffic emissions in anthropogenic emission sources is still rising). Based on the calculated emission inventories, we also discovered that the total emission loads of the key pollutants of CO, NO_x, and SO₂ have been reduced by 54.56%, 66.70%, and 67.69% respectively, and the air pollution limitation measurements that Shanghai had employed were quite effective. Given the unsatisfactory transportation emission reductions, we should increase our efforts in this regard (Fig. 5a).




Fig. 5 – Contribution of anthropogenic emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ formation in Shanghai. (a) $PM_{2.5}$ emission contribution rate of each sector (Transportation, Power, Residential and Industry) calculated by MEIC emission inventory and iDeepAir, (b) overall framework of emission inventory and iDeepAir model to calculate the contribution rate of each sector (Transportation, Power, Residential, Industry) to the generation of $PM_{2.5}$. Various pollutants are considered including $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , O_3 , CO by LRP.

2.4. Quantified contribution of anthropogenic emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ formation

Based on the surveilled air quality data of Shanghai, we decompose the final prediction results in terms of the input features with the embedded LRP algorithm of iDeepAir, and co-operating this learned relevance with the calculated emission inventories, the contribution of anthropogenic emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ formation could be directly and separately calculated (Fig. 5b). As demonstrated, the emission contribution of the industrial and power sectors to $PM_{2.5}$ formation decreased steadily and continuously, and the residential emissions are relatively stable while the contribution of traffic emissions increased independently and smoothly about 6% (Fig. 5a). We believe that the effectiveness of the strictest industrial and power emission limitation measurements has been verified. Given the fact that industry and power will be stick to these limitation measurements chronically, we should definitely take the issue of reducing traffic emissions as the priority in subsequent efforts on sustainably improving urban air quality.

To verify the correctness of our quantified contribution, we compared our results with the official announced results. From an analysis report of $PM_{2.5}$ source which was publicly released by Shanghai Municipal Bureau of Ecology and Environment, the traffic emissions accounted for 22.6%~33.6% of the total impacts of all local emissions on $PM_{2.5}$ formation in Shanghai, this report is generated with some previous mentioned methods (Wang et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2002) which distinguish the impacts of different contaminants. Meanwhile, without considering the impact of other pollutants such as CO , O_3 , SO_2 , NO_x and PM_{10} on the formation of $PM_{2.5}$, the contribution ratio of traffic sector calculated by MEIC emission inventory is about 5.3% ~ 14.1%. In our calculation, transportation emissions accounted for 18.03% ~ 24.37% of the total impacts of all local emissions on $PM_{2.5}$ formation (Fig. 5a) which

is slightly lower than the official released report and a little higher than MEIC result, but there is a more accurate range. This may be due to different calculation methods.

2.5. Prediction of contribution of transportation emissions in future and Air quality benefit for future new energy vehicle promotion

By learning the current growth rates of the total petrol and new energy vehicle numbers in Shanghai from historical dataset, the current vehicle license plate limitation and new energy promotion policies, we first estimate the possible total numbers of both petrol and new energy vehicles in future (Fig. 6a). As predicted, the numbers of both traditional petrol and new energy vehicles increase significantly during the next ten years, and even though the absolute number of new energy vehicles may be up to 1.69 million, they may only account for a small part of 21.63% of total urban vehicles in 2030. Meanwhile, we use a power function to approximate the relationships between with the actual numbers of petrol vehicles and the real contributed $PM_{2.5}$ concentration of transportation emissions during 2010–2017, and use this approximated function to predict the possible contributed $PM_{2.5}$ concentration of urban traffic in the following ten years. Afterward, to be fair, we assume that no further limitation measurements will be employed on reducing industrial and power emissions and the contributed concentration of these two kinds of emissions are fixed for the next ten years, and the contribution of urban petrol vehicles to $PM_{2.5}$ formation are calculated for the next ten years (Fig. 6b). In 2030, the emissions from urban petrol vehicles may account for more than 50% of the total impacts of all local emissions on $PM_{2.5}$ formation, and petrol vehicle emissions will be the primary source of urban $PM_{2.5}$, and our initial conjecture that the issue of reducing traffic emissions should be considered as the priority in improving urban air quality is verified positively. Fortunately, we are delighted




Fig. 6 – Predictions of the total numbers of urban vehicles and evaluations of new energy vehicle promotion in the next decade in Shanghai. (a) Predictions of the total numbers of both petrol and new energy vehicles in the future, (b) Predictions of the contribution of transportation emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ caused by petrol vehicles and NEVs, (c) contribution of transportation emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ with different percentages of petrol vehicles replaced by new energy vehicles, (d) $PM_{2.5}$ emissions with different percentages of petrol vehicles replaced by new energy vehicles.

to discover that the promotion of new energy vehicles may bring us an obvious reduction on $PM_{2.5}$ formation in 2030, considering the current fleet electrification promotion policy and the possible contribution of petrol vehicle emissions to $PM_{2.5}$, which is a very valuable solution.

2.6. Effectiveness evaluation of new energy vehicle promotion policies

Given the effectiveness of the promotion of new energy vehicles on $PM_{2.5}$ reductions and the giant prospective total number of petrol vehicles in 2030, it is a realistic way to alleviate urban air pollution by improving the promotion of new energy vehicles. We here evaluate the effectiveness of improving the promotion of new energy vehicles on $PM_{2.5}$ reductions (Fig. 6c). As shown, if 50% of all petrol vehicles are replaced by new energy vehicles in 2030, the contribution of transportation emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ can be reduced by 11.72%, and the absolute value that traffic emissions contribute to $PM_{2.5}$ can be reduced from 25.33 to 15.72 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Fig. 6d). And the reductions of transportation contribution on $PM_{2.5}$ and the absolute traffic contributed $PM_{2.5}$ concentration can reach 25.24% and 8.36 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ respectively in case that 80% of all petrol vehicles are replaced by new energy vehicles. Based on this analysis, we

suggest that more forceful policies on enhancing the promotion of new energy vehicles should be considered for greener and sustainable future developments of modern cities.

3. Conclusions

In this article, we propose a novel method to quantify the influence of anthropogenic emissions on $PM_{2.5}$ concentration with a novel and traceable deep learning model. Our experiment results indicate that the proposed model could achieve better fitting and prediction performances than the LSTM, GBRT, Seq2Seq, DA-RNN model and other deep learning models. Furthermore, we output the contributions of input parameter to the prediction in LRP method and visualize and analyze the spatial correlation between traffic flow and $PM_{2.5}$.

In addition, we discover that transportation emissions will play the most dominate role in future urban air pollution, and how to reduce traffic emissions are an unavoidable issue on achieving sustainable developments in modern cities. Meanwhile, to some extent, new energy vehicles can be considered as an effective way to reduce traffic emissions. However, the current promotion policies and efforts are far from enough. To further improve urban air quality, we need some more ef-

fective and powerful measurements in response to the rapid growth of urban traffic emissions.

Due to its powerful ability on simulating the complex chemical reaction and temporal accumulation procedure of PM_{2.5} formation by integrating multi-source data, the proposed deep learning network may be easily applied in other metropolises to address the challenging pollution tasks. With the traceable deep learning network, we can quantify the impacts of different anthropogenic emissions on different urban air pollutants by computing jointly with local emission inventories. To support more efficient and sustainable city planning, it is very important to deeply and sufficiently understand the impacts of different human activities on air pollution. The insights and observations obtained in this paper are of great significance to provide the qualitative and quantitative decision-making basis for citywide traffic management and urban pollution control, and may eventually benefit people's lives and high-quality sustainable developments of cities.

Last but not least, to fully address the challenge of urban air pollution, multiple ingredients such as urban layout, industrial planning and population distribution should be considered comprehensively in analyzing and tackling air pollution, and the proposed deep learning network is capable of embedding these heterogeneous features and learning the fine-grained influences of them on urban air pollutions. For future research, if the organics of the emissions of different human activities can be further considered (Guo et al., 2020), the accuracy of quantifying the impacts of different human activities can be subsequently improved.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Anhui Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (No.1908085J24), the Natural Science Foundation of China (No.62072427), the Jiangsu Natural Science Foundation (No. BK20191193).

Appendix A Supplementary data

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jes.2021.12.035.

REFERENCE

- Alfaseeh, L., Tu, R., Farooq, B., Hatzopoulou, M., 2020. Greenhouse gas emission prediction on road network using deep sequence learning. *Transport. Res. D-Tr. E.* 88 (102593).
- Alimujiang, A., Jiang, P., 2020. Synergy and co-benefits of reducing CO₂ and air pollutant emissions by promoting electric vehicles-A case of Shanghai. *Energy Sustain Dev* 55, 181–189.
- Anonymous, 2019. Deep learning monitors human activity based on sound alone. *Nature* 570 (7759).
- Arras, L., Horn, F., Montavon, G., Mueller, K., Samek, W., 2017. What is relevant in a text document?": An interpretable machine learning approach. *PLoS One* 12 (e01811428).
- Bach, S., Binder, A., Montavon, G., Klauschen, F., Müller, K., Samek, W., 2015. On pixel-wise explanations for non-linear classifier decisions by layer-wise relevance propagation. *PLoS One* 10 (7), e130140.
- Benas, N., Belocconi, A., Chrysoulakis, N., 2013. Estimation of urban PM₁₀ concentration, based on MODIS and MERIS/AATSR synergistic observations. *Atmos. Environ.* 79, 448–454.
- Box, G.E.P., Pierce, D.A., 1970. Distribution of residual autocorrelations in autoregressive-integrated moving average time series models. *Publicat. Am. Statistic. Assoc.* 65 (332), 1509–1526.
- Capes, G., Johnson, B., McFiggans, G., Williams, P.I., Haywood, J., Coe, H., 2008. Aging of biomass burning aerosols over West Africa: aircraft measurements of chemical composition, microphysical properties, and emission ratios. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113.
- Cesari, D., Amato, F., Pandolfi, M., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Contini, D., 2016. An inter-comparison of PM₁₀ source apportionment using PCA and PMF receptor models in three European sites. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 23 (15).
- Cheng, W., Shen, Y., Zhu, Y., Huang, L., 2018. A Neural Attention Model for Urban Air Quality Inference: Learning the Weights of Monitoring Stations, pp. 2151–2158.
- Cho, K., van Merriënboer, B., Gulcehre, C., Bahdanau, D., Bougares, F., Schwenk, H., et al., 2014. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. *Comput. Sci.*
- Ding, Y., Liu, Y., Luan, H., Sun, M., 2017. Visualizing and Understanding Neural Machine Translation, pp. 1150–1159 in Barzilay R., Kan M.Y. (Eds.).
- Dumka, U.C., Kaskaoutis, D.G., Srivastava, M.K., Devara, P.C.S., 2015. Scattering and absorption properties of near-surface aerosol over Gangetic-Himalayan region: the role of boundary-layer dynamics and long-range transport. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15 (3), 1555–1572.
- Friedman, J.H., 2001. Greedy function approximation: a gradient boosting machine. *Ann. Stat.* 29 (5), 1189–1232.
- Fujita, E.M., Watson, J.G., Chow, J.C., Lu, Z., 1994. Validation of the chemical mass balance receptor model applied to hydrocarbon source apportionment in the southern California air quality study. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 28 (9), 1633–1649.
- Guo, S., Hu, M., Peng, J., Wu, Z., Zamora, M.L., Shang, D., et al., 2020. Remarkable nucleation and growth of ultrafine particles from vehicular exhaust. *P. Natl Acad. Sci. Usa.*
- Ham, Y., Kim, J., Luo, J., 2019. Deep learning for multi-year ENSO forecasts. *Nature* 573 (7775), 568.
- Han, L., Sun, Z., He, J., Zhang, B., Lv, M., Zhang, X., et al., 2020. Estimating the mortality burden attributable to temperature and PM_{2.5} from the perspective of atmospheric flow. *Environ Res Lett* 15 (12).
- Hino, M., Benami, E., Brooks, N., 2018. Machine learning for environmental monitoring. *Nature Sustain.* 1 (10), 583–588.
- Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J., 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural Comput.* 9 (8), 1735–1780.
- Huang, R., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K., Cao, J., Han, Y., et al., 2014. High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China. *Nature* 514 (7521), 218–222.
- Kroll, J.H., Heald, C.L., Cappa, C.D., Farmer, D.K., Fry, J.L., Murphy, J.G., et al., 2020. The complex chemical effects of COVID-19 shutdowns on air quality. *Nat Chem* 12 (9), 777–779.
- Lapuschkin, S., Waeldchen, S., Binder, A., Montavon, G., Samek, W., Mueller, K., 2019. Unmasking Clever Hans predictors and assessing what machines really learn. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1096).
- Le, T., Wang, Y., Liu, L., Yang, J., Yung, Y.L., Li, G., et al., 2020a. Unexpected air pollution with marked emission reductions during the COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science)* 369 (6504), 702–706.
- Le, T.H., Wang, Y., Liu, L., Yang, J.N., Yung, Y.L., Li, G.H., et al., 2020b.

- Unexpected air pollution with marked emission reductions during the COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Science* 369 (6504), 702.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J.S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., Pozzer, A., 2015. The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale. *Nature* 525 (7569), 367.
- Li, H., Yang, Y., Wang, H., Li, B., Wang, P., Li, J., et al., 2021. Constructing a spatiotemporally coherent long-term PM_{2.5} concentration dataset over China during 1980–2019 using a machine learning approach. *Sci. Total Environ.* 765.
- Li, M., Liu, H., Geng, G., Hong, C., Liu, F., Song, Y., et al., 2018. Anthropogenic emission inventories in China: a review. 2017. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* 4, 834 5(4):603.
- Li, T.T., Guo, Y.M., Liu, Y., Wang, J.N., Wang, Q., Sun, Z.Y., et al., 2019a. Estimating mortality burden attributable to short-term PM_{2.5} exposure: a national observational study in China. *Environ. Int.* 125, 245–251.
- Li, X., Feng, Y.J., Liang, H.Y., 2017. The impact of meteorological factors on PM_{2.5} variations in Hong Kong. *IOP Conf. Ser.* 78, 1–10.
- Li, X., Wang, H., He, H., Du, J., Chen, J., Wu, J., 2019b. Intelligent diagnosis with Chinese electronic medical records based on convolutional neural networks. *BMC Bioinf.* 20 (62).
- Li, Z., Liu, Y., 2016. Analysis of new energy vehicles industry policy in China's cities from the perspective of policy instruments. *Energy Procedia* 104.
- Liang, Y., Ke, S., Zhang, J., Yi, X., Zheng, Y., 2018. GeoMAN: Multi-level Attention Networks for Geo-sensory Time Series Prediction.
- Lindsey, R., Daluiski, A., Chopra, S., Lachapelle, A., Mozer, M., Sicular, S., et al., 2018. Deep neural network improves fracture detection by clinicians. *P. Natl Acad. Sci. Usa* 115 (45), 11591–11596.
- Liu, A., Qian, N., Yu, H., Chen, R., Kan, H., 2017. Estimation of disease burdens on preterm births and low birth weights attributable to maternal fine particulate matter exposure in Shanghai. *China. Sci. Total Environ.* 609, 815–821.
- Liu, F., Zhang, Q., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Li, M., Huo, H., et al., 2015. High-resolution inventory of technologies, activities, and emissions of coal-fired power plants in China from 1990 to 2010. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15 (23), 13299–13317.
- Liu, J., Tong, D., Zheng, Y., Cheng, J., Qin, X., Shi, Q., et al., 2021. Carbon and air pollutant emissions from China's cement industry 1990–2015: trends, evolution of technologies, and drivers. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 21 (3), 1627–1647.
- Liu, T., Wang, X., Hu, J., Wang, Q., An, J., Gong, K., et al., 2020. Driving forces of changes in air quality during the COVID-19 lockdown period in the yangtze river delta region. *China. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 7 (11), 779–786.
- Ministry of Ecological Environment, 2020. Ministry of Ecological Environment: Coal to Gas And Coal To Electricity Have Obvious Benefits For Environmental Improvement And Will Continue To Be Promoted.
- Natural resources defense council, 2016. Research On Application Potential Of Electric Vehicle In Shanghai Power System. Shanghai.
- Park, Y., Kwon, B., Heo, J., Hu, X., Liu, Y., Moon, T., 2020. Estimating PM_{2.5} concentration of the conterminous United States via interpretable convolutional neural networks. *Environ. Pollut.* 256, 113395.
- Parker, H.A., Hasheminassab, S., Crounse, J.D., Roehl, C.M., Wennberg, P.O., 2020. Impacts of traffic reductions associated with COVID-19 on Southern California air quality. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47 (23).
- Peng, L., Zhang, Q., Yao, Z., Mauzerall, D.L., Kang, S., Du, Z., et al., 2019. Underreported coal in statistics: a survey-based solid fuel consumption and emission inventory for the rural residential sector in China. *Appl. Energ.* 235, 1169–1182.
- Pusede, S.E., Gentner, D.R., Wooldridge, P.J., Browne, E.C., Rollins, A.W., Min, K.E., et al., 2014. On the temperature dependence of organic reactivity, nitrogen oxides, ozone production, and the impact of emission controls in San Joaquin Valley, California. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14 (7), 3373–3395.
- Shi, G.L., Xu, J., Peng, X., Tian, Y.Z., Wang, W., Han, B., et al., 2016. Using a new WALSPMF model to quantify the source contributions to PM_{2.5} at a harbour site in China. *Atmos. Environ.* 126.
- Song, X., Hao, P., Zhu, X., 2020. Vehicular emission inventory establishment and characteristics research in Yangtze River Delta Urban Agglomeration (in Chinese). *Acta Scie. Circumstantiae* 40 (01), 90–101.
- Su, T., Li, Z., Zheng, Y., Luan, Q., Guo, J., 2020. Abnormally shallow boundary layer associated with severe air pollution during the COVID-19 lockdown in China. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47 (20).
- Sutskever, I., Vinyals, O., Le, Q.V., 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In: Ghahramani, Z., Welling, M., Cortes, C., Lawrence, N.D., Weinberger, K.Q. (Eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Tang, L., Qu, J., Mi, Z., Bo, X., Chang, X., Anadon, L.D., et al., 2019. Substantial emission reductions from Chinese power plants after the introduction of ultra-low emissions standards. *Nat. Energy* 4 (11), 929–938.
- Tong, D., Zhang, Q., Liu, F., Geng, G., Zheng, Y., Xue, T., et al., 2018. Current emissions and future mitigation pathways of coal-fired power plants in China from 2010 to 2030. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52 (21), 12905–12914.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., et al., 2017. Attention is all you need. In: Guyon, I., Luxburg, U.V., Bengio, S., Wallach, H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., et al. (Eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Wang, A., Xu, J., Tu, R., Saleh, M., Hatzopoulou, M., 2020. Potential of machine learning for prediction of traffic related air pollution. *Transport. Res. D-Tr. E.* 88 (102599).
- Wang, F., Yu, H., Wang, Z., Liang, W., Shi, G., Gao, J., et al., 2021. Review of online source apportionment research based on observation for ambient particulate matter. *Sci. Total Environ.* 762, 144095.
- Wang, H.L., Qiao, L.P., Lou, S.R., Zhou, M., Chen, J.M., Wang, Q., et al., 2015. PM_{2.5} pollution episode and its contributors from 2011 to 2013 in urban Shanghai. *China. Atmos. Environ.* 123 (S1B), 298–305.
- Wang, Y., Li, L., Chen, C., Huang, C., Huang, H., Feng, J., et al., 2014. Source apportionment of fine particulate matter during autumn haze episodes in Shanghai. *China. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 119 (4), 1903–1914.
- Wu, J., Bei, N., Hu, B., Liu, S., Wang, Y., Shen, Z., et al., 2020. Aerosol – photolysis interaction reduces particulate matter during wintertime haze events. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 117 (18), 9755–9761.
- Xiao, R., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Miyazaki, Y., Miyakawa, T., Hu, M., et al., 2009. Formation of submicron sulfate and organic aerosols in the outflow from the urban region of the Pearl River Delta in China. *Atmos. Environ.* 43 (24), 3754–3763.
- Xiao, Z., Zhang, Y., Hong, S., Bi, X., Jiao, L., Feng, Y., et al., 2011. Estimation of the main factors influencing haze, based on a long-term monitoring campaign in Hangzhou. *China. Aerosol Air Qual Res* 11 (7), 873–882.
- Xing, J., Zheng, S., Ding, D., Kelly, J.T., Wang, S., Li, S., et al., 2020. Deep learning for prediction of the air quality response to emission changes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 54 (14), 8589–8600.
- Xiong, H., Duan, L., Gao, J., Wang, S.L., Chai, F.H., Hu, J., et al., 2016. Chemical composition and source apportionment of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in different functional areas of Lanzhou. *China. J. Environ. Sci.-China* 40 (02), 75–83.

- Yan, L., Luo, X., Zhu, R., Santi, P., Wang, H., Wang, D., et al., 2020. Quantifying and analyzing traffic emission reductions from ridesharing: A case study of Shanghai. *Transport. Res. D-Tr. E.* 89 (102629).
- Yang, J., Wen, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, S.J., Pinto, J.P., Pennington, E.A., et al., 2021a. From COVID-19 to future electrification: assessing traffic impacts on air quality by a machine-learning model. *Proc. National Acad. Sci. - PNAS* 118 (26), 1.
- Yang, K., Kong, L., Tong, S., Shen, J., Chen, L., Jin, S., et al., 2021b. Double high-level ozone and PM_{2.5} Co-pollution episodes in shanghai, china: pollution characteristics and significant role of daytime HONO. *Atmosphere-Basel* 12 (5), 557.
- Yao, Q., Dongjin, S., Haifeng, C., Wei, C., Guofei, J., Cottrell, G., 2017. A dual-stage attention-based recurrent neural network for time series prediction [arXiv]. arXiv:1707.08562.
- Yao, X.H., Chan, C.K., Fang, M., Cadle, S., Chan, T., Mulawa, P., et al., 2002. The water-soluble ionic composition of PM_{2.5} in Shanghai and Beijing, China. *Atmos. Environ.* 36, 4223–4234 PII S1352-2310(02)00342-426.
- Zang, Z., Li, D., Guo, Y., Shi, W., Yan, X., 2021. Superior PM_{2.5} estimation by integrating aerosol fine mode data from the Himawari-8 satellite in deep and classical machine learning models. *Remote Sens-Basel* 13 (14).
- Zhang, K., Batterman, S., 2010. Near-road air pollutant concentrations of CO and PM_{2.5}: a comparison of MOBILE6.2/CALINE4 and generalized additive models. *Atmos. Environ.* 44 (14), 1740–1748.
- Zhang, Q., Fu, F., Tian, R., 2020. A deep learning and image-based model for air quality estimation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 724.
- Zhang, Q., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Shao, M., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., et al., 2019. Drivers of improved PM_{2.5} air quality in China from 2013 to 2017. *P. Natl Acad. Sci. Usa* 116 (49), 24463–24469.
- Zhang, Y., Cai, J., Wang, S., He, K., Zheng, M., 2017. Review of receptor-based source apportionment research of fine particulate matter and its challenges in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 586, 917–929.
- Zheng, B., Huo, H., Zhang, Q., Yao, Z.L., Wang, X.T., Yang, X.F., et al., 2014. High-resolution mapping of vehicle emissions in China in 2008. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14 (18), 9787–9805.
- Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., et al., 2018. Trends in China's anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 18 (19), 14095–14111.
- Zheng, M., Yan, C., Wang, S., He, K., Zhang, Y., 2017. Understanding PM_{2.5} sources in China: challenges and perspectives. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* 4 (6), 801–803.
- Zhou, H., Zhang, F., Du, Z., Liu, R., 2021. Forecasting PM_{2.5} using hybrid graph convolution-based model considering dynamic wind-field to offer the benefit of spatial interpretability. *Environ. Pollut.* 273, 116473.
- Zhu, J.Q., Deng, F., Zhao, J.C., Zheng, H., 2021. Attention-based parallel networks (APNet) for PM_{2.5} spatiotemporal prediction. *Sci. Total Environ.* 769, 145082.