

# An Auslander-type result for Gorenstein-projective modules <sup>☆</sup>

Xiao-Wu Chen

*Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, PR China*

Received 6 November 2007; accepted 17 April 2008

Available online 20 May 2008

Communicated by Michael J. Hopkins

---

## Abstract

An artin algebra  $A$  is said to be CM-finite if there are only finitely many, up to isomorphisms, indecomposable finitely generated Gorenstein-projective  $A$ -modules. We prove that for a Gorenstein artin algebra, it is CM-finite if and only if every its Gorenstein-projective module is a direct sum of finitely generated Gorenstein-projective modules. This is an analogue of Auslander's theorem on algebras of finite representation type [M. Auslander, A functorial approach to representation theory, in: Representations of Algebras, Workshop Notes of the Third Internat. Conference, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 944, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, pp. 105–179; M. Auslander, Representation theory of artin algebras II, Comm. Algebra (1974) 269–310].

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Gorenstein-projective modules; Triangulated categories; Dualizing varieties

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be an artin  $R$ -algebra, where  $R$  is a commutative artinian ring. Denote by  $A\text{-mod}$  (resp.  $A\text{-mod}$ ) the category of (resp. finitely generated) left  $A$ -modules. Denote by  $A\text{-Proj}$  (resp.  $A\text{-proj}$ ) the category of (resp. finitely generated) projective  $A$ -modules. Following [21], a chain complex  $P^\bullet$  of projective  $A$ -modules is defined to be *totally-acyclic*, if for every projective

---

<sup>☆</sup> This project was supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation No. 20070420125, and was also partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10725104, 10501041 and 10601052). The author also gratefully acknowledges the support of K.C. Wong Education Foundation, Hong Kong.

*E-mail address:* [xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn](mailto:xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn).

module  $Q \in A\text{-Proj}$  the Hom-complexes  $\text{Hom}_A(Q, P^\bullet)$  and  $\text{Hom}_A(P^\bullet, Q)$  are exact. A module  $M$  is said to be *Gorenstein-projective* if there exists a totally-acyclic complex  $P^\bullet$  such that the 0th cocycle  $Z^0(P^\bullet) = M$ . Denote by  $A\text{-GProj}$  the full subcategory of Gorenstein-projective modules. Similarly, we define finitely generated Gorenstein-projective modules by replacing all modules above by finitely generated ones, and we also get the category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  of finitely generated Gorenstein-projective modules [17]. It is known that  $A\text{-Gproj} = A\text{-GProj} \cap A\text{-mod}$  [14, Lemma 3.4]. Finitely generated Gorenstein-projective modules are also referred as maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules. These modules play a central role in the theory of singularity [10–12, 14] and of relative homological algebra [9,17].

An artin algebra  $A$  is said to be *CM-finite* if there are only finitely many, up to isomorphisms, indecomposable finitely generated Gorenstein-projective modules. Recall that an artin algebra  $A$  is said to be of *finite representation type* if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable finitely generated modules. Clearly, finite representation type implies CM-finite. The converse is not true, in general.

Let us recall the following famous result of Auslander [3,4] (see also Ringel–Tachikawa [27, Corollary 4.4]):

**Auslander’s theorem.** *An artin algebra  $A$  is of finite representation type if and only if every  $A$ -module is a direct sum of finitely generated modules, that is,  $A$  is left pure semisimple, see [31].*

Inspired by the theorem above, one may conjecture the following Auslander-type result for Gorenstein-projective modules: an artin algebra  $A$  is CM-finite if and only if every Gorenstein-projective  $A$ -module is a direct sum of finitely generated ones. However we can only prove this conjecture in a nice case.

Recall that an artin algebra  $A$  is said to be Gorenstein [19] if the regular module  $A$  has finite injective dimension both at the left and right sides. Our main result is

**Main theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a Gorenstein artin algebra. Then  $A$  is CM-finite if and only if every Gorenstein-projective  $A$ -module is a direct sum of finitely generated Gorenstein-projective modules.*

Note that our main result has a similar character to a result by Beligiannis [9, Proposition 11.23], and also note that similar concepts were introduced and then similar results and ideas were developed by Rump in a series of papers [28–30].

## 2. Proof of Main theorem

Before giving the proof, we recall some notions and known results.

**2.1.** Let  $A$  be an artin  $R$ -algebra. By a subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $A\text{-mod}$ , we mean a full additive subcategory which is closed under taking direct summands. Let  $M \in A\text{-mod}$ . We recall from [6,7] that a *right  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation* of  $M$  is a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow M$  such that  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  and every morphism from an object in  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $M$  factors through  $f$ . The subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *contravariantly-finite* in  $A\text{-mod}$  if each finitely generated modules has a right  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation. Dually, one defines the notions of *left  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximations* and *covariantly-finite* subcategories. The subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *functorially-finite* in  $A\text{-mod}$  if it is contravariantly-finite and

covariantly-finite. Recall that a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow M$  is said to be *right minimal*, if for each endomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $f = f \circ h$ , then  $h$  is an isomorphism. A right  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation  $f : X \rightarrow M$  is said to be a *right minimal  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation* if it is right minimal. Note that if a right approximation exists, so does right minimal one; a right minimal approximation, if in existence, is unique up to isomorphisms. For details, see [6–8].

The following fact is known.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be an artin algebra. Then*

- (1) *The subcategory  $A\text{-Gproj}$  of  $A\text{-mod}$  is closed under taking direct summands, kernels of epimorphisms and extensions, and contains  $A\text{-proj}$ .*
- (2) *The category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is a Frobenius exact category [22], whose relative projective-injective objects are precisely contained in  $A\text{-proj}$ . Thus the stable category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  modulo projectives is a triangulated category.*
- (3) *Let  $A$  be Gorenstein. Then the subcategory  $A\text{-Gproj}$  of  $A\text{-mod}$  is functorially-finite.*
- (4) *Let  $A$  be Gorenstein. Denote by  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$  a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic simple  $A$ -modules. Denote by  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow S_i$  the right minimal  $A\text{-Gproj}$ -approximations. Then every finitely generated Gorenstein-projective module  $M$  is a direct summand of some module  $M'$ , such that there exists a finite chain of submodules  $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_{m-1} \subseteq M_m = M'$  with each subquotient  $M_j/M_{j-1}$  lying in  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is nothing but  $\mathcal{X}_\omega$  with  $\omega = A\text{-proj}$  in [6, Section 5]. Thus (1) follows from [6, Proposition 5.1], and (3) follows from [6, Corollary 5.10(1)] (just note that in this case, the regular module  ${}_A A$  is a cotilting module).

Since  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is closed under extensions, thus it becomes an exact category in the sense of [22]. The property of being Frobenius and the characterization of projective-injective objects follow directly from the definition, also see [14, Proposition 3.1(1)]. Thus by [18, Chapter 1, Section 2], the stable category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is triangulated.

By (1) and (3), we see that (4) is a special case of [6, Proposition 3.8].  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative artinian ring as above. An additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be  *$R$ -linear* if all its Hom-spaces are  $R$ -modules, and the composition maps are  $R$ -bilinear. An  $R$ -linear category is said to be *hom-finite*, if all its Hom-spaces are finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Recall that an  *$R$ -variety  $\mathcal{C}$*  means a hom-finite  $R$ -linear category which is skeletally-small and idempotent-split (that is, for each idempotent morphism  $e : X \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exist  $u : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $v : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $e = v \circ u$  and  $\text{Id}_Y = u \circ v$ ). It is well known that a skeletally-small  $R$ -linear category is an  $R$ -variety if and only if it is hom-finite and Krull–Schmidt (i.e., every object is a finite sum of indecomposable objects with local endomorphism rings). See [26, p. 52] or [16, Appendix A]. Then it follows that any factor category [8, p. 101] of an  $R$ -variety is still an  $R$ -variety.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $R$ -variety. We will abbreviate the Hom-space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  as  $(X, Y)$ . Denote by  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-Mod})$  (resp.  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$ ) the category of contravariant  $R$ -linear functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $R\text{-Mod}$  (resp.  $R\text{-mod}$ ). Then  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-Mod})$  is an abelian category and  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$  is its abelian subcategory. Denote by  $(-, C)$  the representable functor for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . A functor  $F$  is said to be *finitely generated* if there exists an epimorphism  $(-, C) \rightarrow F$  for some object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ;  $F$  is said to be *finitely presented (= coherent)* [2,4], if there exists an exact sequence of functors

$(-, C_1) \rightarrow (-, C_0) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{fp}(\mathcal{C})$  the subcategory of  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-Mod})$  consisting of finitely presented functors. Clearly,  $\mathbf{fp}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$ . Recall the duality

$$D = \text{Hom}_R(-, E) : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow R\text{-mod},$$

where  $E$  is the injective hull of  $R/\text{rad}(R)$  as an  $R$ -module. Therefore, it induces duality  $D : (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, R\text{-mod})$  and  $D : (\mathcal{C}, R\text{-mod}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$ . The  $R$ -variety  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *dualizing  $R$ -variety* [5], if this duality preserves finitely presented functors.

The following observation is important.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Gorenstein artin  $R$ -algebra. Then the stable category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is a dualizing  $R$ -variety.*

**Proof.** Since  $A\text{-Gproj} \subseteq A\text{-mod}$  is closed under taking direct summands, thus idempotent-split. Therefore, we infer that  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is an  $R$ -variety, and its stable category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is also an  $R$ -variety. By Lemma 2.1(3), the subcategory  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is functorially-finite in  $A\text{-mod}$ , then by a result of Auslander and Smalø [7, Theorem 2.4(b)]  $A\text{-Gproj}$  has almost-split sequences, and thus these sequences induce Auslander–Reiten triangles in  $A\text{-Gproj}$  (let us remark that it is Happel [19, 4.7] who realized this fact for the first time). Hence the triangulated category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  has Auslander–Reiten triangles, and by a theorem of Reiten and Van den Bergh [25, Theorem I.2.4] we infer that  $A\text{-Gproj}$  has Serre duality. Now by [20, Proposition 2.11] (or [13, Corollary 2.6]), we deduce that  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is a dualizing  $R$ -variety. Let us remark that the last two cited results are given in the case where  $R$  is a field, however one just notes that the results can be extended to the case where  $R$  is a commutative artinian ring without any difficulty.  $\square$

For the next result, we recall more notions on functors over varieties. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $R$ -variety and let  $F \in (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-Mod})$  be a functor. Denote by  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$  the complete set of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The *support* of  $F$  is defined by  $\text{supp}(F) = \{C \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C}) \mid F(C) \neq 0\}$ . The functor  $F$  is *simple* if it has no nonzero proper subfunctors, and  $F$  has *finite length* if it is a finite iterated extension of simple functors. Observe that  $F$  has finite length if and only if  $F$  lies in  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$  and  $\text{supp}(F)$  is a finite set. The functor  $F$  is said to be *noetherian*, if its every subfunctor is finitely generated. It is a good exercise to show that a functor  $F$  is noetherian if and only if every ascending chain of subfunctors in  $F$  becomes stable after finite steps (one may use the fact: for a finitely generated functor  $F$  with an epimorphism  $(-, C) \rightarrow F$ , then for any subfunctor  $F'$  of  $F$ ,  $F' = F$  provided that  $F'(C) = F(C)$ ). Observe that a functor having finite length is necessarily noetherian by an argument on its total length (i.e.,  $l(F) = \sum_{C \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} l_R(F(C))$ , where  $l_R$  denotes the length function on finitely generated  $R$ -modules).

The following result is essentially due to Auslander (compare [4, Proposition 3.10]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dualizing  $R$ -variety,  $F \in (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$ . Then  $F$  has finite length if and only if  $F$  is finitely presented and noetherian.*

**Proof.** Recall from [5, Corollary 3.3] that for a dualizing  $R$ -variety, functors having finite length are finitely presented. So the “only if” follows.

For the “if” part, assume that  $F$  is finitely presented and noetherian. Since  $F$  is finitely presented, by [5, p. 324], we have the filtration of subfunctors

$$0 = \text{soc}_0(F) \subseteq \text{soc}_1(F) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{soc}_{i+1}(F) \subseteq \cdots$$

where  $\text{soc}_1(F)$  is the socle of  $F$ , and in general  $\text{soc}_{i+1}$  is the preimage of the socle of  $F/\text{soc}_i(F)$  under the canonical epimorphism  $F \rightarrow F/\text{soc}_i(F)$ . Since  $F$  is noetherian, we get  $\text{soc}_{i_0} F = \text{soc}_{i_0+1}(F)$  for some  $i_0$ , and that is, the socle of  $F/\text{soc}_{i_0}(F)$  is zero. However, by the dual of [5, Proposition 3.5], we know that for each nonzero finitely presented functor  $F$ , the socle  $\text{soc}(F)$  is necessarily nonzero and finitely generated semisimple. In particular,  $\text{soc}(F)$  has finite length, and thus it is finitely presented. Note that  $\mathbf{fp}(C) \subseteq (C^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$  is an abelian subcategory, and thus  $F/\text{soc}_1(F)$  is finitely presented. Applying the above argument to  $F/\text{soc}_1(F)$ , we obtain that  $\text{soc}_2(F)$ , as an extension between the socles of two finitely presented functors, has finite length. In general, one proves that  $F/\text{soc}_i(F)$  is finitely presented and  $\text{soc}_{i+1}(F)$  has finite length for all  $i$ . Hence  $\text{soc}(F/\text{soc}_{i_0}(F)) = 0$  will imply that  $F/\text{soc}_{i_0}(F) = 0$ , i.e.,  $F = \text{soc}_{i_0}(F)$ , which has finite length.  $\square$

Let us consider the category  $A\text{-GProj}$ . Similar to Lemma 2.1(1), (2), we recall that  $A\text{-GProj} \subseteq A\text{-Mod}$  is closed under taking direct summands, kernels of epimorphisms and extensions, and it is a Frobenius exact category with (relative) projective-injective objects precisely contained in  $A\text{-Proj}$ . Consider the stable category  $\underline{A\text{-GProj}}$ , which is also triangulated and has arbitrary coproducts. Recall that in an additive category  $\mathcal{T}$  with arbitrary coproducts, an object  $T$  is said to be *compact*, if the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, -)$  commutes with coproducts. Denote the full subcategory of compact objects by  $\mathcal{T}^c$ . If we assume further that  $\mathcal{T}$  is triangulated, then  $\mathcal{T}^c$  is a thick triangulated subcategory. We say that the triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  is *compactly generated* [23,24], if the subcategory  $\mathcal{T}^c$  is skeletally-small and for each object  $X$ ,  $X \simeq 0$  provided that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, X) = 0$  for every compact object  $T$ .

Note that in our situation, we always have an inclusion  $A\text{-Gproj} \hookrightarrow \underline{A\text{-GProj}}$ , and in fact, we view it as  $A\text{-Gproj} \subseteq (A\text{-GProj})^c$ . Next lemma, probably known to experts, states the converse in Gorenstein case. It is a special case of [14, Theorem 4.1] (compare [10, Theorem 6.6]). One may note that in the artin case, the category  $A\text{-Gproj}$  is idempotent-split.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a Gorenstein artin algebra. Then the triangulated category  $\underline{A\text{-GProj}}$  is compactly generated and  $A\text{-Gproj} \subseteq (A\text{-GProj})^c$  is dense (i.e., surjective up to isomorphisms).*

## 2.2. Proof of Main theorem

Assume that  $A$  is a Gorenstein artin  $R$ -algebra. Set  $\mathcal{C} = A\text{-Gproj}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a dualizing  $R$ -variety. For a finitely generated Gorenstein-projective module  $M$ , we will denote by  $(-, M)$  the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, M)$ ; for an arbitrary module  $X$ , we denote by  $(-, X)|_{\mathcal{C}}$  the restriction of the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(-, X)$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For the “if” part, we assume that each Gorenstein-projective module is a direct sum of finitely generated ones. It suffices to show that the set  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$  is finite. For this end, assume that  $M$  is a finitely generated Gorenstein-projective module. We claim that the functor  $(-, M)$  is noetherian. In fact, given a subfunctor  $F \subseteq (-, M)$ , first of all, we may find an epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} (-, M_i) \rightarrow F,$$

where each  $M_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $I$  is an index set. Compose this epimorphism with the inclusion of  $F$  into  $(-, M)$ , we get a morphism from  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} (-, M_i)$  to  $(-, M)$ . By the universal property of coproducts

and then by Yoneda's lemma, we have, for each  $i$ , a morphism  $\theta_i: M_i \rightarrow M$ , such that  $F$  is the image of the morphism

$$\sum_{i \in I} (-, \theta_i): \bigoplus_{i \in I} (-, M_i) \rightarrow (-, M).$$

Note that  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} (-, M_i) \simeq (-, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i)|_{\mathcal{C}}$ , and the morphism above is also induced by the morphism  $\sum_{i \in I} \theta_i: \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow M$ . Form a triangle in  $A\text{-GProj}$

$$K[-1] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \xrightarrow{\sum_{i \in I} \theta_i} M \xrightarrow{\phi} K.$$

By assumption, we have a decomposition  $K = \bigoplus_{j \in J} K_j$  where each  $K_j$  is finitely generated Gorenstein-projective. Since the module  $M$  is finitely generated, we infer that  $\phi$  factors through a finite sum  $\bigoplus_{j \in J'} K_j$ , where  $J' \subseteq J$  is a finite subset. In other words,  $\phi$  is a direct sum of

$$M \xrightarrow{\phi'} \bigoplus_{j \in J'} K_j \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in J \setminus J'} K_j.$$

By the additivity of triangles, we deduce that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i & \xrightarrow{\sum_{i \in I} \theta_i} & M \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ M' \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \in J \setminus J'} K_j)[-1] & \xrightarrow{(\theta', 0)} & M \end{array}$$

where the left side vertical map is an isomorphism, and  $M'$  and  $\theta'$  are given by the triangle  $(\bigoplus_{j \in J'} K_j)[-1] \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\theta'} M \xrightarrow{\phi'} \bigoplus_{j \in J'} K_j$ . Note that  $M' \in \mathcal{C}$ , and by the above diagram we infer that  $F$  is the image of the morphism  $(-, \theta'): (-, M') \rightarrow (-, M)$ , and thus  $F$  is finitely generated. This proves the claim.

By the claim, and by Lemma 2.3, we deduce that for each  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ , the functor  $(-, M)$  has finite length, in particular,  $\text{supp}((-, M))$  is finite. Assume that  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic simple  $A$ -modules. Denote by  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow S_i$  the right minimal  $A$ -Gproj-approximations. By Lemma 2.1(4), the module  $M$  is a direct summand of  $M'$  and we have a finite chain of submodules of  $M'$  with factors being among  $X_i$ 's. Then it is not hard to see that  $\text{supp}((-, M)) \subseteq \text{supp}((-, M')) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}((-, X_i))$  for every  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore we deduce that  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}((-, X_i))$ , which is finite.

For the “only if” part, assume that the Gorenstein artin algebra  $A$  is CM-finite. Then the set  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$  is finite, say  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}) = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m\}$ . Set  $B = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_i)^{\text{op}}$ . Then  $B$  is also an artin  $R$ -algebra. Note that for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , the Hom-space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_i, C)$  has a natural left  $B$ -module structure, moreover, it is a finitely generated projective  $B$ -module. In fact, we get an equivalence of categories

$$\Phi = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_i, -\right): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B\text{-proj}.$$

Then the equivalence above naturally induces the following equivalences, still denoted by  $\Phi$ ,

$$\Phi : \mathbf{fp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow B\text{-mod}, \quad \Phi : (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}.$$

In what follows, we will use these equivalences. By [24, p. 169] (or [13, Proposition 2.4]), we know that the category  $\mathbf{fp}(\mathcal{C})$  is a Frobenius category. Therefore, via  $\Phi$ , we get that  $B$  is a self-injective algebra. Therefore by [1, Theorem 31.9], we get that  $B\text{-Mod}$  is also a Frobenius category, and by [1, p. 319], every projective-injective  $B$ -module is of form  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m Q_i^{(I_i)}$ , where  $\{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m\}$  is a complete set of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable projective  $B$ -modules such that  $Q_i = \Phi(G_i)$ , and each  $I_i$  is some index set, and  $Q_i^{(I_i)}$  is the corresponding coproduct.

Take  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$  to be a complete set of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable projective  $A$ -modules. Let  $G \in A\text{-GProj}$ . We will show that  $G$  is a direct sum of some copies of the modules  $G_i$  and  $P_j$ . Then we are done. Consider the functor  $(-, G)|_{\mathcal{C}}$ , which is cohomological, and thus by [13, Lemma 2.3] (or [24, p. 258]), we get  $\text{Ext}^1(F, (-, G)|_{\mathcal{C}}) = 0$  for each  $F \in \mathbf{fp}(\mathcal{C})$ , where the Ext group is taken in  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, R\text{-Mod})$ . Via  $\Phi$  and applying the Baer's criterion, we get that  $(-, G)|_{\mathcal{C}}$  is an injective object, and thus by the above, we get an isomorphism of functors

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m (-, G_i)^{(I_i)} \rightarrow (-, G)|_{\mathcal{C}},$$

where  $I_i$  are some index sets. As in the first part of the proof, we get a morphism  $\theta : \bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_i^{(I_i)} \rightarrow G$  such that it induces the isomorphism above. Form a triangle in  $A\text{-GProj}$

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_i^{(I_i)} \xrightarrow{\theta} G \rightarrow X \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_i^{(I_i)} \right)[1].$$

For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , applying the cohomological functor  $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-GProj}}(C, -)$  and by the property of  $\theta$ , we obtain that

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{-GProj}}(C, X) = 0, \quad \forall C \in \mathcal{C}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, the category  $A\text{-GProj}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , and thus  $X \simeq 0$ , and hence  $\theta$  is an isomorphism in the stable category  $\underline{A\text{-GProj}}$ . Thus it is well known (say, by [15, Lemma 1.1]) that this will force an isomorphism in the module category

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_i^{(I_i)} \oplus P \simeq G \oplus Q,$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are projective  $A$ -modules. Now by [1, p. 319], again,  $P$  is a direct sum of copies of the modules  $P_j$ . Hence the combination of Azumaya's theorem and Crawley-Jønsson-Warfield's theorem [1, Corollary 26.6] applies in our situation, and thus we infer that  $G$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of the modules  $G_i$  and  $P_j$ . This completes the proof.

## Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the referee very much for his/her helpful suggestions and comments.

## References

- [1] F.W. Anderson, K.R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 13, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [2] M. Auslander, *Representation Dimension of Artin Algebras*, Lecture Notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1971.
- [3] M. Auslander, Representation theory of artin algebras II, *Comm. Algebra* (1974) 269–310.
- [4] M. Auslander, A functorial approach to representation theory, in: *Representations of Algebras*, Workshop Notes of the Third Internat. Conference, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 944, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, pp. 105–179.
- [5] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Stable equivalence of dualizing R-varieties, *Adv. Math.* 12 (1974) 306–366.
- [6] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, *Adv. Math.* 86 (1) (1991) 111–152.
- [7] M. Auslander, S.O. Smalø, Almost split sequences in subcategories, *J. Algebra* 69 (1981) 426–454.
- [8] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S.O. Smalø, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, Cambridge Studies Adv. Math., vol. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] A. Beligiannis, Relative homological algebra and purity in triangulated categories, *J. Algebra* 227 (2000) 268–361.
- [10] A. Beligiannis, Cohen–Macaulay modules, (co)torsion pairs and virtually Gorenstein algebras, *J. Algebra* 288 (2005) 137–211.
- [11] R.O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules and Tate-cohomology over Gorenstein rings, unpublished manuscript, 1987, 155 pp.
- [12] R.O. Buchweitz, D. Eisenbud, J. Herzog, Cohen–Macaulay modules over quadrics, in: *Singularities, Representation of Algebras, and Vector Bundles*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1273, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987, pp. 58–116.
- [13] X.W. Chen, Generalized Serre duality, math.RT/0610258, submitted for publication.
- [14] X.W. Chen, Relative singularity categories and Gorenstein-projective modules, arXiv: 0709.1762, submitted for publication.
- [15] X.W. Chen, P. Zhang, Quotient triangulated categories, *Manuscripta Math.* 123 (2007) 167–183.
- [16] X.W. Chen, Y. Ye, P. Zhang, Algebras of derived dimension zero, *Comm. Algebra* 36 (2008) 1–10.
- [17] E.E. Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Exp. Math., vol. 30, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [18] D. Happel, *Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite Dimensional Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 119, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [19] D. Happel, On Gorenstein algebras, in: *Progr. Math.*, vol. 95, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991, pp. 389–404.
- [20] O. Iyama, Y. Yoshino, Mutations in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen–Macaulay modules, *Invent. Math.* 127 (1) (2008) 117–168.
- [21] S. Iyengar, H. Krause, Acyclicity versus total acyclicity for complexes over noetherian rings, *Documenta Math.* 11 (2006) 207–240.
- [22] B. Keller, Chain complexes and stable categories, *Manuscripta Math.* 67 (1990) 379–417.
- [23] A. Neeman, The connection between the K-theory localization theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao and the smashing subcategories of Bousfield and Ravenel, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 25 (1992) 547–566.
- [24] A. Neeman, *Triangulated Categories*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 148, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [25] I. Reiten, M. Van den Bergh, Noetherian hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre duality, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002) 295–366.
- [26] C.M. Ringel, *Tame Algebras and Integral Quadratic Forms*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1099, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [27] C.M. Ringel, H. Tachikawa, QF-3 rings, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 272 (1975) 49–72.
- [28] W. Rump, The category of lattices over a lattice-finite ring, *Algebras Represent. Theory* 8 (2005) 323–345.
- [29] W. Rump, Lattice-finite rings, *Algebras Represent. Theory* 8 (2005) 375–395.
- [30] W. Rump, Global theory of lattice-finite noetherian rings, *Algebras Represent. Theory* 9 (2005) 227–329.
- [31] D. Simson, Pure semisimple categories and rings of finite representation type, *J. Algebra* 48 (1977) 290–296; Corrigendum, *J. Algebra* 67 (1980) 254–256.