

ON WEAK IDEMPOTENT COMPLETIONS

XIAO-WU CHEN

Let \mathcal{A} be a category. An endomorphism $e: X \rightarrow X$ is called an *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. The idempotent e *splits* if there are morphisms $r: X \rightarrow Y$ and $s: Y \rightarrow X$ satisfying $e = s \circ r$ and $\text{Id}_Y = r \circ s$. The category \mathcal{A} is said to be *idempotent-split* if each idempotent splits.

It is well known that each category \mathcal{A} has a canonical idempotent completion \mathcal{A}^{\natural} ; see [2, Chapter I, Theorem 6.10]. The objects of \mathcal{A}^{\natural} are pairs (X, e) such that X is an object in \mathcal{A} and that $e: X \rightarrow X$ is an idempotent. The morphisms $f: (x, e) \rightarrow (X', e')$ are given by morphisms $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, X')$ satisfying $f = e' \circ f \circ e$. We have a canonical embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\natural}$, which sends $f: X \rightarrow X'$ to $f: (X, \text{Id}_X) \rightarrow (X', \text{Id}_{X'})$.

Lemma 1. *Let $e: X \rightarrow X$ be an idempotent in \mathcal{A} . Then e splits in \mathcal{A} if and only if (X, e) is isomorphic to some object (Y, Id_Y) in \mathcal{A}^{\natural} . \square*

In what follows, we assume that \mathcal{A} is pre-additive. The following two lemmas are well known.

Lemma 2. *Let $e: X \rightarrow X$ be an idempotent. Then e splits if and only if $\text{Id}_X - e$ admits a kernel, if and only if $\text{Id}_X - e$ admits a cokernel. \square*

Lemma 3. *Assume that $e: X \rightarrow X$ is an idempotent such that e splits as $X \xrightarrow{r} Y \xrightarrow{s} X$ and that $\text{Id}_X - e$ splits as $X \xrightarrow{r'} Y' \xrightarrow{s'} X$. Then $(s, s'): Y \oplus Y' \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism, whose inverse is $\begin{pmatrix} r \\ r' \end{pmatrix}$. \square*

The following example shows that e and $\text{Id}_X - e$ do not split simultaneously in general.

Example 4. *Let k be a field. Denote by \mathcal{C} the category formed by finite dimensional k -spaces with dimension at least two. Consider the idempotent $e = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0): k^3 \rightarrow k^3$. Then e splits in \mathcal{C} , but $\text{Id}_{k^3} - e$ does not split in \mathcal{C} .*

A pre-additive category \mathcal{A} is said to be *weakly idempotent-split*, if any idempotent $e: X \rightarrow X$ splits whenever $\text{Id}_X - e$ splits. We mention that a weakly idempotent-split category necessarily has a zero object.

Example 5. (1) *The category \mathcal{C} in Example 4 is not weakly idempotent-split.*

(2) *Let k be a field. Denote by \mathcal{C}' the category of even dimensional k -spaces. Then \mathcal{C}' is weakly idempotent-split, but not idempotent-split.*

(3) *Any triangulated category is weakly idempotent-split; see [3, Lemma 2.2].*

Lemma 6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a pre-additive category. Then \mathcal{A} is weakly idempotent-split if and only if any split idempotent admits a kernel, if and only if any split idempotent admits a cokernel.*

Proof. Apply Lemmas 2. \square

Date: May 6, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16U40, 18D70.

Key words and phrases. idempotent completion.

This paper belongs to a series of informal notes, without claim of originality.

We define the *weak idempotent completion* \mathcal{A}^b of \mathcal{A} to be the full subcategory of \mathcal{A}^h formed by the pairs (X, e) such that $\text{Id}_X - e = 0$ or $\text{Id}_X - e$ is a split idempotent in \mathcal{A} .

The following fact is somehow non-trivial.

Proposition 7. *The category \mathcal{A}^b is indeed weakly idempotent-split.*

Proof. Let (X, e) be an object in \mathcal{A}^b such that $\text{Id}_X - e$ splits as $X \xrightarrow{r} Y \xrightarrow{s} X$. Let (X', e') be another object such that $\text{Id}_{X'} - e'$ splits as $X' \xrightarrow{r'} Y' \xrightarrow{s'} X'$. We observe the following identities

$$(0.1) \quad r \circ e = 0 = e \circ s \text{ and } r' \circ e' = 0 = e' \circ s'.$$

Let $f: (X, e) \rightarrow (X', e')$ and $g: (X', e') \rightarrow (X, e)$ be two morphisms such that $f \circ g = e' = \text{Id}_{(X', e')}$. Then we have

$$(0.2) \quad f = e' \circ f \circ e \text{ and } g = e \circ g \circ e'.$$

The idempotent $g \circ f: (X, e) \rightarrow (X, e)$ splits in \mathcal{A}^b .

We have to show that $\text{Id}_{(X, e)} - g \circ f = e - g \circ f: (X, e) \rightarrow (X, e)$ also splits. For this end, we first observe that

$$(X \oplus Y', \begin{pmatrix} e - g \circ f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

is an object in \mathcal{A}^b . Indeed, using (0.1) and (0.2), we infer that $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_X - e + g \circ f & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id}_{Y'} \end{pmatrix}$ splits as

$$X \oplus Y' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} r & r \circ g \circ s' \\ f & s' \end{pmatrix}} Y \oplus X' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} s & g \\ r' \circ f \circ s & r' \end{pmatrix}} X \oplus Y'.$$

We further observe that $e - g \circ f$ splits in \mathcal{A}^b as follows

$$(X, e) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} e - g \circ f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} (X \oplus Y', \begin{pmatrix} e - g \circ f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} e - g \circ f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} (X, e).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Example 8. *Let R be any unital ring. Denote by \mathcal{A} the category of finitely generated free R -modules. Then \mathcal{A}^h is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective R -modules, and \mathcal{A}^b is equivalent to the category of stably free R -modules.*

The following result might be of interest. For any additive category \mathcal{A} , we denote by $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$ the homotopy category of bounded cochain complexes in \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 9. *Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ be an additive functor between two additive categories. Then the induced functor $\mathbf{K}^b(F): \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A}')$ is a triangle equivalence if and only if the induced functor $F^b: \mathcal{A}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'^b$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. Apply [1, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3(1)]. \square

Acknowledgements. We thank Zhi-Wei Li for helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.V. BONDARKO, AND S.V. VOSTOKOV, *The hearts of weight structures are the weakly idempotent complete categories*, arXiv:2005.11518, 2020.
- [2] M. KAROUBI, *K-Theory—An introduction*, Grund. Math. Wiss. **226**, Springer, 1978.
- [3] J. LE, AND X.W. CHEN, *Karoubianness of a triangulated category*, J. Algebra **310** (2007), 452–457.

Xiao-Wu Chen

Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China
No. 96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, Anhui Province, 230026, P. R. China.
URL: <http://home.ustc.edu.cn/~xwchen>, E-mail: xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn.