

PROJECTIVE COVERS AND MINIMAL APPROXIMATIONS

XIAO-WU CHEN

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. A morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be *right minimal* if any endomorphism $a \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(A)$ of A satisfying $f = f \circ a$ is necessarily invertible. An epimorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be *essential* if any morphism $t: T \rightarrow A$ is epic whenever $f \circ t$ is epic.

Let X be an object. Recall that a *projective cover* of X is an epimorphism $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ such that P is projective and that π is right minimal.

The following characterization of a projective cover is well known; for example, see [3, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 1. *Let $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ be a morphism with P projective. Then π is a projective cover of X if and only if it is an essential epimorphism.*

Proof. For the “only if” part, we assume that π is a projective cover. Take any morphism $t: T \rightarrow P$ with $\pi \circ t$ epic. The projectivity of P yields a morphism $s: P \rightarrow T$ satisfying $\pi = (\pi \circ t) \circ s$. By the right minimality of π , we infer that $t \circ s$ is invertible. It follows that t is a split epimorphism.

Conversely, we assume that π is an essential epimorphism. Take any endomorphism $a: P \rightarrow P$ satisfying $\pi = \pi \circ a$. It follows that a is necessarily epic. Since P is projective, a is a split epimorphism. There exists $b: P \rightarrow P$ satisfying $a \circ b = \text{Id}_P$. We have

$$\pi \circ b = (\pi \circ a) \circ b = \pi \circ (a \circ b) = \pi.$$

Since the epimorphism π is essential, we infer that b is epic. It follows that b is invertible, and so is a . \square

Let A be an object in \mathcal{A} . We denote by $\text{add } A$ the full subcategory formed by direct summands of finite direct sums of A . For any ring R , we denote by $\text{proj-}R$ the category of finitely generated projective right R -modules. The *projectivization* at A means the following equivalence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, -): \text{add } A \longrightarrow \text{proj-End}_{\mathcal{A}}(A).$$

Moreover, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(0.1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_0, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(A)}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A_0), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, X))$$

sending f to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, f)$ for any $A_0 \in \text{add } A$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}$.

The projectivization plays a central role in the following proof.

Proposition 2. *Let $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ be an epimorphism with P projective. Then X has a projective cover if and only if the right $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X)$ has a projective cover.*

Proof. Write $\Gamma = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ and $F = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, -)$. Observe that $F(P) = \Gamma$.

For “only if” part, we assume that $\pi_0: P_0 \rightarrow X$ is a projective cover. We observe that there is a split epimorphism $t: P \rightarrow P_0$ such $\pi = \pi_0 \circ t$. In particular,

Date: September 5, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16D40, 18A20.

Key words and phrases. projective cover, minimal approximation.

This paper belongs to a series of informal notes, without claim of originality.

P_0 is isomorphic to a direct summand of P . Consequently, $F(P_0)$ is a projective Γ -module.

We claim that $F(\pi_0): F(P_0) \rightarrow F(X)$ is a projective cover of $F(X)$. It suffices to show that it is right minimal. Take any endomorphism a on $F(P_0)$ with $F(\pi_0) \circ a = F(\pi_0)$. By the projectivization, we have a unique endomorphism s on P_0 with $a = F(s)$. Applying the equation $F(\pi_0 \circ s) = F(\pi_0)$ to the element $t \in F(P_0)$, we obtain

$$\pi_0 \circ s \circ t = \pi_0 \circ t.$$

Since t is epic, we have $\pi_0 \circ s = \pi_0$. Since π_0 is right minimal, we infer that s is invertible, and then so is a .

For the “if” part, we assume that $f: Q \rightarrow F(X)$ is a projective cover of $F(X)$. Consider the surjective map $F(\pi): F(P) \rightarrow F(X)$ of right Γ -modules. There is a split epimorphism $g: F(P) \rightarrow Q$ satisfying $F(\pi) = f \circ g$. By the projectivization at P , we may assume that $Q = F(P_0)$ with $P_0 \in \text{add } P$; moreover, by (0.1) there are morphisms $a: P_0 \rightarrow X$ and $b: P \rightarrow P_0$ satisfying $F(a) = f$ and $F(b) = g$. We have

$$F(\pi) = f \circ g = F(a) \circ F(b) = F(a \circ b).$$

By (0.1) again, we have $\pi = a \circ b$. It follows that $a: P_0 \rightarrow X$ is epic.

It remains to show that a is right minimal. For this end, we take an endomorphism s on P_0 satisfying $a = a \circ s$. Then we have

$$f = F(a) = F(a \circ s) = F(a) \circ F(s) = f \circ F(s).$$

Since f is right minimal, we infer that $F(s)$ is invertible. By the projectivization at P , the endomorphism s is invertible. This completes the proof. \square

Recall that a ring R is *semiperfect* if each finitely generated right R -module has a projective cover. This property is left-right symmetric.

Corollary 3. *Let $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ be an epimorphism with P projective. Assume further that the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ is semiperfect. Then X has a projective cover in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. We observe that the right $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X)$ is cyclic. Since $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ is semiperfect, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X)$ has a projective cover. Then the statement follows from Proposition 2. \square

Recall that an additive category \mathcal{C} is *Krull-Schmidt* if each object is a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects with local endomorphism rings. By [1, Theorem A.1], \mathcal{C} is Krull-Schmidt if and only if it is idempotent-split and the endomorphism ring of each object is semiperfect; see also [3, Corollary 4.4].

We have the following immediate consequence of Corollary 3.

Corollary 4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Krull-Schmidt abelian category with enough projective objects. Then each object has a projective cover.* \square

Let \mathcal{C} be a skeletally small additive category. By a right \mathcal{C} -module we mean a contravariant additive functor from \mathcal{C} to the category of abelian groups. Denote by $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{C}$ the abelian category of right \mathcal{C} -modules. A right \mathcal{C} -module M is *finitely generated* if there is an epimorphism $\mathcal{C}(-, A) \rightarrow M$ for some object A in \mathcal{C} .

The following result is implicitly used in [2, the proof of 1.3 Lemma].

Corollary 5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Krull-Schmidt category, which is skeletally small. Then each finitely generated \mathcal{C} -module has a projective cover.*

Proof. Let M be a finitely generated \mathcal{C} -module with an epimorphism $\mathcal{C}(-, A) \rightarrow M$. The representable module $\mathcal{C}(-, A)$ is projective in $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{C}$. By Yoneda Lemma the endomorphism ring of $\mathcal{C}(-, A)$ is isomorphic to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$, which is semiperfect. Then we are done by Corollary 3. \square

Remark 6. The smallness condition above is superfluous. Indeed, Proposition 2 holds true for idempotent-split exact categories. For the proof of Corollary 5 in the general case, we just work with the exact category formed by finitely generated \mathcal{C} -modules.

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. Consider a full additive subcategory \mathcal{M} . Let X be an object in \mathcal{C} . By a *right \mathcal{M} -approximation* of X , we mean a morphism $f: M \rightarrow X$ with $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and every morphism from an object in \mathcal{M} to X factors through f . Such a right \mathcal{M} -approximation is *minimal*, provided that it is right minimal.

It is well known that an object X has a right \mathcal{M} -approximation if and only if the \mathcal{M} -module $\mathcal{C}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is finitely generated.

The following lemma is proved using Yoneda Lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $f: M \rightarrow X$ be a morphism with $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then f is right minimal if and only if the induced morphism $(-, f)|_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M}(-, M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ between \mathcal{M} -modules is right minimal.* \square

In view of Lemma 7, we have the following fact.

Lemma 8. *An object X has a right minimal \mathcal{M} -approximation if and only if the \mathcal{M} -module $\mathcal{C}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is finitely generated and has a projective cover.* \square

The following result is a variant of Proposition 2.

Proposition 9. *Let $f: M \rightarrow X$ be a right \mathcal{M} -approximation of X . Then X has a right minimal \mathcal{M} -approximation if and only if the right $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X)$ has a projective cover.*

Proof. The approximation f yields an epimorphism $\mathcal{M}(-, M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ between \mathcal{M} -modules. By Lemma 8 X has a right minimal \mathcal{M} -approximation if and only if $\mathcal{C}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ has a projective cover.

We will apply Proposition 2 to the category of \mathcal{M} -modules; compare Remark 6. We observe that the endomorphism ring of $\mathcal{M}(-, M)$ is isomorphic to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$, and that the Hom group from $\mathcal{M}(-, M)$ to $\mathcal{C}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X)$. Based on these observations and Proposition 2, we infer that $\mathcal{C}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ has a projective cover if and only if the right $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X)$ has a projective cover. \square

We mention that one easily deduces Proposition 2 from the proposition above.

REFERENCES

- [1] X.W. CHEN, Y. YE, AND P. ZHANG, *Algebras of derived dimension zero*, Comm. Algebra **36** (1) (2008), 1–10.
- [2] B. KELLER, AND D. VOSSIECK, *Aisles in derived categories*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **40** (1988), 239–253.
- [3] H. KRAUSE, *Krull-Schmidt categories and projective covers*, Expo. Math. **33** (4) (2015), 535–549.

Xiao-Wu Chen

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China

No. 96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, Anhui Province, 230026, P. R. China.

URL: <http://home.ustc.edu.cn/~xwchen>, E-mail: xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn.