

ADJOINT PAIRS AND CANONICAL MORPHISMS FOR BIMODULES

XIAO-WU CHEN

ABSTRACT. It is well known that bimodules, rather than one-sided modules, play a central role in algebra. The main reason is that bimodules give rise to adjoint pairs between module categories. We collect the adjoint pairs and canonical morphisms associated to a given bimodule. The composition of these adjoint pairs is studied.

For a unital ring R , we denote by $R\text{-Mod}$ and $\text{Mod-}R$ the category of left R -modules and the category of right R -modules, respectively. We denote by Hom_R the Hom group in $R\text{-Mod}$ and by Hom_{-R} the one in $\text{Mod-}R$. We identify right R -modules as left R^{op} -modules, where R^{op} is the opposite ring of R . A left R -module X is indicated by ${}_R X$ and a right R -module Y is indicated by Y_R .

Let R and S be two unital rings. We fix an R - S -bimodule ${}_R M_S$. We will also view M as an S^{op} - R^{op} -bimodule. We denote by Hom_{R-S} the Hom group in the category of R - S -bimodules.

Lemma 1. For ${}_S X$ and ${}_R Y$, the canonical isomorphism

$$(0.1) \quad \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_S X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_S(X, \text{Hom}_R(M, Y))$$

sends f to $(x \mapsto (m \mapsto f(m \otimes x)))$. In other words, we have an adjoint pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M \otimes_S - & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ S\text{-Mod} & & R\text{-Mod} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \text{Hom}_R(M, -) & \end{array}$$

The unit of the adjoint pair is given by

$$X \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, M \otimes_S X), \quad x \mapsto (m \mapsto m \otimes x),$$

while the counit is given by

$$M \otimes_S \text{Hom}_R(M, Y) \longrightarrow Y, \quad m \otimes g \mapsto g(m).$$

Remark 2. For the given ${}_S X$ and ${}_R Y$, the group $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, Y)$ is naturally an R - S -bimodule. In view of (0.1), the following isomorphism is of interest

$$\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_S X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{R-S}(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, Y)), \quad f \mapsto (m \mapsto (x \mapsto f(m \otimes x))).$$

We observe that the next lemma might be obtained by applying Lemma 1 for the bimodule ${}_{S^{\text{op}}} M_{R^{\text{op}}}$.

Lemma 3. For Z_R and W_S , the canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{-S}(Z \otimes_R M, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{-R}(Z, \text{Hom}_{-S}(M, W))$$

Date: May 13, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16D20, 16D10, 18A40.

Key words and phrases. bimodule, adjoint pair, canonical morphism, dual bimodule.

This paper belongs to a series of informal notes, without claim of originality.

sends f to $(z \mapsto (m \mapsto f(z \otimes m)))$. In other words, we have an adjoint pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{-\otimes_R M} & \\ \text{Mod-}R & & \text{Mod-}S \\ & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_{-S}(M, -)} & \end{array}$$

The unit of the adjoint pair is given by

$$Z \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{-S}(M, Z \otimes_R M), \quad z \mapsto (m \mapsto z \otimes m),$$

while the counit is given by

$$\text{Hom}_{-S}(M, W) \otimes_R M \longrightarrow W, \quad g \otimes m \mapsto g(m).$$

Remark 4. Similar to Remark 2, we have the following isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{-S}(Z \otimes_R M, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{R-S}(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z, W)), \quad f \mapsto (m \mapsto (z \mapsto f(z \otimes m))).$$

The following contravariant adjoint pair is less well known. The opposite category of a category \mathcal{C} is denoted by \mathcal{C}^{op} .

Lemma 5. For ${}_R Y$ and W_S , the canonical isomorphism

$$(0.2) \quad \text{Hom}_{-S}(W, \text{Hom}_R(Y, M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_R(Y, \text{Hom}_{-S}(W, M))$$

sends g to $(y \mapsto (w \mapsto g(w)(y)))$. In other words, we have an adjoint pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(-, M)} & \\ R\text{-Mod} & & (\text{Mod-}S)^{\text{op}} \\ & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_{-S}(-, M)} & \end{array}$$

The unit is given by

$$Y \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{-S}(\text{Hom}_R(Y, M), M), \quad y \mapsto (f \mapsto f(y)),$$

while the counit is given by the following homomorphism in $\text{Mod-}S$

$$W \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_{-S}(W, M), M), \quad w \mapsto (g \mapsto g(w)).$$

Remark 6. For the given ${}_R Y$ and W_S , we have a natural R - S -bimodule $Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W$. In view of (0.2), we have the following natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{-S}(W, \text{Hom}_R(Y, M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{R-S}(Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W, M), \quad f \mapsto (y \otimes w \mapsto f(w)(y)).$$

In what follows, we study the composition of these functors.

For the bimodule ${}_R M_S$, $\text{End}_R(M) = \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is naturally an S -bimodule and $\text{End}_{-S}(M) = \text{Hom}_{-S}(M, M)$ is naturally an R -bimodule. Indeed, the S -bimodule structure on $\text{End}_R(M)$ is induced by the ring homomorphism $S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$. Similarly, the R -bimodule structure on $\text{End}_{-S}(M)$ is induced by the ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow \text{End}_{-S}(M)$.

The following observation seems to be of independent interest.

Lemma 7. There is an isomorphism of S - R -bimodules

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{End}_{-S}(M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{-S}(M, \text{End}_R(M)), \quad f \mapsto (m \mapsto (m' \mapsto f(m')(m))).$$

We might call the above common S - R -bimodule the dual bimodule of M , which will be denoted by M^\vee .

Proof. The isomorphism follows from (0.2). We observe that the isomorphism respects the S - R -bimodule structures. \square

Lemma 8. For ${}_S X$ and W_S , we have canonical isomorphisms of S -modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M \otimes_S X, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_S(X, \mathrm{End}_R(M)), f \mapsto (x \mapsto (m \mapsto f(m \otimes x))),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(W, M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(W, \mathrm{End}_R(M)), g \mapsto (w \mapsto (m \mapsto g(m)(w))).$$

In other words, we have natural isomorphisms between functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, M) \circ M \otimes_S - \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, \mathrm{End}_R(M)),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, -) \circ \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(-, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(-, \mathrm{End}_R(M)).$$

Similarly, we have the following result.

Lemma 9. For ${}_R Y$ and Z_R , we have canonical isomorphisms of R -modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(Z \otimes_R M, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{-R}(Z, \mathrm{End}_{-S}(M)), f \mapsto (z \mapsto (m \mapsto f(z \otimes m))),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(M, \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, \mathrm{End}_{-S}(M)), g \mapsto (y \mapsto (m \mapsto g(m)(y))).$$

In other words, we have natural isomorphisms between functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(-, M) \circ - \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{-R}(-, \mathrm{End}_{-S}(M))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(M, -) \circ \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{End}_{-S}(M)).$$

The following general fact is needed.

Lemma 10. Let T be another ring. Assume that ${}_S A_T$ and ${}_R B_T$ are an S - T -bimodule and an R - T -bimodule, respectively. For each ${}_S X$, there is a canonical isomorphism of right R -modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(B, \mathrm{Hom}_S(X, A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_S(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(B, A))$$

sending f to $(x \mapsto (b \mapsto f(b)(x)))$. In other words, we have a natural isomorphism between functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(B, -) \circ \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(B, A)).$$

The following result seems to be not well known.

Proposition 11. Let ${}_R M_S$ be the R - S -bimodule as above and ${}_S M^\vee_R$ its dual bimodule. Then there are two commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{M \otimes_S -} & R\text{-Mod} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, M^\vee) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, M) \\ (\mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(M, -)} & (\mathrm{Mod}\text{-}S)^{\mathrm{op}}. \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{Mod}\text{-}R)^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow{- \otimes_R M} & (\mathrm{Mod}\text{-}S)^{\mathrm{op}} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{-R}(-, M^\vee) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(-, M) \\ S\text{-Mod} & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, -)} & R\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$

Proof. For the first commutative diagram, we just combine the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, M) \circ M \otimes_S - \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, \mathrm{End}_R(M))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_S(M, -) \circ \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, \mathrm{End}_R(M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_S(-, \mathrm{Hom}_S(M, \mathrm{End}_R(M))).$$

We refer to Lemmas 8 and 10 for these isomorphisms. The second diagram is proved in a similar way, which might be deduced by the composition of the above three adjoint pairs. \square

Acknowledgements. This note arises in a dg algebra seminar in Hefei, April 2018. We observe that the results stated here hold in the dg setting, where the signs should be taken care of.

Xiao-Wu Chen

Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026,

P.R. China.

URL: <http://home.ustc.edu.cn/~xwchen>

E-mail: xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn.