

## DOUBLE COMPLEXES AND TOTAL COMPLEXES

XIAO-WU CHEN

ABSTRACT. The total complex of a double complex with acyclic columns is not necessarily acyclic. We discuss various situations where the total complex is indeed acyclic.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. We denote by  $C(\mathcal{A})$  the abelian category of cochain complexes in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Recall that a *double complex*  $X = (X^{p,q}, d_h^{p,q}, d_v^{p,q})_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}}$  consists of bigraded objects  $X^{p,q}$  and two kinds of differentials: the horizontal differentials  $d_h^{p,q}: X^{p,q} \rightarrow X^{p+1,q}$  and the vertical differentials  $d_v^{p,q}: X^{p,q} \rightarrow X^{p,q+1}$ , which are subject to the relations

$$d_h^{p+1,q} \circ d_h^{p,q} = 0 = d_v^{p,q+1} \circ d_v^{p,q} \text{ and } d_v^{p+1,q} \circ d_h^{p,q} = d_h^{p,q+1} \circ d_v^{p,q}.$$

We visualize a double complex as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & X^{-1,1} & \xrightarrow{d_h^{-1,1}} & X^{0,1} & \xrightarrow{d_h^{0,1}} & X^{1,1} & \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \uparrow d_v^{-1,0} & & \uparrow d_v^{0,0} & & \uparrow d_v^{1,0} & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & X^{-1,0} & \xrightarrow{d_h^{-1,0}} & X^{0,0} & \xrightarrow{d_h^{0,0}} & X^{1,0} & \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \uparrow d_v^{-1,-1} & & \uparrow d_v^{0,-1} & & \uparrow d_v^{1,-1} & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & X^{-1,-1} & \xrightarrow{d_h^{-1,-1}} & X^{0,-1} & \xrightarrow{d_h^{0,-1}} & X^{1,-1} & \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & 
 \end{array}$$

We denote by  $DC(\mathcal{A})$  the abelian category of double complexes in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We observe that each column  $X^{p,\bullet}$  of  $X$  is a cochain complex and that  $d_h^{p,\bullet}: X^{p,\bullet} \rightarrow X^{p+1,\bullet}$  is a cochain morphism. Then we have the following observation.

**Lemma 1.** *There is an isomorphism of categories*

$$DC(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(C(\mathcal{A})),$$

sending a double complex  $X$  to the cochain complex  $\dots \rightarrow X^{i,\bullet} \xrightarrow{d_h^{i,\bullet}} X^{i+1,\bullet} \xrightarrow{d_h^{i+1,\bullet}} X^{i+2,\bullet} \rightarrow \dots$  in  $C(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Date:* June 6, 2018.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G35, 18E10.

*Key words and phrases.* double complex, total complex, acyclic complex.

This paper belongs to a series of informal notes, without claim of originality.

We say that a double complex  $X$  is *locally finite*, provided that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are only finitely many nonzero objects  $X^{i,n-i}$ . In other words, there are only finitely many nonzero objects on each diagonal.

For example, if  $X$  has only finite many nonzero columns, then  $X$  is locally finite. In this case, we define the *width*  $w(X) = n - m + 1$ , where  $n$  is the largest number with  $X^{n,\bullet} \neq 0$  and  $m$  is the smallest number with  $X^{m,\bullet} \neq 0$ .

For a locally finite double complex  $X$ , its *total complex*  $\text{tot}(X)$  is a cochain complex in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\text{tot}(X)^n = \bigoplus_{n=p+q} X^{p,q}$  and the restriction of the differential  $d^n: \text{tot}(X)^n \rightarrow \text{tot}(X)^{n+1}$  to  $X^{p,q}$  is given by  $d_h^{p,q} + (-1)^p d_v^{p,q}$ . We observe that  $d^n(X^{p,q})$  is contained in  $X^{p+1,q} \oplus X^{p,q+1}$ .

The *transpose*  $X^t$  of a double complex  $X$  is defined such that  $(X^t)^{p,q} = X^{q,p}$  and its horizontal (*resp.* vertical) differentials are the vertical (*resp.* horizontal) differentials of  $X$ . Then  $X$  is locally finite if and only if so is  $X^t$ .

The following observation allows us to switch rows and columns in the consideration of total complexes.

**Lemma 2.** *There is an isomorphism of cochain complexes*

$$\text{tot}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{tot}(X^t),$$

which acts on  $X^{p,q}$  by  $(-1)^{pq}$ .

The main concern is when the total complex is acyclic.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X$  be a locally finite double complex such that each column  $X^{p,\bullet}$  is acyclic. Then the total complex  $\text{tot}(X)$  is acyclic.*

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we will show the exactness of the sequence

$$\text{tot}(X)^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \text{tot}(X)^n \xrightarrow{d^n} \text{tot}(X)^{n+1}.$$

There are only finitely many nonzero  $X^{p,q}$  involved in this sequence. So, we may assume that  $X$  has only finitely many nonzero columns. We use induction on the width  $w(X)$ .

If  $w(X) = 1$ , then  $\text{tot}(X)$  is isomorphic to the translation of the nonzero column, and hence is acyclic. In general, we observe that the rightmost nonzero column of  $X$  yields a sub-double complex  $X_1$  of  $X$ . Then  $w(X_1) = 1$  and  $w(X/X_1) < w(X)$ ; moreover, both  $X_1$  and  $X/X_1$  have acyclic columns. There is an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \text{tot}(X_1) \rightarrow \text{tot}(X) \rightarrow \text{tot}(X/X_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By induction, we infer the acyclicity of  $\text{tot}(X)$ .  $\square$

For a double complex  $X$ , we denote by  $Z_h^{p,q} = \text{Ker} d_h^{p,q}$ ,  $B_h^{p,q} = \text{Im} d_h^{p-1,q}$  and  $H_h^{p,q} = Z_h^{p,q} / B_h^{p,q}$ . Set  $C_h^{p,q} = X^{p,q} / B_h^{p,q}$ . We observe that  $Z_h^{p,\bullet}$  and  $B_h^{p,\bullet}$  are sub-complexes of the  $p$ -th column  $X^{p,\bullet}$ . Hence, we have the subquotient complex  $H_h^{p,\bullet}$  and the quotient complex  $C_h^{p,\bullet}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Assume that the double complex  $X$  has acyclic columns. Then all  $Z_h^{p,\bullet}$  are acyclic if and only if all  $B_h^{p,\bullet}$  are acyclic, if and only if all  $C_h^{p,\bullet}$  are acyclic. In this situation, each  $H_h^{p,\bullet}$  is acyclic.*

*Proof.* For the proof, we just apply the following three short exact sequences of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_h^{p,\bullet} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} X^{p,\bullet} \xrightarrow{\partial^{p,\bullet}} B_h^{p+1,\bullet} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow B_h^{p,\bullet} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} X^{p,\bullet} \longrightarrow C_h^{p,\bullet} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow B_h^{p,\bullet} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} Z_h^{p,\bullet} \longrightarrow H_h^{p,\bullet} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here, the morphisms  $\partial^{p,q}$  are induced by  $d_h^{p,q}$ .  $\square$

We will assume that the abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  has countable coproducts. Then for any double complex  $X$ , its total complex  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$  is defined such that  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)^n = \coprod_{p+q=n} X^{p,q}$ .

Recall that an infinite sequence of morphisms

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{\phi_3} A_4 \rightarrow \cdots$$

yields a direct system induced by  $\mathbb{N}$ . The morphisms  $\phi_i$  are called the structure morphisms.

Assumption ( $\dagger$ ): Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  has countable coproducts. For any exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_i \longrightarrow B_i \longrightarrow C_i \longrightarrow 0$$

of direct systems indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  with injective structure morphisms, the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{colim} A_i \longrightarrow \text{colim} B_i \longrightarrow \text{colim} C_i \longrightarrow 0$$

is required to be always exact.

We observe that the sequence of colimits is always right exact. Hence, the assumption really requires the injectivity of the morphism  $\text{colim} A_i \rightarrow \text{colim} B_i$ .

We mention that any Grothendieck category and the opposite category of a module category satisfy assumption ( $\dagger$ ), where in the latter example, the assumption follows from the exactness of inverse limits under the Mittag-Leffler condition.

**Proposition 5.** *We assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies assumption ( $\dagger$ ). Assume that  $X$  is a double complex with acyclic columns such that each  $Z_h^{k,\bullet}$  is also acyclic. Then the total complex  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$  is acyclic.*

*Proof.* For each  $k \geq 1$ , we denote by  $X_k$  the following sub-double complex of  $X$

$$0 \rightarrow X^{-k,\bullet} \rightarrow X^{1-k,\bullet} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X^{k-1,\bullet} \rightarrow Z_h^{k,\bullet} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, we identify double complexes with complexes in  $C(\mathcal{A})$ ; see Lemma 1. Since each columns of  $X_k$  is acyclic, by Proposition 3  $\text{tot}(X_k)$  is acyclic. We observe that  $\{\text{tot}(X_k)\}_{k \geq 1}$  is an ascending chain of sub-complexes of  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$ . Moreover, we have  $\text{colim}_{k \geq 1} \text{tot}(X_k) \simeq \text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$ . By assumption ( $\dagger$ ), the sequence  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$ , as the colimit of acyclic complexes with injective structure morphisms, is acyclic.  $\square$

We assume now that  $\mathcal{A}$  has both countable coproducts and products. For a double complex  $X$ , we have the total complexes  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$  and  $\text{tot}^{\text{I}}(X)$ , where  $\text{tot}^{\text{I}}(X)$  is given by  $\text{tot}^{\text{I}}(X)^n = \prod_{p+q=n} X^{p,q}$ . Moreover, there is a canonical cochain morphism

$$\text{can}: \text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{tot}^{\text{I}}(X),$$

which is in general not a quasi-isomorphism.

We mention that the total complex  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$  might be viewed as the total complex of the double complex  $X$  in the opposite category  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ . More precisely, the double complex  $X$  induces a double complex  $X^{\text{op}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ , where  $(X^{\text{op}})^{p,q} = X^{-p,-q}$ , the horizontal differential  $(X^{\text{op}})^{p,q} \rightarrow (X^{\text{op}})^{p+1,q}$  is given by  $d_h^{-p-1,-q}$  and the vertical differential  $(X^{\text{op}})^{p,q} \rightarrow (X^{\text{op}})^{p,q+1}$  is given by  $d_v^{-p,-q-1}$ . Then we have

$$\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X^{\text{op}}) = \text{tot}^{\text{I}}(X)^{\text{op}}.$$

Here, we identify  $C(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$  with  $C(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ . Indeed, a complex  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  gives rise to a complex  $Y^{\text{op}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  such that  $(Y^{\text{op}})^n = Y^{-n}$  and the differential  $(Y^{\text{op}})^n \rightarrow (Y^{\text{op}})^{n+1}$  is given by the differential  $d_Y^{-n-1}$  of  $Y$ .

**Example 6.** We assume that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}_4\text{-Mod}$  is the abelian category of left  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -modules. We consider the following double complex  $X$ , whose differentials are given by the multiplication of  $\bar{2}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{2}} & \mathbb{Z}_4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{2}} & \mathbb{Z}_4 \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{2}} & \mathbb{Z}_4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{2}} & \mathbb{Z}_4 \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{2}} & \mathbb{Z}_4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{2}} & \mathbb{Z}_4 \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

The columns and rows are acyclic. However, none of  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X)$  and  $\text{tot}^{\text{I}}(X)$  is acyclic, and the canonical morphism  $\text{can}: \text{tot}^{\text{II}}(X) \rightarrow \text{tot}^{\text{I}}(X)$ , which is an injective cochain morphism, is not a quasi-isomorphism.

We denote by  $Y$  the sub-double complex  $X$  obtained by all the columns of non-negative degrees, and by  $Z$  the corresponding quotient double complex. We observe that  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(Y)$  is not acyclic but  $\text{tot}^{\text{I}}(Y)$  is acyclic. On the other hand,  $\text{tot}^{\text{II}}(Z)$  is acyclic but  $\text{tot}^{\text{I}}(Z)$  is not acyclic.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Jian Liu for Example 6. Proposition 5 is used to construct the dg-projective and dg-injective resolutions for dg modules. The treatment here avoids spectral sequences. However, we point out that spectral sequences provide more useful information for the total complexes of a general double complex.

Xiao-Wu Chen

Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026,  
P.R. China.

URL: <http://home.ustc.edu.cn/~xwchen>

E-mail: [xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn](mailto:xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn).