

黎曼曲面

孙天阳

2022 年 12 月 1 日

目录

目录	3
1 代数准备	4
1 结式与判别式	4
2 Bézout 定理	5
3 拐点	6
4 光滑平面曲线的亏格	7
1 度-亏格公式	7
2 光滑平面曲线上全纯 1-形式空间的维数	8
5 椭圆曲线	9
1 平面 3 次曲线的标准形式	9
2 Weierstrass \wp 函数	10
I Ahlfors	11
6 Elliptic functions	12

目录	2
1 Simply periodic functions	12
1.1 Representation by exp	12
1.2 Fourier development	13
1.3 Functions of finite order	13
2 Doubly perodic functions	14
2.1 The period module(\mathbb{Z} module)	14
2.2 Unimodular transform	14
2.3 The canonical basis	15
2.4 General properties of elliptic functions	17
3 The Weierstrass theory	20
3.1 \wp -function	20
3.2 The function $\zeta(z)$ and $\sigma(z)$	20
3.3 The modular function $\lambda(\tau)$	21
3.4 The conformal mapping by $\lambda(\tau)$	22
7 Global analytic functions	24
1 Analytic Continuation	24
1.1 Germs and sheaves	24
1.2 Sections and Riemann surfaces	26
1.3 Analytic continuation along arcs	29
1.4 Homotopy curves	30
1.5 The monodromy theorem	31
1.6 Branch points	32
2 Algebraic functions	33
2.1 Behavior at the critical points	35
3 Picard 小定理	37
4 线性微分方程	38
5 Riemann's point of view	42
II Kazaryan	43
8 Preliminaries	44
1 \mathbb{CP}^n	44
2 Coverings	45
3 Ramified coverings	46
9 Algebraic curves	47

目录	3
1 Plane algebraic curves	47
1.1 Irreducible/reducible curve	48
1.2 Singular/Smooth point	48
1.3 在仿射坐标卡中计算奇点	48
1.4 title	49
2 第八周周三	49
3 Bezout's theorem and its applications	51
4 4月18日第九周周一	52
4.1 Topological proof of Bezout's theorem	52
5 Rational parametrization	53
5.1 Nontransversally intersecting pairs of plane curves	54
10 Complex structures and the topology of curves	55
1 The complex structure on a curve	56
2 The genus of a smooth plane curve	57
2.1 证明二	57
2.2 定理 2.6 新证	57
3 4月25日第十周周一	58
3.1 j -invariant of smooth cubics	58
4 Hessian and inflection points	60
5 Hyperelliptic curves	61
6 Lifting of complex structures	62
7 Quotient curve	63
8 Meromorphic functions	64
11 Curves in \mathbb{P}^n	65
1 Definitions and examples	65
2 Embeddings and Immersions of Curves	67
12 Plücker formulas for smooth plane curves	68
1 5月16日	69
1.1 Supplement to 5.1	69
2 Singular parts of plane curves revisited	69
13	70
1 Lattices and Cubic Curves	70
14 title	71
1 Hyperelliptic curves and curves of genus 2	71

Chapter 1

代数准备

1 结式与判别式

3月16日 30分——1小时1分52秒

Theorem 1.1. *Let $P(w, z)$ and $Q(w, z)$ be relatively primes in $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$ and have positive degree in w . Then*

$$\#\{z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists w \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } P(w, z_0) = Q(w, z_0) = 0\} < \infty.$$

证明. We express P and Q according to decreasing powers of w . Assume $\deg_w P \geq \deg_w Q$

Using the Euclidean division algorithm, we have

$$c_0 P = q_0 Q + R_1$$

$$c_1 Q = q_1 R_1 + R_2$$

$$c_2 R_1 = q_2 R_2 + R_3$$

$$c_{n-1} R_{n-2} = q_{n-1} R_{n-1} + R_n$$

where $q_k, R_k \in \mathbb{C}[w, z], R_n \in \mathbb{C}[z]$

and $c_k \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ which are used to clean fractions.

CLAIM: $R_n(z) \neq 0$

Assume that $P(w_0, z_0) = Q(w_0, z_0) = 0$. Then by (4). $R_n(z_0) = 0$ □

Definition 1.1. *Letting that the exponents c_k in (4) have the lowest degree possible, we could determine $R_n(z)$ uniquely, which is called the resultant of P and Q . Moreover, $R_n(z) = pP + qQ$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$.*

Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ be irreducible. Then $P(w, z)$ and $P_w(w, z) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial w}(w, z)$ are relatively prime.

We call the resultant of P and P_w the discriminant of P . The zeros of the discriminant are exactly

Corollary 1.1. *The above set coincides with $\{z_0 \in \mathbb{C}, R_n(z_0) = 0\}$*

the values z_0 for which the equation $P(w, z_0) = 0$ has multiple roots.

Chapter 2

Bézout 定理

Chapter 3

拐点

Chapter 4

光滑平面曲线的亏格

1 度-亏格公式

2 光滑平面曲线上全纯 1-形式空间的维数

Chapter 5

椭圆曲线

1 平面 3 次曲线的标准形式

2 Weierstrass \wp 函数

Part I

Ahlfors

Chapter 6

Elliptic functions

1 Simply periodic functions

Notations

- region=domain=open connected subset of $\mathbb{C} = \{x + iy : x, y \in \mathbb{C}\}$ endowed the Euclidean topology
- Let $\Omega \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be meromorphic, where Ω is a region. Assume that Ω is left invariant under the translation $z \mapsto z + \omega$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$

Suppose that $f(z + \omega) = f(z), \forall z \in \Omega$. i.e. f has period ω . Then $n\omega (n \in \mathbb{Z})$ are also periods of f .

Call f a simply periodic function on Ω

Example 1.1. $\Omega = \mathbb{C}, e^z$ has period $2\pi i$, $\cos z$ and $\sin z$ have period 2π .

1.1 Representation by exp

Define $\Omega' = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi iz}{\omega}}, z \in \Omega \right\}$

Example 1.2. • $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega}}} \mathbb{C}^*$

- $\Omega = \left\{ a < \Im \frac{2\pi z}{\omega} < b \right\} \xrightarrow{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega}}} \Omega' = \{ e^{-b} < |\zeta| < e^{-a} \}$

Observation: Use notations as above, \exists a unique mero fun $\Omega' \xrightarrow{F} \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ s.t.

$$(1) f(z) = F\left(e^{\frac{2\pi iz}{\omega}}\right)$$

...

Take $\zeta \in \Omega', \exists$ "unique" $z \in \Omega$ up to translation s.t. $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi iz}{\omega}}$ It follows from that ω is period of f

Conversely, give a mero fun $F : \Omega' \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, we obtain by (1) a periodic mero func $\Omega \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{C}}$

1.2 Fourier development

Assumption: Suppose that F is holomorphic in annulus $\{r_1 < |\zeta| < r_2\}$ ($0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq +\infty$)
 Then F has its Laurent development in the annulus,

$$F(\zeta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n \zeta^n, c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=r} F(\zeta \zeta^{-n-1}) d\zeta (r_1 < r < r_2)$$

Hence we obtain the complex Fourier development of $f(z)$

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{\frac{2\pi i z}{\omega}}, c_n = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_a^{a+\omega} f(z) e^{-\frac{2\pi i n z}{\omega}} dz$$

in the corresponding strip $\left\{ -\ln r_2 < \Im \frac{2\pi z}{\omega} < -\ln r_1 \right\}$

Example 1.3. As $\Omega = \mathbb{C}, \Omega' = \mathbb{C}^*$, the complex Fourier development of f holds everywhere.

1.3 Functions of finite order

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ and $\Omega' = \mathbb{C}^*$, $F : \Omega' \xrightarrow{\text{mero}} \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ has at most poles at $0, \infty$

Then F is rational, i.e.

$$F = \frac{\text{polynomial}}{\text{polynomial}}$$

with degree d . We say f is finite order, equal to $d = \deg F$.

Define a congruent relation $z \sim z + \omega$, which is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{C}

The set of congruent classes can be identified with the periodic strip $S = \left\{ 0 \leq \Im \frac{2\pi z}{\omega} < 2\pi \right\}$

By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \overline{\mathbb{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^* & & \end{array}$$

f is of order d , assumes each $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{F(0), F(\infty)\}$

at d different congruent classes.

Since $f(z) \rightarrow F(0)$ as $\Im \frac{z}{\omega} \rightarrow -\infty$; $f(z) \rightarrow F(\infty)$ as $\Im \frac{z}{\omega} \rightarrow +\infty$

we can understand that f assumes both $F(0)$ and $F(\infty)$ with multiplicity d .

Since the strip S contains only one representative of each congruent class, f assumes each $c \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ d times in S , with a special case for $F(0)$ and $F(\infty)$.

2 Doubly periodic functions

Definition 2.1. *Elliptic functions are mero functions with two \mathbb{R} -linear independent periods on \mathbb{C} .*

2.1 The period module(\mathbb{Z} module)

Let $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be mero and M the set of periods of f .

M may be $\{0\}$. If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in M$ then $n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 \in M \forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence M is a \mathbb{Z} -module

观察: 假设 f 不是常值则 M 离散

Call M the period module of f .

Theorem 2.1 (Classification of period modules). *Assume f non const. Then M can be classified as: $\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}\omega (\omega \in \mathbb{C}^*), \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 (\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \notin \mathbb{R})$*

证明. Assume $M \neq \{0\}$.

由离散性, 可取一个以原点为圆心, r 为半径的闭圆盘, 使得其中有有限个 M 中元素。

Since M is discrete, $\exists 0 \neq \omega_1 \in M$ s.t. $|\omega_1| = \inf_{\omega \neq 0} |\omega|$

Assume $M \supsetneq \mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ Take $\omega_2 \in M \setminus \mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ s.t.

$$|\omega_2| = \inf_{\omega \in M \setminus \mathbb{Z}\omega_1} |\omega|$$

Then $\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \notin \mathbb{R}$, otherwise, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, n < \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} < n + 1$. Then $0 < |n\omega_1 - \omega_2| < |\omega_1|$, contradict the definition of ω_1

The problem is reduced to the following claim

CLAIM: $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$

Since $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_1} \notin \mathbb{R}$, $\begin{vmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 \\ \bar{\omega}_1 & \bar{\omega}_2 \end{vmatrix}$

$\forall \omega \in M$, solving the equations

$$\begin{cases} \omega = \lambda_1\omega_1 + \lambda_2\omega_2 \\ \bar{\omega} = \lambda_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \lambda_2\bar{\omega}_2 \end{cases}$$

we find $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$

Choose $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} : |\lambda_1 - m_1|, |\lambda_2 - m_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}$

Assume further $\omega \in M$, Setting $\omega' = \omega - m_1\omega_1 - m_2\omega_2$, we have

$$|\omega'| = |(\lambda_1 - m_1)\omega_1 + (\lambda_2 - m_2)\omega_2| < |\lambda_1 - m_1||\omega_1| + |\lambda_2 - m_2||\omega_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\omega_1| + |\omega_2|) \leq |\omega_2|$$

By the definition of ω_2 , since $\omega' \in M$, $\omega' \in \mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ □

From now on we assume $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ is the period module of an elliptic function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$

2.2 Unimodular transform

模群,

- $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, 阿尔福斯

- $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, 维基
- $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, 维基

Call a pair (ω'_1, ω'_2) a basis of M if $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega'_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega'_2$

Relation between two bases (ω_1, ω_2) and (ω'_1, ω'_2) of M
 choose $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$(2) \begin{cases} \omega'_2 = a\omega_2 + b\omega_1 \\ \omega'_1 = c\omega_2 + d\omega_1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \omega_2 = a'\omega'_2 + b'\omega'_1 \\ \omega_1 = c'\omega'_2 + d'\omega'_1 \end{cases}$$

use elementary linear algebra, we know that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Call a linear transform in (2) with integral coefficients and $\det \pm 1$ unimodular.

Fact: Any two bases of the same module $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ are connected by a unimodular transform

Notations Modular gp: $= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : ad - bc = \pm 1, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Denote $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$,

$$PSL(2, R) = \left\{ \text{Mbiustransform } z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \mid a, b, c, d \in R, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

Example 2.1. $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}), PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \sim \mathcal{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im\tau > 0\}$

$$\tau \mapsto \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

2.3 The canonical basis

In the proof of Theorem 1 we roughly obtained a cononical basis (ω_2, ω_1) s.t.

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \in \text{the fundamental region in Fig 1.}$$

Theorem 2.2. \exists a basis (ω_1, ω_2) of M s.t. $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$ satisfies the followint conditions

- (i) $\Im\tau > 0$
- (ii) $-\frac{1}{2} < \Re\tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- (iii) $|\tau| \geq 1$
- (iv) $\Re\tau \geq 0$ if $|\tau| = 1$

The ratio τ is uniquely determined by these conditions, and there exists 2, 4 of 6 choices of canonical bases.

证明. Select ω_1 and ω_2 as in the proof of Theorem1 such that

$$|\omega_1| \leq |\omega_2|, |\omega_2| \leq |\omega_1 + \omega_2| \text{ and } |\omega_2| \leq |\omega_1 - \omega_2|,$$

which are equivalent to

$$1 \leq |\tau|, |\tau| \leq |1 + \tau| \text{ and } |\tau| \leq |1 - \tau|.$$

Take another canonical basis $(\omega'_2, \omega'_1)^T$ satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega'_2 \\ \omega'_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_2 \\ \omega_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $ad - bc = \pm 1$.

$$\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} = \frac{ac|\tau|^2 + bd + (ad + bc)\Re\tau + i(ad - bc)\Im\tau}{|c\tau + d|^2}, \Im\tau' = \frac{(ad - bc)\Im\tau}{|c\tau + d|^2}$$

$$(i) \implies ad - bc = 1$$

We may assume that $\Im\tau' \geq \Im\tau$, then $|c\tau + d| \leq 1$.

Case1 $c = 0$

$$|d| \leq 1 \text{ and } d \in \mathbb{Z} \implies d = \pm 1$$

$$ad - bc = 1 \implies a = d = \pm 1$$

$$\implies \tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{d} = \tau \pm b$$

$$\implies \Re\tau' - \Re\tau = \pm b \in \mathbb{Z}, \Im\tau' = \Im\tau$$

$$(ii) \Re\tau - \Re\tau' \in (-1, 1) \implies |b| < 1 \implies b = 0 \implies \tau' = \tau$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Case2 Assume that $c \neq 0$ from now on ,tehn $|c| = 1$

$$\text{If } |c| \geq 2, \left| \tau + \frac{d}{c} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|c|} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} c = 1, |\tau + d| \leq 1 \\ c = -1, |\tau - 1| \leq 1 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} c = \pm 1, d = 0, |\tau| = 1 \\ d = -c = \pm 1, \tau = e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}} \end{cases}$$

$$(1) |\tau| = 1, d = 0, c = \pm 1$$

$$|c\tau + d| = |\tau| = 1 \implies \Im\tau' = \Im\tau$$

$$ad - bc = 1 \implies bc = -1 \implies b = -c = \pm 1$$

$$\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau} = \frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{\tau} = \pm a - \frac{1}{\tau} = \pm a - \Re\tau + i\Im\tau$$

$$\implies \Re\tau + \Re\tau' = \pm a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Re\tau + \Re\tau' \in (-1, 1]$$

- When $a = 0, \Re\tau' = -\Re\tau$

$$|\tau| = 1 \xrightarrow{(iv)} \Re\tau = 0$$

$$\tau' = \tau = i\Im\tau \xrightarrow{|\tau|=1} \Im\tau = 1, \tau' = \tau = i$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- When $a = \pm 1, \Re\tau = \Re\tau' = \frac{1}{2} \implies \tau' = \tau = e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2) $\tau = e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}, d = -c = \pm 1$

$$|c\tau + d| = 1 \implies \Im\tau' = \Im\tau$$

$$ad - bc = 1 \implies a + b = d$$

$$\tau' = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2a) + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, d = -c = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a) + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, d = -c = -1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} a = 0, d = -c = 1, b = 1 \\ a = 0, b = -1, d = -c = -1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

2.4 General properties of elliptic functions

Suppose that $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is meromorphic with preiod module $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 (\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \notin \mathbb{R})$. Then f takes the same value at each congruent class where we say that $z_1 \equiv z_2 \pmod{M}$ iff $z_1 - z_2 \in M$.

$\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$, set $P_a = \{a + t_1\omega_1 + t_2\omega_2 : 0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq 1\}$, f is completely determined by its values in P_a .

Assume that all elliptic functions are non-constant if otherwise stated.

Observation: $\exists a \in C$ s.t. f has neither poles nor zeros on ∂P_a .

证明. P_f :pole set, Z_f :zero set

$$L_s = \{a + s\omega_1 + t\omega_2, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

$$L_{s_1} \cap L_{s_2} = \emptyset, \forall s_1, s_2 \in [0, 1]$$

$$\exists s_0 \in [0, 1], L_{s_0} \cap P_f = \emptyset \text{ and } L_{s_0} \cap Z_f = \emptyset$$

$$L'_t = \{a + t\omega_2 + s\omega_1 : 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$$

$$\exists t_0 \in [0, 1], L'_{t_0} \cap P_f = L'_{t_0} \cap Z_f = \emptyset.$$

$$\text{Set } b = L_{s_0} \cap L'_{t_0}.$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Each non constant elliptic function has poles.*

Remark. *A pole of an elliptic function means a congruent class. Then an elliptic function has finitely many poles. We count the order of a pole as the usual way.*

Theorem 2.4. *The sum of the residues of the poles of an elliptic function vanishes.*

证明. We take ∂P_a as bellow, where f has no pole on ∂P_a . By the residue theorem,

$$\sum_{z \in \text{Int}P_a} \text{residue of } f \text{ at } z = \int_{\partial P_a} f(z) dz = 0$$

□

Remark. *There exists no elliptic function with a single simple pole.*

Theorem 2.5. *A nonconst elliptic function has equally many poles as its zeros.*

证明. Observe that $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ is elliptic and poles and zeros of f are simple poles of $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$. Moreover, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_P \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{mult}_P(f), & P \text{ is a zero of } f \\ -\operatorname{ord}_P(f), & P \text{ is a pole of } f \end{cases}$$

Then

$$0 = \int_{\partial P_\alpha} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \text{number of zeros of } f - \text{number of poles of } f$$

□

Definition 2.2. $\forall f \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) - c$ has the same poles as f . Hence, all complex numbers are assumed equally many times by f . We call the number of incongruent roots of equation $f(z) - c = 0$ the order of f .

Theorem 2.6. *The zeros a_1, \dots, a_n and poles b_1, \dots, b_n of an elliptic function of order n satisfy*

$$a_1 + \dots + a_n \equiv b_1 + \dots + b_n \pmod{M}.$$

f even elliptic function with period ω_1, ω_2 , can be expressed in the form

$$C \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\wp(z) - \wp(a_k)}{\wp(z) - \wp(b_k)}$$

provided that 0 is neither a zero nor a pole.

证明. $g(z) = \wp(z) - \wp(u), u \in P_0 \setminus \{0\}$

pole: double pole 0

zero:

- two simple zeros
- one double zero

Let $g(u) = 0, u \in Z_f \implies g(-u)$

$$u \equiv -u \pmod{M} \implies 2u = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 \text{ for some } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$u \in P_0 \setminus \{0\} \implies u = \frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2} \text{ or } \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}$$

- $u \neq \frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2}$ and $\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} \implies$ two simple zeros are $u, -u$

$$L_1 = \{t\omega_1 : t \in [0, 1)\}, L_2 = \{t\omega_2 : t \in [0, 1)\}$$

- When $u \in L_1, -u \equiv \omega_1 - u \pmod{M}$
- When $u \in L_2, -u \equiv \omega_2 - u \pmod{M}$
- $u \notin L_1 \cup L_2, -u \equiv \omega_1 + \omega_2 - u \pmod{M}$

$$\bullet u = \frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2} \text{ or } \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}$$

$$g(z) = g(-z) \implies g^{(2k-1)}(z) = -g^{(2k-1)}(-z) \implies g^{(2k-1)}(u) = 0, \forall k \geq 1$$

$\implies u$ is a double zero.

$$a \in Z_f, -a \in Z_f$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} a \not\equiv -a \pmod{M} \\ a \equiv -a \pmod{M} \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} 2 \text{ zeros : } a, -a \\ \text{order}(a) \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

$$Z_f = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, -a_1, \dots, -a_k, 2a_{k+1}, \dots, 2a_n, a_i \not\equiv -a_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k, a_i \equiv -a_i, k < i \leq n$$

$$P_f = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l, -b_1, \dots, -b_l, 2b_{l+1}, \dots, 2b_n, b_i \not\equiv -b_i, 1 \leq i \leq l, b_i \equiv -b_i, l < i \leq n$$

$$f = C \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\wp(z) - \wp(a_k)}{\wp(z) - \wp(b_k)}$$

□

3 The Weierstrass theory

3.1 \wp -function

Want to construct an elliptic function f of order 2 s.t. its Laurent development has form at the origin

$$z^{-2} + 0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$$

CLAIM: $f(z) = f(-z), \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ i.e. f is even. Since $f(z) - f(-z)$ is elliptic and holomorphic, $f(z) - f(-z) = \text{const}$. On the other hand, $f\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) - f\left(-\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) = 0$.

Fact(Weierstrass) An elliptic function of order 2 and with principal singular part z^{-2} at the origin must have form

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left[\frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right]$$

证明.

- Uniform convergence on each compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus M$ can be reduced to $\sum_{\omega \neq 0} \frac{1}{|\omega|^3} < +\infty$.
- Denote by f the RHS.

Termwisely differentiating, we find

$$f'(z) = -2 \sum_{\omega \in M} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^3}$$

has periods in M . Hence $f(z + w_j) - f(z) \equiv c_j$.

Choose $z_j = -\frac{\omega_j}{2}$, we have $c_j = f\left(\frac{\omega_j}{2}\right) - f\left(-\frac{\omega_j}{2}\right) = 0$

Since $\wp(z)$ and $f(z)$ have order 2 and the same principal singular part, $\wp(z) - f(z) = \text{const}$

Therefore $f(z) = \wp(z)$.

□

3.2 The function $\zeta(z)$ and $\sigma(z)$

We have the anti-derivative $-\zeta(z)$ of $\wp(z)$ as

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} \right)$$

Obeservation: \exists constants η_1, η_2 such that

$$\zeta(z + \omega_j) = \zeta(z) + \eta_j, j = 1, 2.$$

Legendre's relation: $\eta_1\omega_2 - \eta_2\omega_1 = 2\pi i$

证明.

□

Since residues of ζ equal 1, it has no single valued anti-derivative. To eliminate such multiple-valuedness, consider the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dz} \log \sigma(z) = \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = \zeta(z).$$

Observe

计算 $\wp(z), \wp'(z), \wp'(z)^2$,

$$\wp'(z)^2 = 4\wp^3(z) - 6G_2\wp(z) - 140G_3 =: \tag{15}$$

That is, $w = \wp(z)$ satisfies the ODE $\left(\frac{dw}{dz}\right)^2 = 4w^3 - g_2w - g_3$. Then $\frac{dz}{dw} = \frac{1}{\frac{dw}{dz}} =$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{4w^3 - g_2w - g_3}}$$

$$z = \int^w \frac{dw}{\sqrt{4w^3 - g_2w - g_3}} + C$$

Precisely, $z - z_0 = \int_{w_0=\wp(z_0)}^{w=\wp(z)} \frac{dw}{\sqrt{4w^3 - g_2w - g_3}}$, where the path of integration from $\wp(z_0)$ to $\wp(z)$ is the image under \wp of another path from z_0 to z avoiding both zeros and poles of $\wp'(z)$, and where the sign of square root is chosen so that it equals $\wp'(z)$.

3.3 The modular function $\lambda(\tau)$

Determine the zeros of $\wp'(z)$

Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the three zeros of polynomial $4w^3 - g_2w - g_3$.

Then we have an alternative expression of (15)

$$\wp'(z)^2 = 4(\wp(z) - e_1)(\wp(z) - e_2)(\wp(z) - e_3) \tag{20}$$

Differen

Since $\frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}$ are mutually incongruent, they are exactly the three simple zeros of $\wp'(z)$.

We define

$$e_1 = \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right), e_2 = \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right), e_3 = \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right). \tag{6.1}$$

CLAIM: e_1, e_2, e_3 are mutually distinct.

Since $\wp'\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right), \wp'(z)$ assume e_1 at least twice. If two of them coincided with each other, that value would be assumed \geq four times. Contradict with that \wp is of order 2.

Definition of the modular function

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im\tau > 0\} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

Denote $\wp(z)$ by $\wp_{(\omega_1, \omega_2)}(z)$ in order to express its dependence on $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$.

Similarly, we use notations $e_{k, (\omega_1, \omega_2)}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Then, it is easy to check by (9) that

$$e_{k, (t\omega_1, t\omega_2)} = t^{-2}e_{k, (\omega_1, \omega_2)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\lambda(\tau) = \frac{e_3 - e_2}{e_1 - e_2} = \frac{\wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right)}{\wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right)} \quad (6.2)$$

depend only on $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$.

$\lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is analytic.

Elliptic modular function

Given a unimodular transform $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\Im \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} = \operatorname{sgn}(ad - bc) \frac{\Im \tau}{|c\tau + d|^2} = \frac{\pm \Im \tau}{|c\tau + d|}.$$

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ does not preserve \mathcal{H} in general.

Consider the subgroup $\Gamma := SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of the modular group.

Define the congruence subgroup mod 2 of $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ to be

$$\Gamma(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \right\}.$$

Each unimodular transform in Γ preserves the period module, but permutes the three half period and then also permutes e_1, e_2, e_3 .

However, $\forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv$

In this sense, $\lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called an elliptic modular function.

3.4 The conformal mapping by $\lambda(\tau)$

We normalize $\omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = \tau \in \mathcal{H}$. We obtain by (9) and (21)

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 - e_2 &= \sum_{m, n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(m - \frac{1}{2} + (n + \frac{1}{2})\tau)^2} - \frac{1}{(m + (n - \frac{1}{2})\tau)^2} \right) \\ e_1 - e_2 &= \sum_{m, n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(m - \frac{1}{2} + n\tau)^2} - \frac{1}{(m + (n - \frac{1}{2})\tau)^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where the double series absolutely converges and uniformly

Let $\Omega' = \{\tau - 1 : \tau \in \Omega\}, \forall \tau' \in \Omega', \tau' + 1 \in \Omega, \lambda(\tau') = \frac{\lambda(\tau' + 1)}{\lambda(\tau' + 1) - 1}$. Then λ maps Ω' onto the lower half plane and maps $\overline{\Omega} \cup \Omega'$ onto $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ (closure taken wrt $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im \tau > 0\}$)

Theorem 3.1. *Each $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to exactly one pt in $\overline{\Omega} \cup \Omega'$ mod $\Gamma(2)$.*

证明. Each unimodular matrix in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma$ is congruent mod 2 to one of the following six matrices in Γ

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

denoted by $S_k^{-1} (1 \leq k \leq 6)$ which acts on \mathcal{H} as Möbius transform. That is,

$$S_1(\tau) = \tau, S_2(\tau) = -\frac{1}{\tau}, S_3(\tau) = \tau - 1, S_4(\tau) = \frac{1}{1 - \tau}, S_5(\tau) = \frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}, S_6(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau}$$

One can check that Δ is mapped to the six shaded regions by $S_k, 1 \leq k \leq 6$.

There also exist six other mutually incongruent transformations S'_k which map Δ' to the six unshaded regions

$$S'_1(\tau) = \tau, S'_2(\tau) = -1 + \frac{1}{\tau}, S'_3(\tau) = \tau + 1, S'_4(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau}, S'_5(\tau) = -\frac{1}{1 + \tau}, S'_6(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{\tau + 1}$$

These 12 shaded and unshaded regions form $\overline{\Omega} \cup \overline{\Omega}'$.

Take $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 2, $\exists S \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), S\tau \in \overline{\Delta} \cup \overline{\Delta}'$ □

Corollary 3.1. $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is a covering map, i.e., $\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \exists$ an open neighborhood U_0 of z_0 s.t. $\lambda^{-1}(U) = \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Gamma(2)} U_\phi$ where $\lambda|_{U_\phi} : U_\phi \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism.

Chapter 7

Global analytic functions

3月2日 51分43秒

1 Analytic Continuation

1.1 Germs and sheaves

- 整体解析函数一般记作 \mathbf{f} .
- (f, Ω) , \mathbf{f} 的代表元, 称作 \mathbf{f} 的分支.
- \mathbf{f} 在 Ω 上可能有不同的分支.

Let Ω be a region in \mathbb{C} and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an analytic function. Call pair (f, Ω) a function element. A global analytic function is a collection of function elements which are related to each other in the following manner.

Definition 1.1. We call that the two function elements (f_1, Ω_1) and (f_2, Ω_2) are direct analytic continuations of each other iff $f_1 \equiv f_2$ in $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Remark. There need not exist any direct continuation of (f_1, Ω_1) to Ω_2 , but if there is one, it is uniquely determined.

Definition 1.2. We say that $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\Omega})$ is an analytic continuation of (f, Ω) iff \exists a chain of function elements $(f_1, \Omega_1) = (f, \Omega), (f_2, \Omega_2), \dots, (f_n, \Omega_n) = (\tilde{f}, \tilde{\Omega})$ s.t. (f_k, Ω_k) and (f_{k+1}, Ω_{k+1}) are direct continuations of each other.

Hence we obtain an equivalence relation on {function element}, an equiv class is called a global analytic function.

(f, Ω) : representative of

Example 1.1. open $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. Denote

$$\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_D = \{ \text{analytic germ}(f, \zeta) : \zeta \in D, f \text{ analytic near } \zeta \}.$$

Definition 1.3. A sheaf \mathfrak{S} over X is a topological space with a map $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow X$ onto X such that

- (i) π is a local homeomorphism.
- (ii) For each $\zeta \in D$ the stalk $\pi^{-1}(\zeta) =: \mathfrak{S}_\zeta$ has the structure of an abelian group.
- (iii) The group operations are continuous.

FACT: \exists a topology on the sheaf \mathfrak{S}_D of germs of analytic functions such that it satisfies the conditions of definition:

A subset $V \subset \mathfrak{S}_D$ is called open iff $\forall s_0 \in V, \exists (f, \Omega)$ such that

- (1) π

Remark. All function elements (f, Ω) form a base for the topology of \mathfrak{S}_D .

Only verify condition (i): Use notions s_0

Define

$$\Delta := \{\mathbf{f}\}$$

1.2 Sections and Riemann surfaces

$\mathfrak{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} D$: sheaf over a topology space D .

Definition 1.4. \forall open $U \subset D$. Call a continuous map $U \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{S}$ a section over U iff

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathfrak{S} \\ & \searrow \text{Id}_U & \downarrow \pi \\ & & D \end{array}$$

Since $\pi \circ \varphi = \text{Id}_U$, φ is injective and $\varphi^{\dagger} = \pi|_{\varphi(U)}$.

Every section is a homeomorphism onto its image

- Every $s_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ lies in the image $\varphi(U_0)$ of some section $U_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{S}$.

By condition (i), we take an open neighborhood Δ of s_0 such that $U_0 := \pi(\Delta) \subset D, \pi|_{\Delta} : \Delta \rightarrow U_0$ homeomorphism.

Defing $\varphi = (\pi|_{\Delta})^{-1} : U_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$. we have done.

- $\forall U \subset D$, define $\omega : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}, \zeta \mapsto 0\zeta$ easy to show that 0_U is continuous.

Then 0_U is a section over U , called the zero section over U .

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{S})$$

Remark. If U is connected and $\varphi, \psi \in \Gamma(U; \mathfrak{S})$, then either $\varphi \equiv \psi$ on U or $\varphi(U) \cap \psi(U) = \emptyset$

证明. Only need to show

- $\{\zeta \in U \mid \varphi(\zeta) = \psi(\zeta)\}$ open

Assume that $s_0 = \varphi(\zeta_0) = \psi(\zeta_0)$ for some $\zeta_0 \in U$. By the definition of section,

$$\varphi^{-1} = \pi|_{\varphi(U)}, \psi^{-1} = \pi|_{\psi(U)}$$

Since $s_0 \in \Delta := \varphi(U) \cap \psi(U) \subset \mathfrak{S}$ open, $\varphi \equiv \psi$ over Δ .

- $\{\zeta \in U \mid \varphi(\zeta) \neq \psi(\zeta)\}$ open

Assume that $\varphi(\zeta_0) = s_1 \neq s_2 = \psi(\zeta_0)$ for some $\zeta_0 \in U$. Since \mathfrak{S} is Hausdorff, \exists neighborhoods Δ_1, Δ_2 of $s_1, s_2 : \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$

Since $\varphi = (\pi|_{\Delta_1})^{-1}$ over $\pi(\Delta_1) = U_1, \psi = (\pi|_{\Delta_2})^{-1}$ over $U_2 := \pi(\Delta_2), \varphi(\zeta) \neq \psi(\zeta)$ for all $\zeta \in U_1 \cap U_2 \ni \zeta_0$.

□

3月9日

Example 1.2. Sheaf of germs of continuous functions is non-Hausdorff.

Let open $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Using function elements $(f, \Omega), f \in C^0(\Omega), \Omega \subset D$, we can define germs of continuous functions over D and the corresponding sheaf \mathfrak{S} which satisfies the three conditions. But \mathfrak{S} is non-Hausdorff. We give a particular counterexample for $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let $f_1 \equiv 0$ and $f_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ x & x > 0 \end{cases}$ which gives germs 0 and $(f_2, 0)$ at the origin. Obviously, $0 \neq (f_2, 0)$ in \mathfrak{S}

Clearly 0 and $(f_2, 0)$ can't be separated by open sets!

We always consider the sheaf \mathfrak{S}_D of analytic functions over $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 1.1. *A component of \mathfrak{S} can be identified with a global analytic function.*

证明. Step 1

Let (f_1, Ω_1) be a direct continuation of (f_0, Ω_0) and Δ_0, Δ_1 be the sets of germs determined by $(f_0, \Omega_0), (f_1, \Omega_1)$

Since $\Delta_0 \simeq \Omega_0, \Delta_1 \simeq \Omega_1, \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1 \neq \emptyset \implies \Delta_0 \cap \Delta_1 \neq \emptyset, \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1$ is connected.

Hence, all the function elements obtained from (f_0, Ω_0) by a chain of direct continuations determine germs contained in the component of \mathfrak{S}_0 of s_0 .

Step 2

Let \mathfrak{S}'_0 be the set of germs in \mathfrak{S}_0 determined by an analytic continuation

Since both \mathfrak{S}'_0 and its complement in \mathfrak{S}_0 are open in $\mathfrak{S}_0 \implies \mathfrak{S}'_0 = \mathfrak{S}_0$

Summing up the above, we see that \mathfrak{S}_0 consists of exactly all the germs belonging to a global analytic function □

Definition 1.5. *Let \mathbf{f} be the global analytic function obtained from $s_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$*

Call $\mathfrak{S}_0 =: \mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f})$ the Riemann surface of \mathbf{f} .

There is a nature

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f}) &\xrightarrow{\pi} D \\ \mathfrak{U}_\zeta &\longmapsto \zeta \end{aligned}$$

Look at Riemann surfaces as the natural world where analytic functions are alive, \mathbf{f} can be looked at as a single-valued analytic function on $\mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f})$, its value at \mathbf{f}_ζ being the constant term in the power series associated with \mathbf{f}_ζ .

Given two global analytic functions \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f}) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{g}) \\ & \searrow \pi_f & \swarrow \pi_g \\ & & D \end{array}$$

then $\mathbf{g} \circ \theta$ is a single-valued function on $\mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f})$.

In the way, $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}', \dots$ are all well defined on $\mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f})$.

Example 1.3. *All entire functions live on $\mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f}), \forall \mathbf{f}$.*

Example 1.4. *If \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} are defined on $\mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f})$, so are $\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}$ and \mathbf{gh} .*

Permanence principle

Suppose that $(f, \Omega), (g, \Omega), (h, \Omega), \dots$ could be continued whenever (f, Ω) can be through a chain of direct continuation.

Assume that $G(f, g, h, \dots) = 0$ on Ω . Then $G(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \dots) = 0$ i.e. the same relation holds for all analytic continuations.

In particular, if a germ satisfies a polynomial differential equation, $G(z, f, f', f'', \dots, f^{(n)}) = 0$, then the global analytic function \mathbf{f} satisfies the same equation.

1.3 Analytic continuation along arcs

Given $[a, b] \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{C}$ arc in the complex plane, an arc $\bar{\gamma} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_0(\mathbf{f})$ is called an analytic continuation of \mathbf{f} along γ iff $\pi \circ \bar{\gamma} = \gamma$.

Theorem 1.1. *Two lifting $\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2$ along γ are either identical or $\bar{\gamma}_1(t) \neq \bar{\gamma}_2(t)$ for all $a \leq t \leq b$.*

Remark. *A continuation along γ is uniquely determined by its initial value, germ $\bar{\gamma}(a)$ of form $\mathbf{f}_{\gamma(a)}$. Note that \mathbf{f} may have several germs of this form.*

Can speak of the analytic continuation from an initial germ, provided the continuation exists.

singular path, singular point

It may happen that \mathbf{f} doesn't have a continuation along γ , or that a continuation exists for some germs, but not for all. Consider an initial germ $\mathbf{f}_{\gamma(a)}$ which can't continue along γ . Define

$$\tau := \sup \left\{ t_0 > a : \exists \text{ continuation along } [a, t_0] \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

Then $a < \tau \leq b$, and the continuation is possible for $t < \tau$, impossible for $t \geq \tau$. The subarc $\gamma([a, \tau])$ leads to a point where \mathbf{f} ceases to exist.

We call this subarc a singular parts from the initial germ and it leads to a singular point over $\gamma(\tau)$.

Continuation along arcs v.s chains of direct continuations(stepwise continuations)

Roughly speaking, they are equivalent

- stepwise continuation \implies the one along an arc
- conversely, if γ and its lifting $\bar{\gamma}$ is given, we can find a chain of direct analytic continuations which produces the arc γ in the same way of LHS

Example 1.5 (Logarithmic log function). *The set of all function element (f, Ω) with $e^{f(\zeta)} = \zeta$ in Ω is global analytic function over \mathbb{C}^\times , denoted by $\log z$.*

证明. Only need to show that any two such function elements $(f_1, \Omega_1), (f_2, \Omega_2)$ can be joined by a □

Example 1.6. $\exists \gamma \subset \mathbb{C}, \exists$ a global analytic function \mathbf{f}

1.4 Homotopy curves

3月14日

1.5 The monodromy theorem

Consider a global analytic function \mathbf{f} over $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that for each arc $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and each germ $(f_0, \zeta_0 = \gamma(a))$ of \mathbf{f} , there exists a continuation $\bar{\gamma}$ over γ .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2: [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ be homotopic in Ω and have common endpoints. Suppose that a given germ of \mathbf{f} at the initial point $\gamma_1(a) = \gamma_2(a)$ can be continued along all arcs in Ω . Then the continuations of the germ along γ_1 and γ_2 lead to the same germ at the terminal point.*

证明. Before the proof, we make the following observations.

- (1) The continuation along $\gamma\gamma^{-1}$ leads back to the initial germ. Hence, the continuation along $\sigma_1(\gamma\gamma^{-1})\sigma_2$ has the same effect as the one along $\sigma_1\sigma_2$.

That the continuations along γ_1 and γ_2 lead to the same

□

Corollary 1.1. *If Ω is simply connected, the continuations of an initial germ (f, ζ_0) at $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$ of \mathbf{f} can define a single-valued analytic function.*

1.6 Branch points

$\mathbb{D}_\rho^\times := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < \rho\}, \rho \in (0, +\infty]$

Fix $0 < z_0 = r < \rho$, Then the fundamental group of \mathbb{D}_ρ^\times at the base z_0

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{D}_\rho^\times, z_0) = \{\text{homotopy class of the curves through } z_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{D}_\rho^\times\} = \langle C \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall $\int_{C^m} \frac{dz}{z} = 2\pi mi, m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Assumption 1 Consider a global analytic function \mathbf{f} that can be continued along each arc in \mathbb{D}_ρ^\times

e.g. $\sqrt{z}, \log z, \sqrt{z} + \log z$

Assume that \mathbf{f} is not single-valued ,i.e., \mathbf{f} has more than one germ at $z_0 = r$.

Choose an initial germ at $z_0 \in r$ and continue it along curves $C^m (m \in \mathbb{Z}^\times)$.

Then, either the continuation never comes back to the initial germ, or there exists a smallest positive integer h such that C^h leads back to the initial germ.

e.g. $h = 2, \infty$ for $\sqrt{z}, \log z$ resp.

Assumption 2 \exists a smallest positive integer h greater than 1 such that C^h leads back to the initial germ.

Then if C^m also leads back to the initial germ, writting $m = nh + q (n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq q < h)$, we see that so does $C^q, q = 0$ and $h \mid m$.

Observation: Using the map \mathbb{D}^\times

2 Algebraic functions

- 多值函数的 monodromy group 的严格定义是什么？

我现在心目中的答案是，有一个群 G ，它作用在 \mathbb{P}^1 上，任取基本群中的一个元素，绕这样一圈值的变化可以用群 G 中某个元素的作用来描述，这样就给出了基本群到群 G 的一个同态。

- 我想问，一个多值函数的 monodromy group 可以任意复杂吗？
- 我想问，一个多值函数对应的黎曼面是什么意思？是它的定义域的黎曼面的某个覆盖空间吗？使得该多值函数能够在这个黎曼面上成为单值函数。我想，这个覆盖的 Deck 群，跟 monodromy group 可能会有点关系的。
- 阿尔福斯考察去心圆盘上的全局解析函数，他说，假如有一个最小的 h ，转 h 圈就回来了，这上面的语言来说就是，它的 monodromy group 是 \mathbb{Z}_n ，覆盖映射是 $p: \mathbb{D}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\times, \zeta \mapsto \zeta^n$ 。
去心圆盘的情形中（假定可以沿任意 arc 延拓），要么转圈总也回不来，要么转 h 圈就回来了。
代数函数的情形中（证明了可以沿任意 arc 延拓），因为他证明了就 n 个分支，所以最多转 n 圈就回来了。

3 月 16 日，3 月 21 日

Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[w, z]$. We interpret, for each z , the finite number of solutions

$$w_1(z), \dots, w_n(z)$$

of $P(w, z) = 0$, as values of a global analytic function $\mathbf{f}(z)$, which is called an algebraic function.

Conversely, we shall tell whether a given global analytic function satisfies a polynomial equation.

定义和基本性质

3 月 16 日 1 小时 1 分 53 秒

Definition 2.1. 称全局解析函数 \mathbf{f} 是代数函数，如果存在 $P \in \mathbb{C}[w, z] \setminus \{0\}$ 使得

$$P(\mathbf{f}(z), z) \equiv 0, \quad \forall (f, \Omega) \in \mathbf{f}, \forall z \in \Omega.$$

Remark.

- 由不变性原理，我们只需要说明 $P(\mathbf{f}(z), z) \equiv 0$ 对一个函数元 (f, Ω) 对。
- $\deg_w P > 0$. 否则 $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ 使得 $P(z) \equiv 0$.
- 可选取 P 为不可约多项式，此时 P 在相伴的意义下被唯一决定。
- 如果 P 只是 w 的多项式，那么 \mathbf{f} 只是常数。因此我们只关心 w 和 z 都在的情况。

If $P \in \mathbb{C}[w]$, by irreducibility, it must be of form $w - a$, \mathbf{f} must be const.

代数函数的存在性

AIM Shall prove that \exists an algebraic function corresponding to an irreducible polynomial $P(w, z)$ with $\deg_p P > 0$.

Let P have form $P(w, z) = a_0(z)w^n + a_1(z)w^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n(z)$.

Set $C = \{z_0 \in \mathbb{C} : a_0(z_0) = 0 \text{ or the discriminant } D(p) \text{ of } P \text{ vanishes at } z_0\}$.

Then C is finite, say $C = \{c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m\}$.

Fix $z_0 \notin C$, the equation $P(w, z_0) = 0$ has exactly n distinct roots, say w_1, \cdots, w_n .

Lemma 2.1. Fix $z_0 \notin C$, There exists an open disk Δ centered at z_0 , and n function elements $(f_1, \Delta), \cdots, (f_n, \Delta)$ such that

- (1) $P(f_j(z), z) = 0$
- (2) $w_j = f_j(z_0)$
- (3) If $P(w, z) = 0$ for some function element $(w = w(z), \Delta)$, then $\exists 1 \leq j_0 \leq n$ such that $w(z) = f_{j_0}(z)$ for some j_0 .

证明. Choose $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, such that disks $|w - w_j| < \varepsilon$ don't overlap. Denote by C_j the circles $|w - w_j| = \varepsilon$ where $P(w, z_0) \neq 0$.

By the argument principle,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} \frac{P_w(w, z_0)}{P(w, z_0)} dw = 1.$$

Moreover, the integrals define continuous functions near z_0 , which can only take integer values.

Hence, \exists disk $\Delta \ni z_0$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} \frac{P_w(w, z)}{P(w, z)} dw = 1, \forall z \in \Delta$$

Hence the equation $P(w, z) = 0$ has exactly one root in $|w - w_j| < \varepsilon$, denoted by $f_j(z)$.

Moreover, by the residue theorem,

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} w \frac{P_w(w, z)}{P(w, z)} dw$$

which shows that $f_j(z)$ is analytic in Δ and $f_j(z_0) = w_j$. We have already proved (a) and (b). \square

3月16日 1小时30分16秒

Remark.

- (1) Each function element (f, Ω) satisfying $P(f(z), z) = 0$ in Ω is the direct continuation of (f_j, Δ) for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (2) A function element (f, Ω) satisfying $P(f(z), z)$ can be continued along all path in $\mathbb{C} \setminus C$.

In order to show that the global analytic function \mathbf{f} corresponding to P is unique, we only need to show that all elements (f_j, Δ) belong to the same global analytic functions.

2.1 Behavior at the critical points

3 月 21 日 20 分 23 秒

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that the disks $|z - c_k| < \delta, 1 \leq k \leq m$ don't overlap.

$$z_0 = c_k + \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Continuing germ (f_j, z_0) along \tilde{C} leads to another germ (f_l, z_0) .

Since $\exists n$ choices, we obtain a smallest positive integer $1 \leq h \leq n$ such that continuation along \tilde{C}^h leads back to the initial germ.

By section 1.6, we have

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} A_\nu (z - c_k)^{\nu/h} \tag{5}$$

We make the following discussion according to the following three cases:

- (1) $c_k \in \mathbb{C}, a_0(c_k) \neq 0$.
- (2) $c_k \in \mathbb{C}, a_0(c_k) = 0$.
- (3) Behavior at ∞ .

$a_0(c_0) \neq 0$

We claim that $f_j(z)$ remains bounded as $z \rightarrow c_k$, i.e. f_j has at most an ordinary algebraic singularity at c_k .

Otherwise, we could choose points $z_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow c_k$ with $f_j(z_{\tilde{m}}) \rightarrow \infty$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $f_j(z_{\tilde{m}}) \neq 0$, by the equation $P(f_j(z_{\tilde{m}}, z_{\tilde{m}})) = a_0(z_{\tilde{m}})f_j(z_{\tilde{m}})^n + \dots + a_n(z_{\tilde{m}}) = 0$, we obtain

$$a_0(z_{\tilde{m}}) + a_1(z_{\tilde{m}})f_j(z_{\tilde{m}})^{-1} + \dots + a_n(z_{\tilde{m}})f_j(z_{\tilde{m}})^{-n} = 0 \tag{6}$$

Letting $\tilde{m} \rightarrow \infty$, we find $a_0(c_k) = \lim_{\tilde{m} \rightarrow \infty} a_0(z_{\tilde{m}}) = 0$, Contradiction!

$a_0(c_k) = 0$

Take $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $\lim_{z \rightarrow c_k} a_0(z)(z - c_k)^l \neq 0$

CLAIM $f_j(z)(z - c_k)^l$ remains bounded as $z \rightarrow c_k$ i.e. f_j has at most an algebraic pole at c_k .

Can prove by the similar contradiction argument.

Behavior at $z = \infty$

Recall $P(w, z) = a_0(z)w^n + a_1(z)w^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(z), a_0, a_n \neq 0$

We consider $\deg a_i = r_i$ and don't care about $a_i(z) \equiv 0$. Choose $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$l > \frac{1}{k}(r_k - r_0), \forall k = 1, \dots, n \tag{7.1}$$

CLAIM As $z \rightarrow \infty, f_j z^{-l}$ remains bounded i.e. $f_j(z)$ has at most an algebraic pole at ∞ .

Otherwise, we could choose $z_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow \infty$ with $f_j(z_{\tilde{m}})^{-1} z_{\tilde{m}}^l \rightarrow 0$, which implies

$$f_j(z_{\tilde{m}})^{-k}$$

Multiplying (6) by $z_{\tilde{m}}^{-r_0}$, since $\deg a_j = r_j$, we find that

$$a_0(z_{\tilde{m}}) z_{\tilde{m}}^{-r_0} \rightarrow 0$$

Since $r_0 = \deg a_0(z)$, $a_0(z) \not\equiv 0$, Contradiction!

Summing up, we have proved

FACT An algebraic function has at most finitely many algebraic singularity in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$

We shall prove a converse of this fact.

Let \mathbf{f} be global analytic function satisfying the following two conditions

(1) $\forall c \in \mathbb{C}$, \exists a punctured disk Δ^* centered at c such that

- $\forall z_0 \in \Delta^*$, \exists at least one and finitely many germs of \mathbf{f} at z_0
- all germs of \mathbf{f} at z_0 can be continued along all arcs in Δ^* and show algebraic character at c , i.e. \exists the smallest positive integer h , $\exists \nu_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, germs have form

$$\sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^{+\infty} A_\nu (z-c)^{\nu/h}$$

(2) For $c = \infty$, Δ^* is the exterior of a circle, $\exists h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, each germ at $z_0 \in \Delta^*$ has form

$$\sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^{\infty} A_\nu z^{-\nu/h}$$

Remark. Under the above conditions, \mathbf{f} has finitely many effective singularity, which we denote by $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$

Observation The number of germs at each point $z \in \{\}$

Denote by $f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z)$ the branches of \mathbf{f}

3 Picard 小定理

3月23日

Definition 3.1. $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the lacunary value (空隙值) of a function $f(z)$ if $f(z) \neq a$ in its domain.

Example 3.1. 0 is the lacunary value of the entire function e^z on \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 3.1 (Picard). A entire function with more than one finite lacunary value reduces to a const.

证明. Let $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function with at least two lacunary values $a \neq b$ in \mathbb{C} .

Without loss of generality, we assume $a = 0$ and $b = 1$.

Recall that modular function $\lambda: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is holomorphic and $\lambda'(\tau) \neq 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{H} & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathbb{C} & & \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \end{array}$$

Construct a global analytic function \mathbf{h} whose function element (h, Ω) satisfy

- (1) $\Im h(z) > 0$ and $\lambda(h(z)) = f(z), \forall z \in \Omega$
- (2) \mathbf{h} can be continued along all paths in \mathbb{C}

Since \mathbb{C} simply connected, by the monodromy theorem, \mathbf{h} defines an entire function taking values in \mathbf{H} and is constant by Liouville's theorem. \square

4 线性微分方程

考虑如下的 n 阶复线性非齐次常微分方程

$$a_0(z) \frac{d^n w}{dz^n} + a_1(z) \frac{d^{n-1} w}{dz^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_n(z) w = b(z) \quad (7.2)$$

其中 $a_0(z), \dots, a_n(z), b(z)$ 都是整函数. 我们称函数元 (f, Ω) 是(7.2)的解, 如果

$$a_0(z) \frac{d^n f}{dz^n} + a_1(z) \frac{d^{n-1} f}{dz^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_n(z) f \equiv b(z), \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

由 permanence principal, 如果 (f, Ω) 是(7.2)的解, 则其解析延拓也是(7.2)的解.

Remark.

- (1) 回忆实的情形, 我们期待着(7.2)有 n 个线性无关的解.
- (2) 在复的情形中, 不同的解 (Ω, f_1) 和 (Ω, f_2) 可能是同一个全局解析函数的函数元.

In this case, the problem is to find out to what extent the local solutions are analytic continuations of each other.

在本节中, 我们只研究齐次方程

$$a_0(z) \frac{d^n w}{dz^n} + a_1(z) \frac{d^{n-1} w}{dz^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_n(z) w = 0.$$

假定 $a_0(z), \dots, a_n(z)$ 没有公共零点, 假定 $a_0(z)$ 不恒为零.

Example 4.1. *In the case $n = 1$*

$$a_n \frac{dw}{dz} + a_1 w = 0 \iff d \log w = -\frac{a_1(z)}{a_0(z)}$$

$$w = \exp \left(-\int \frac{a_1(z)}{a_0(z)} dz \right)$$

The problem is reduced to determining the multi-valued character of the integral $\int \frac{a_1(z)}{a_0(z)} dz$ which is relevant to residue calculus.

我们将只处理二阶微分方程

$$a_0(z) w'' + a_1(z) w' + a_2(z) w = 0 \quad (7.3)$$

因为他们已经包含了最一般情形的所有特征. 方程(7.3)等价于

$$w'' = p(z) w' + q(z) w \quad (7.4)$$

其中 $p(z)$ 和 $q(z)$ 都是亚纯函数. 从(7.4)能变形到(7.3)是因为亚纯函数可以写成全纯函数之商.

Definition 4.1. 设 $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$,

- (1) 称 z_0 是通常点如果 $a_0(z_0) \neq 0$.
- (2) 称 z_0 是奇点如果 $a_0(z_0) = 0$.

(3) 称 z_0 是正则奇点如果 z_0 是 p 的至多 1 阶极点且是 q 的至多 2 阶极点.

从定义中能够看出正则奇点包含了通常点和奇点中比较温和的情形.

Theorem 4.1. *If z_0 is an ordinary point of (10), for any given $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \exists!$ local solution (f, Ω) with $f(z_0) = c_0, f'(z_0) = c_1$.*

In particular, the germ (f, z_0) is uniquely determined.

最简单的情形

设 $z = 0$ 是 $a_0(z)$ 的单零点, 则 $p(z)$ 和 $q(z)$ 在 0 处至多有一阶极点, 我们有 Laurent 展开

$$p(z) = \frac{p_{-1}}{z} + p_0 + p_1z + \cdots$$

$$q(z) = \frac{q_{-1}}{z} + q_0 + q_1z + \cdots$$

假设我们有幂级数解 $w = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i z^i$, 代入得

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i+1)(i+2)b_{i+2}z^i = \left(\frac{p_{-1}}{z} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i z^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i+1)b_{i+1}z^i \right) + \left(\frac{q_{-1}}{z} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i z^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i z^i \right)$$

比较系数得

$$\begin{cases} p_{-1}b_1 + q_{-1}b_0 = 0 \\ 2(1-p_{-1})b_2 = p_0b_1 + q_{-1}b_1 + q_0b_0 \\ \dots \\ (n+2)(n+1-p_{-1})b_{n+2} = \sum_{j=0}^n p_j(n-j+1)b_{n-j+1} + q_{-1}b_{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^n q_j b_{n-j} \end{cases}$$

- 第一个式子表示 b_0 与 b_1 之间有关系, 只有一个自由度.
- 假如 $p_{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$, 可以逐个解出 b_i , 其中 $i \geq 2$. 可以期待一个正的收敛半径.
- 假设 $p_{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, 比如 $p_{-1} = 1$, 从第一式解出 $b_1 = q_{-1}b_0$, 此时第二式变为

$$0 = (q_0 - p_0q_{-1} - q_{-1}^2)b_0$$

- 如果 $q_0 - p_0q_{-1} - q_{-1}^2 = 0$, 则该式自动成立, b_2 成为第二个自由的系数.
- 如果 $q_0 - p_0q_{-1} - q_{-1}^2 \neq 0$, 则强迫 $b_0 = 0$, 但 b_2 接替 b_0 成为自由的系数.

一般情形

Suppose $w(z) = z^\alpha g(z)$ where g is analytic near $z_0 = 0$ and $g(0) \neq 0$.

Solves (11) for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ in some simply connected region Ω near $z_0 = 0$ but not containing z_0 .

Then $g(z)$ satisfies

$$g'' = \left(p - \frac{2\alpha}{z} \right) g' + \left(q + \frac{\alpha p}{z} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{z^2} \right) g \quad (18)$$

$$p = \frac{p_{-1}}{z} + \dots$$

$$q =$$

Denote by α_1 and α_2 the roots of (19), called the (indicial) exponents of (11) at z_0

Then $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = p_{-1} + 1, \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = p_{-1} - 2\alpha_1 + 1$

Hence α_1 is exceptional iff $\alpha - \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

By symmetry, α_2 is exceptional iff $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$.

Hence, if the roots of the indicial equation (19) don't differ by an integer,

∞ 处的奇性

Suppose that $a_0 \neq 0, a_1, a_2$ are polynomials without common zeros.

We investigate solution of

有且仅有一个非平凡正则奇点, 且在 0 处

$$\begin{cases} w'' = pw' + qw \\ \frac{d^2w}{dZ^2} = -(2z + z^2p) \frac{dw}{dZ} + z^4qw \end{cases}$$

• 在 0 处是正则奇点 $\implies p$ 在 0 点处极点的阶不超过 1, q 在 0 点处极点的阶不超过 2.

• 在 ∞ 处是寻常点 $\implies \begin{cases} p = -\frac{2}{z} + \text{高阶极点项} \\ q \equiv 0 \text{ 或 } q \text{ 在 } 0 \text{ 点处有至少 } 4 \text{ 阶极点} \end{cases}$

• 综合以上限制条件, $p = -\frac{2}{z}$ 且 $q \equiv 0$.

方程变为

$$\begin{cases} w'' = -\frac{2}{z}w' \\ \frac{d^2w}{dZ^2} = 0 \end{cases} \implies w = \frac{a}{z} + b.$$

有且仅有一个非平凡正则奇点, 且在 ∞ 处

$$\begin{cases} w'' = pw' + qw \\ \frac{d^2w}{dZ^2} = -(2z + z^2p) \frac{dw}{dZ} + z^4qw \end{cases}$$

• 在 $z \in \mathbb{C}$ 处是寻常点 $\implies p, q$ 在复平面上没有极点.

• 在 ∞ 处是正则奇点 $\implies \begin{cases} p \equiv 0 \text{ 或 } p \text{ 在 } 0 \text{ 点处有至少 } 1 \text{ 阶极点} \\ q \equiv 0 \text{ 或 } q \text{ 在 } 0 \text{ 点处有至少 } 2 \text{ 阶极点} \end{cases}$

• 综合以上限制条件, $p \equiv q \equiv 0$.

方程变为

$$\begin{cases} w'' = 0 \\ \frac{d^2w}{dZ^2} = -\frac{2}{Z} \frac{dw}{dZ} \end{cases} \implies w = az + b.$$

有且仅有一个非平凡正则奇点，且在 $z_0 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ 处

$$\begin{cases} w'' = pw' + qw \\ \frac{d^2w}{dZ^2} = -(2z + z^2p) \frac{dw}{dZ} + z^4qw \end{cases}$$

- 在 0 处是寻常点 $\implies p$ 在 0 处无极点.
- 在 ∞ 处是寻常点 $\implies p = -\frac{2}{z} +$ 高阶极点项
- 综合以上限制条件，这是否已经矛盾了？

有且仅有两个非平凡正则奇点，且在 0 和 ∞ 处

$$\begin{cases} w'' = pw' + qw \\ \frac{d^2w}{dZ^2} = -(2z + z^2p) \frac{dw}{dZ} + z^4qw \end{cases}$$

- 在 0 处是正则奇点 $\implies p$ 在 0 点处极点的阶不超过 1, q 在 0 点处极点的阶不超过 2.
- 在 ∞ 处是正则奇点 $\implies \begin{cases} p \equiv 0 \text{ 或 } p \text{ 在 } 0 \text{ 点处有至少 } 1 \text{ 阶极点} \\ q \equiv 0 \text{ 或 } q \text{ 在 } 0 \text{ 点处有至少 } 2 \text{ 阶极点且无低阶极点项} \end{cases}$

有如下四种情况

(1) $p \equiv q \equiv 0$, 退化到只有 ∞ 为正则奇点.

(2) $p \equiv 0, q = \frac{A}{z^2}$

(3) $p = \frac{B}{z}, q \equiv 0$

(4) $p = \frac{B}{z}, q = \frac{A}{z^2}$

Gauss 超几何方程

To study a 2nd DE with three regular singularities $0, 1, \infty$, we consider the equation

$$w'' = p(z)w' + q(z)w$$

with finite singularity at 0 and 1.

To make ∞ regular, $2z + z^2p(z)$ must have at most a simple

5 Riemann's point of view

3 月 30 日

Riemann proved in 1857 that the solutions of hgde could be characterized by its nature.

Theorem 5.1. *The collection \mathbb{F} of function elements (f, Ω) satisfying the following five characteristic features can be identified with the collection of local solutions of the hgDE*

$$w'' + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{z} + \frac{1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}{z - 1} \right) w' + \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{z^2} - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \beta_2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2}{z(z - 1)} + \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2}{(z - 1)^2} \right) w = 0 \quad (23)$$

(1) \mathbb{F} is complete in the sense that it contains all continuations of $(f, \Omega) \in \mathbb{F}$

(2) The collection is linear.

- $\forall (f_1, \Omega), (f_2, \Omega) \in \mathbb{F} \implies (c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2, \Omega) \in \mathbb{F}$
- any three elements $(f_1, \Omega), (f_2, \Omega), (f_3, \Omega) \in \mathbb{F}$ linearly dependent.

That is, \mathbb{F} has at most two dimension.

(3) The only finite singularity are at 0 and 1, and ∞ may be a singularity. Precisely, any element $(f, \Omega) \in \mathbb{F}$ can be continued along each path in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

(4) \exists functions in \mathbb{F} which behave like z^{α_1} and z^{α_2} near 0, like $(z - 1)^{\beta_1}$ and $(z - 1)^{\beta_2}$ near 1 and like $z^{-\gamma_1}$ and $z^{-\gamma_2}$ near ∞ .

(5) Assume $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \beta_2 - \beta_1, \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. • $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1$ can be deduced from the proof.

- The non-integral assumption 5 may be removable in some sense.

Remark. Riemann used the symbol $P \left(\dots \right)$

证明. We divide the proof of the theorem into four steps

(1) \forall simply connected region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, \exists two linearly independent elements $(f_1, \Omega), (f_2, \Omega) \in \mathbb{F}$

(2) Choose a third element $(f, \Omega) \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $\exists c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ not all zero

$$\left\{ \dots \implies \begin{bmatrix} f & f_1 & f_2 \\ f' & f_1' & f_2' \\ f'' & f_1'' & f_2'' \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0 \right.$$

We write the DE in form $f'' = p(z)f' + q(z)f$

□

Part II

Kazaryan

Chapter 8

Preliminaries

1 $\mathbb{C}P^n$

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \hat{\wedge} \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$$

$\forall A \in GL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$, A and λA have the same effect on \mathbb{P}^n , where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$

2 Coverings

Without loss of generality, we only consider connected surfaces.

Call a continuous map $M \xrightarrow{p} N$ a covering iff it satisfies the following three conditions

- (1) every point y of N has a neighborhood $U = U_y \subset N$ whose p -preimage is a disjoint union of several copies of U
- (2) the restriction of p to each copy is a homeomorphism
- (3) either every point of N has countably many preimages, or the set of preimage of every point is finite and any two points have the same number of preimages.

The common number of preimages is called the degree or the number of sheets of the covering.

Example 2.1.

- (1) $z \xrightarrow{p} z^n$ is an n -sheeted covering from $\mathbb{D}_\rho^\times = \{0 < |z| < \rho\}$ to \mathbb{D}_ρ^\times .

Theorem 2.1. *Let M, N be compact surface and $M \xrightarrow{p}$ a n -sheeted covering. Then*

$$\chi(M) = n\chi(N).$$

证明.

□

Monodromy of covering

Example 2.2. 内容...

3 Ramified coverings

4月2日1小时50分1秒

Chapter 9

Algebraic curves

Complex algebraic curves = curves defined by homogeneous polynomial equations in complex projective space

1 Plane algebraic curves

$$C = \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{P}^2 : F(x, y, z) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k = 0, \text{ not all } a_{ijk} \text{ vanishes} \right\}$$

n : degree of F

In each of the three affine charts $x = 1, y = 1$ or $z = 1$, we could express the curve by a non-homogeneous equation in the remaining two variables.

Example 1.1. $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{P}^2 : x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0\}$ in chart $z = 1$ looks like $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$.

If all coefficients a_{ijk} are real, the curve is called real.

The real point $(x : y : z)$ lying on a real curve form the real part of the curve, which may be empty, e.g. $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$.

Sometime, we use real parts of real curves to see a picture of the curve.

Example 1.2 (line). $ax + by + cz = 0$, where $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$

For any pair $(x_1 : y_1 : z_1) \neq (x_2 : y_2 : z_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2, \exists!$ line through them given by

$$\begin{vmatrix} x & y & z \\ x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2$$

Any two distinct lines intersect in exactly one points.

Any two different lines are given by $a_1x + b_1y + c_1z = 0, a_2x + b_2y + c_2z = 0$, where $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} =$

2

Hence $\exists!$ solution $(x : y : z) \in \mathbb{P}^2$

1.1 Irreducible/reducible curve

4月11日 20分 57秒

Call $C: F(x, y, z) = 0$ irreducible iff $F \neq F_1 F_2$ where F_1, F_2 have positive degrees.

Otherwise we call C reducible. In the latter case, as sets, the reducible curve $\{F_1 F_2 = 0\}$ is the union of the curves $\{F_1 = 0\}$ and $\{F_2 = 0\}$. It may happen that $F_1 = F_2$.

4月11日 25分 51秒

Example 1.3 (Toy model of Bezout Theorem). *Let l_1, \dots, l_n be pairwise distinct linear functions.*

Then the equation $l_1 l_2 \cdots l_n = 0$ gives the simplest reducible curves of degree n which is the union of the n lines $l_1 = 0, \dots, l_n = 0$.

Example 1.4. *Consider curves $l_1 \cdots l_m = 0$ and $l'_1 \cdots l'_n = 0$ such that $l_1 = 0, \dots, l'_n$ are $(m+n)$ distinct lines in \mathbb{P}^2 and any three of these lines do not intersect at one point.*

Then the two curves $l_1 \cdots l_m = 0$ and $l'_1 \cdots l'_n = 0$ have exactly mn pairwise distinct intersection points.

1.2 Singular/Smooth point

4月11日 41分 45秒

Definition 1.1. *Point $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0)$ on curve $F(x, y, z) = 0$ is called singular/smooth iff $dF = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} dz$ vanishes/does not vanish at $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0)$.*

Remark. 隐函数定理确定全纯函数，可参考 Donaldson

Definition 1.2 (Nondegenerate homogeneous polynomial). *Call a homogeneous polynomial $F(x, y, z)$ nondegenerate iff curve $F(x, y, z) = 0$ contains no singular point. In this case, we call the curve $F(x, y, z) = 0$ smooth.*

Remark. 成为一维复流形，紧黎曼面。

Example 1.5. *A reducible curve $F_1 F_2 = 0$ cannot be smooth since each point lying in $\{F_1 = F_2 = 0\}$ is singular on the curve. 之后会证明 $\{F_1 = F_2 = 0\}$ 不是空集，此处先承认。*

Example 1.6. \exists irreducible nonsmooth curve $x^2 z + y^3 = 0$, $(0 : 0 : 1)$ is singular on the curve.

1.3 在仿射坐标卡中计算奇点

4月11日 52分 16秒

How to check that a curve is smooth in some chart, say $z = 1$?

Let $A \in C$ lie in the chart $z = 1$ and $f(x, y) = F(x, y, 1)$. Then the differential $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy$ vanishes at A iff $dF = 0$ at A .

Actually, by the Euler identity, $x \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = nF$.

If $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 0$ at $A \in \{z = 1\}$, then we also have $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = 0$ at A .

Remark. An irreducible curve $C = \{F(x, y, z) = 0\}$ has at most finitely many singular points.
 但还不知道怎么证.

Example 1.7. (1) Each point on $C = \{l^2 = (ax + by + cz)^2 = 0\}$ is singular.

(2) $F(x, y, z) = x^n + y^n + z^n$ is nondegenerate.

(3) $(0 : 0 : 1)$ is the unique singular point of $x^2 + y^2 = 0$.

Example 1.8. Let C be a smooth conic in \mathbb{P}^2 . Then in an appropriate coordinate system, C has form $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$.

Definition 1.3 (Ordinary double point). Let $(0, 0)$ be a singular point of an affine curve given by a nonhomogeneous polynomial equation $f(x, y) = 0$. The Taylor development of f about $(0, 0)$ has form $f(x, y) = 0 + 0 + (ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2) + \dots$ we call $(0, 0)$ an ordinary double point iff the quadratic part of f is nondegenerate, i.e.

Definition 1.4. Let A be a singular point of curve $F = 0$, say $A = (0 : 0 : 1)$. Then in chart $\{z = 1\}$

Example 1.9. (1) Let l_1, \dots, l_k be linear functions vanishing at A . Then $\text{mul}_A(l_1 \cdots l_k = 0) = 0$

1. For both two curves $y^2 = x^2(x - 1)$ and $y^2 = x^2$, the $\text{multi}(0, 0) = 2$.

1.4 title

How many points in \mathbb{P}^2 are required to uniquely determine a curve of deg n passing through them?

The space of curves of degree n in $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}^d$

2 第八周周三

Recall

Geometric question: How many points in \mathbb{P}^2 are required to uniquely determine a curve of degree n through them?

The Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}^2 is defined to be

$$v_n: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d = \mathbb{P}^{n(n+3)/2}, (x : y : z) \mapsto (\dots, x^i y^j z^k, \dots), \text{ where } i + j + k = n, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

The image under v_n of a curve of degree n in \mathbb{P}^2 is the cross-section of $v_n(\mathbb{P}^2)$ by a hyperplane. $v_n: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ is nondegenerate, i.e. $v_n(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is not contained in any hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}^d = 0$.

Otherwise, there exists a curve of degree n which coincides with \mathbb{P}^2 , Contradict with the Nullstellensatz.

Answer to the geometric question by the following 2 observations

Observation 1. There exist a curve of degree n through any given $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$ points in \mathbb{P}^2

Moreover, the curve is unique iff $\text{rank}(v_n(P_1), \dots, v_n(P_d)) = d$.

Observation 2. There exists $(d + 1)$ points in \mathbb{P}^2 such that there exists no curve of degree d through them.

Observation 3. Suppose $P_1, \dots, P_{d-1} \in \mathbb{P}^2$ satisfy $\text{rank}(v_n(P_1), \dots, v_n(P_{d-1})) = (d - 1)$

Then there exist two distinct curves $F = 0$ and $G = 0$ of degree n such that each degree d curve through P_1, \dots, P_{d-1} has form $\lambda F + \mu G = 0$

We call the family $\lambda F + \mu G = 0$ a pencil of curves of degree n .

3 Bezout's theorem and its applications

Common point A of two curves $F = 0$ and $G = 0$

- (1) Assume that A is a smooth point for both two curves
 - (a) transversal intersection
 - (b) touch
- (2) A is a singular point for one of them
 - (c)
 - (d)

Stability

Transversality is stable under small perturbation.

Tangency is an unstable configuration.

Enumeration of the intersection points of curves

Example 3.1. Consider a line l and a curve $F(x, y, z) = 0$ of degree n . Choose two distinct points $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0), (x_1 : y_1 : z_1)$ in l . Then we can parametrize the line by the map

$$\mathbb{C} \cup \infty = \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^2, t \mapsto (x_0 + x_1 t : y_0 + y_1 t : z_0 + z_1 t)$$

Then we obtain the equation of the intersection points of the line and the curve $F(x, y, z) = 0$

$$F(x_0 + x_1 t, y_0 + y_1 t, z_0 + z_1 t) = 0$$

deg n in t .

The image under φ of the n roots of $(*)$ are the n intersection points. Connected with multiplicities.

The intersection of a curve $F(x, y, z) = 0$ of degree 3 and a curve

$$F(x, y, z) = a_0 y^3 + a_1(x, z) y^2 + a_2(x, z) y + a_3(x, z)$$

$$G(x, y, z) = b_0 y^2 + b_1(x, z) y + b_2(x, z)$$

a_k, b_k are homogeneous polynomial of degree k in x and z .

Without loss of generality, $a_0 b_0 = 0$, i.e. non of the two curves passes through $(0 : 1 : 0)$.

Trivial observation: A point $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0)$ is an intersection point of $F = 0$ and $G = 0$ iff the two polynomials $F(x_0, y, z_0)$ and $G(x_0, y, z_0)$ have a common root y_0 .

Crucial observation Let $\varphi = \varphi(y), \psi = \psi(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y] \setminus \{0\}$ be of degree m and n , resp. Then they have a common root iff $\exists \varphi_1, \psi_1 \in \mathbb{C}[y] \setminus \{0\} : \varphi \psi_1 = \psi \varphi_1$ and \deg

证明. □

Let f_1 and g_1 have form $f_1(y) = u_0 y^2 + u_1 y + u_2, g_1(y) = v_0 y + v_1$ and satisfy

$$F(x_0, y_0, z_0) g_1(y) = G(x_0, y, z_0) f_1(y)$$

4 4 月 18 日第九周周一

4.1 Topological proof of Bezout's theorem

Step 1

Trivial observation: An integer valued continuous function on a connected space X is constant.

Consider in \mathbb{P}^2

C_1 : m distinct lines through one point

C_2 : n distinct lines through another point

$$C_1 \cap C_2 = \{m \cdot n\}$$

Slightly perturbing their coefficients of C_1 and C_2 , we obtain a pair of curves with mn transversal intersection points.

Step 2

In the space $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{m(m+3)}{2}} \times \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}}$ of pairs of curves of deg m and n resp, there is a Zariski open subset of pairs of curves with $m \cdot n$ transversal intersection points.

5 Rational parametrization

Observation: A conic is smooth iff it is irreducible.

Rational parametrization of a smooth conic C

Take a point $A \in C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

All line through A in \mathbb{P}^2 form a projective line.

Coordinate representation of this parametrization

The conic $x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0$ is given by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in affine chart $\{z = 1\}$.

Line $y = t(x + 1)$ through A intersects the conic at another point $\left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}, \frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right)$.

The corresponding homogeneous version has form $(s : t) \mapsto (s^2 - t^2 : 2st : s^2 + t^2)$

rational normal curve of deg 2.

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

Example 5.1.

-
- An irreducible cubic has at most one singularity point of multiplicity 2.

Theorem 5.1. An irreducible curve of deg n has at most $N = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ ordinary double points.

证明. 内容...

□

Remark. \exists an irreducible curve of deg n with exactly $N = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ double points.

We shall show that a deg n irreducible curve with N double points admits a rational parametrization, i.e., \exists homogeneous polynomials $x(s, t), y(s, t), z(s, t)$ of deg n such that the image of the mapping

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, (s : t) \mapsto (x(s, t) : y(s, t) : z(s, t))$$

coincides with C . Moreover, different values of $(s : t)$ yield different points in C except each double point of C has exactly two preimages.

($\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$ is called a normalization of C)

Topologically, $C \setminus \{\text{double points}\} \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{2N \text{ points}\}$

Theorem 5.2. An irreducible degree $n \geq 3$ curve with N double points admits a rational parametrization.

证明. Deal with case $n = 3$ at first.

Via some projective transformation, we can assume that the irreducible cubic has equation $y^2 = x^2 + x^3$ in affine chart $\{z = 1\}$ with the unique double point $(0, 0) =: O$.

Line $y = tx$ through O meets the cubic in exactly one other point $(x(t), y(t)) = (t^2 - 1, t(t^2 - 1))$

Then $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C, t \mapsto (t^2 - 1, t(t^2 - 1))$

- to every point of C except O there corresponds exactly one value of t .

- to O there correspond two tangents $y = \pm x$ to the two branches of C at O , i.e. the exceptional point of C is the double point O .

Given a partial proof for case $n > 3$. Let A_1, \dots, A_N be double points of C . choose arbitrary points P_1, \dots, P_{n-3} on C different from P_j . Since $N + (n - 3) = \frac{(n - 2)(n + 1)}{2} - 1$, there exists a pencil of deg $n - 2$ curves through $A_1, \dots, A_N, P_1, \dots, P_{n-3}$.

Each curve of deg $n - 2$ from the pencil has $2N + (n - 3)$ exactly one other common point. On the other hand, the point $A_1, \dots, A_N, P_1, \dots, P_{n-3}$ and every other point on C determines a unique curve of deg $(n - 2)$ from the pencil. Thus we obtain a parametrization of $C \setminus \{A_1, \dots, A_N, P_1, \dots, P_{n-3}\}$ by the parameter of the pencil.

HW: The left part of the proof. □

5.1 Nontransversally intersecting pairs of plane curves

Supplement of [P23.KLP] where the authors give a topological proof for Bezout theorem.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Recall that the plane curves of degree m form a projective space of dim $\frac{m(m+3)}{2}$.

Easy observation. Pairs of non-transversally intersecting lines form the diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

Let $\max(m, n) > 1$.

Claim: Non-transversally intersecting curves of deg m and deg n in \mathbb{P}^2 form a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{m(m+3)}{2}} \times \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}}$.

Proof of sketch. We deal with case $(m, n) = (3, 2)$.

Suffice to show the statement locally.

Chapter 10

Complex structures and the topology of curves

4月18日 1小时23分5秒

Implicit function theorem

4月18日 1小时32分43秒

1 The complex structure on a curve

4月18日 1小时 45分 19秒

Remark. 此书之前没有定义过 \mathbb{P}^n 中的光滑曲线. 可先按 $n = 2$ 理解.

Assume that open $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}$, $n \geq 2$ and $W \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ holomorphic function.

Let $(w^0; z_0)$

Hence, by the implicit function theorem, we can identify a neighborhood of each point of C with $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ i.e. $\forall A \in C, \exists$ a one-to-one map m_U from a neighborhood a neighborhood $U = U_A \subset \mathbb{C}$ onto \mathbb{D} which is called a local coordinate in U . If two such neighborhoods have a nonempty intersection, then the mapping $m_U \cdot m_V^{-1}$, defined in a subdomain of \mathbb{D} is biholomorphic.

4月18日第二段 1分 26秒

Example 1.1.

4月18日第二段 4分 58秒

Definition 1.1. 全纯映射

4月20日 29分 40秒

Example 1.2. 内容...

2 The genus of a smooth plane curve

4 月 20 日 34 分 0 秒

思路：给定一条次数 n 的光滑曲线，造一个合适的到 \mathbb{P}^1 的分歧覆盖，数退化的信息，利用 Riemann-Hurwitz 公式，得到亏格与次数之间的关系。

Remark. 一般曲线的亏格也能算，可参考 *Kirwan* 的 7.3 节。

2.1 证明二

4 月 20 日 1 小时 19 分 42 秒

2.2 定理 2.6 新证

4 月 20 日 1 小时 24 分 20 秒

3 4 月 25 日第十周周一

Let C be smooth curve.

Definition 3.1. *Double tangent*

Definition 3.2 (flex/inflection). *A point on C is a flex if $\text{mult}(l \cap C) \geq 3$, where l tangent at A to C .*

Theorem 3.1. \exists exactly $n^2 - n$ distinct tangents from a point $\in \mathbb{P}^2$ in general position to C .

证明. Choose a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ which lies neither on C , nor on double tangents, nor on tangents at inflection points.

Consider the ramified covering $p: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, each of whose ramification points has exactly $(n-1)$ preimages. By R-H,

$$3n - n^2 = \chi(C) = 2n - \sum_{j=1}^k [n - (n-1)], k = \# \left\{ \text{ramification points of } C \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{P}^1 \right\}$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *From a point in general position on C , there are exactly $n^2 - n - 1$ distinct tangents to C .*

Remark. *For a general point $A \in C$, there are $n^2 - n - 2$ tangents through A beside the one to C at A .*

As $n = 3$, from a general point A on a smooth cubic c , $\exists 4$ tangents.

3.1 j -invariant of smooth cubics

Let C be a smooth cubic in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Fact1: Through each point A of C , there are 4 pairwise distinct tangents to C which differ from the tangent at A except inflections.

Definition 3.3 (&**Fact2**). $\forall x \in C$, the quadruple of the four tangents through x to C determines 4 points in the pencil of lines through x , say $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. Define their cross ratio to be

$$[a, b, c, d] := \frac{c-a}{c-b} : \frac{d-a}{d-b} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$$

which depends on the order of the four points, but not on the coordinates of these points on \mathbb{P}^1 .

Remark. 任意两个坐标系之间都只差一个 *mobius* 变换吗?

Fact3 Denote $\lambda = [a, b, c, d]$. Then

$$J(\lambda) := \frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda^2)^3}{\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2}$$

does not depend on the order of the four points.

Fact4 Denote by $\lambda(x)$ the cross ratio of the four tangents from $x \in C$ to C . Then $J: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}, x \mapsto J(\lambda(x))$ is holomorphic and constant, denoted by $J(C)$.

Remark. Under a projective transformation $\varphi \in \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ of \mathbb{P}^2 , tangents to $x \in C$ goes to tangents at $\varphi(x)$ to $\varphi(C)$.

The quadruple of 4 tangents to x also undergoes a projection transformation from the pencil of lines through x to the one through $\varphi(x)$. Hence, $J(C) = J(\varphi(C))$, i.e. $J(C)$ is a projective holomorphic invariant of C .

Fact5 The J-invariant of cubic $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$

In particular, if $J(\lambda_1) \neq J(\lambda_2)$ ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$) then the two cubics $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1)$ and $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_2)$ can't be projectively equivalent.

4 Hessian and inflection points

Let $F(x, y, z)$ be an irreducible homogenous polynomial of $\deg n \geq 2$ and A a smooth point on $C : F(x, y, z) = 0$.

The tangent line l at A to C has equation $x \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(A) + y \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(A) + z \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = 0$.

l intersects C with multiplicity ≥ 2 .

Definition 4.1. A is called a flex of C iff this multiplicity is > 2 .

A is called an ordinary flex of C iff this multiplicity is $= 3$.

Definition 4.2. The Hessian H_F of F to be

$$H_F(x, y, z) = \det \begin{pmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} & F_{xz} \\ F_{xy} & F_{yy} & F_{yz} \\ F_{xz} & F_{yz} & F_{zz} \end{pmatrix}, \deg H_F = 3(n-2).$$

Exercise 3.7: A is a flex of C iff $H_F(A) = 0$.

We need a lemma to show it.

Lemma 4.1.

$$z^2 H_F(x, y, z) = (n-1)^2 \begin{vmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} & F_x \\ F_{xy} & F_{yy} & F_y \\ F_x & F_y & \frac{nF}{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

证明. $nF = xF_x + yF_y + zF_z$

$$n-1F_x = xF_{xx} + yF_{xy} + zF_{xz}$$

Then

$$zH_F(x, y, z) = \begin{vmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} & F_{xz} \\ F_{xy} & F_{yy} & F_{yz} \\ zF_{xz} & zF_{yz} & zF_{zz} \end{vmatrix} \xrightarrow{1 \times x + 2 \times y \rightarrow 3} (n-1) \begin{vmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} & F_{xz} \\ F_{xy} & F_{yy} & F_{yz} \\ F_x & F_y & F_z \end{vmatrix} \xrightarrow{1 \times x + 2 \times y \rightarrow 3} = \frac{(n-1)^2}{z} \begin{vmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} & F_x \\ F_{xy} & F_{yy} & F_y \\ F_x & F_y & \frac{nF}{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

□

Solution to Exersics 3.7.

$$\text{Assume } A \in \{z = 1\} \cap C. \text{ Then } H_F(A) = 0 \xLeftrightarrow{z=1} \begin{vmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} & F_x \\ F_{xy} & F_{yy} & F_y \\ F_x & F_y & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\iff F_{xx}(F_x)^2 + F_{yy}(F_y)^2 - 2F_{xy}F_xF_y$$

$$\iff A \text{ is a flex of } F(x, y, 1) = 0 \text{ in } \{z = 1\}.$$

□

Remark. A smooth conic has no flex.

A $\deg n$ irreducible curve has at most $3n(n-2)$ inflection points.

In particular, a smooth cubic has 9 inflection points.

5 Hyperelliptic curves

Definition 5.1. We call a compact Riemann surface hyperelliptic if its genus $g > 1$ and it is a 2-sheeted ramified covering of \mathbb{P}^1 .

All ramification points are simple. By RH, $\#\{\text{ramification points}\}$ are even, say $2k$, then $g(S) = k - 1$.

Example 5.1. Let $P_n \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree n without multiple roots with $n \geq 3$, say $n = 2g + 1$ or $2g + 2$.

Consider the plane curve given by $y^2 = P_n(x)$ in affine chart $\{z = 1\}$, $d(y^2 - P_n(x))$ nowhere vanishes in $\{z = 1\}$.

In \mathbb{P}^2 , C is given by $y^2 z^{n-2} = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} z + \cdots + a_0 z^n$, and has point $(0 : 1 : 0)$ at infinity, which is smooth in C iff $n = 3$.

We shall modify C to a hyperelliptic Riemann surface. This process is called the Riemann compactification of $C \setminus (0 : 1 : 0) \subset \{z = 1\} = \mathbb{C}^2$

6 Lifting of complex structures

title

Given a finite sheeted ramified covering $Y \xrightarrow{f} C$.

Choose a point $y \in Y$ and a neighborhood $U = U_y$ such that

Theorem 6.1 (Riemann's existence theorem, Donaldson, Thm2, P49).

Example 6.1. *A power tool for constructing compact Riemann surface.*

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$

7 Quotient curve

Example 7.1. Consider the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\tau$ of \mathbb{C} and its natural action on \mathbb{C}

Remark. In 9.3 we'll prove that \mathbb{C}/L is biholomorphic to an elliptic curve $y^2 = P_3(x)$ and conversely, every elliptic curve of form $y^2 = P_3(x)$ can be obtained as a quotient of \mathbb{C} by a lattice. We also call \mathbb{C}/L an elliptic curve.

8 Meromorphic functions

Definition 8.1. Let M be a complex manifold. A holomorphic map $M \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^1$ is called a meromorphic function on M .

问题：有的地方看作全纯函数的商，和这里大概不一致。

给定一个对合，就有这样的一个分解

$$f = \frac{1}{2}(f + \sigma^* f) + \frac{1}{2}(f - \sigma^* f)$$

Chapter 11

Curves in \mathbb{P}^n

1 Definitions and examples

为什么要讨论一般维数中的曲线呢？

因为亏格 2 的放不进去

今天可以证明：所有的紧黎曼面都可以放到三维的复射影空间中。

Example 1.1 (Twisted cubic). $\sigma_3: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, (t_0 : t_1) \rightarrow (t_0^3 : t_0^2 t_1 : t_0 t_1^2 : t_1^3)$

非退化,

linearly full, 不会含在任意一个超平面中

$$v_3(\mathbb{P}^1) = \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in \mathbb{P}^3 : z_0 z_3 - z_1 z_2 = z_1^2 - z_0 z_2 = z_2^2 - z_1 z_3 = 0\}$$

Notion: Call a hypersurface defined by a deg 2 homogeneous polynomial in \mathbb{P}^n a quadric.

In general, a curve in \mathbb{P}^3 cannot be the intersection of two hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 .

Definition 1.1 (Smooth curve in \mathbb{P}^n). A smooth curve in \mathbb{P}^n is a set C of points such that $\forall A \in C, \exists$ a Euclidean neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and $n - 1$ homogeneous polynomial F_1, \dots, F_{n-1} such that

- $U \cap C = \{(z_0 : \dots : z_n) \in U \mid F_0 = \dots = F_{n-1} = 0\}$
- (Implicit function theorem condition) dF_1, \dots, dF_{n-1} are linear independent at A .

Example 1.2. $v_3(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a smooth curve in \mathbb{P}^3 : in a neighborhood of every point in $v_3(\mathbb{P}^1)$, the twisted cubic can be defined as the intersection of two of the three quadrics.

Definition 1.2 (Degree of smooth curve in \mathbb{P}^n). The degree of a smooth curve C in $\mathbb{P}^n (n \geq 2) := \#(H \cap C)$, where H is a generic hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^n .

Example 1.3. Observe that the deg of twisted cubic equals to three. As a consequence, the twisted cubic can't be defined as a transversal intersection of two hypersurfaces $F = 0$ and $G = 0$.

证明. By contradiction.

Claim. $\deg F \cdot \deg G = 3$. say $\deg F = 1, \deg G = 3$.

Recall that C is the transversal intersection of $F = 0$ and $G = 0$, i.e. $\forall A \in C, dF(A), dG(A)$ are linearly independent. Choose a generic hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

Since $H \cap C = (H \cap \{F = 0\}) \cap (H \cap \{G = 0\}) =: C_F \cap C_G$.

the two plane curves C_F and C_G intersects transversally at $H \cap C$, which consists of 3 points.

Hence, $3 = \deg C_F \cdot \deg C_G = \deg F \cdot \deg G$, by Bézout

Since $\deg F = 1$, the twisted cubic lies in a hyperplane, contradiction! \square

Intersection of two quadrics in general position in \mathbb{P}^3

Let $C = Q_1 \cap Q_2$. Take a generic hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Then $H \cap C = (H \cap Q_1) \cap (H \cap Q_2)$ two conics in general position in $H = \mathbb{P}^2$ consists of 4 points. Hence $\deg C = 4$.

The genus of C equals 1.

Consider the two quadrics given by $F(x, y, z, w) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 = 0$, $G(x, y, z, w) = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2$ which intersect each other transversally in \mathbb{P}^3

The restriction of p to $C = \{F = G = 0\}$ is a 2-sheeted ramified covering onto the conic $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = d(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ in \mathbb{P}^2 , whose ramification points are exactly the four intersection points of the two conics $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^2 . By Riemann-Hurwitz

$$2 \cdot 2 - 2 + 2g(C) = 4 \implies g(C) = 1$$

Fact The intersection of any two transversally intersecting quadrics in \mathbb{P}^3 is a smooth curve of genus one.

2 Embeddings and Immersions of Curves

Suffice to show that it can be projected to a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that the image is a smooth curve and the projection is 1 – 1 onto its image.

Definition 2.1. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n (n \geq 4)$ be a smooth curve. The secant variety $\text{Sec}(C)$ of C is the subvariety in \mathbb{P}^n defined as the closure of the union of all lines joining two points of C .*

Theorem 2.1.

Chapter 12

Plücker formulas for smooth plane curves

There holds the Plücker formulas which describe relations on the numbers of singularity of C^* and $\deg C$.

1 5月16日

Example 1.1. *The dual of the rational cubic $y^2z = x^2(x - z)$ is the so-called deltoid, a rational curve of degree 4.*

1.1 Supplement to 5.1

2 Singular parts of plane curves revisited

Want to define the singular points of curve $\{F = 0\}$ to be those points P where the curve has more than one tangent-counting multiplicity. Without loss of generality, consider $P = (0, 0) = (0 : 0 : 1)$ on the curve $f(x, y) = F(x, y, 1) = 0$ in $\{z = 1\}$ and investigate the intersection of an arbitrary line through P and C at P . These lines through P have parametrization

$$\begin{cases} x = \lambda t \\ y = \mu t \end{cases}$$

Recall that the multiplicity of the intersection of C with lines $L_{(\lambda:\mu)}$

Chapter 13

1 Lattices and Cubic Curves

Weierstrass normal form of a smooth plane cubic

Given a smooth cubic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, 他有九个拐点, 我们选定一个拐点, WLOG, we assume $(0 : 1 : 0)$ is a flex of C and $z = 0$ is the tangent to C at $(0 : 1 : 0)$.

Assume that the equation of C has form $F(x, y, z) = a_{ij}x^i y^j z^{3-i-k}$. Then, by direct computation, we find that in affine chart $\{z = 1\}$, C is given by equation $y^2 - (2ax + b)y + P_3(x) = 0$, where P_3 is a cubic polynomial in x . Under the change of variables $y_1 = y - (ax + b)$, the above equation reduces to form $y^2 = Q_3(x)$ where $Q_3(x)$ is a cubic polynomial without multiple root, since C is smooth.

$$y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

Chapter 14

title

1 Hyperelliptic curves and curves of genus 2

Consider a smooth complex curve C of genus $g \geq 2$. Recall its canonical map

$\varphi:$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi: C &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Omega^1(C)) = \mathbb{P}^{g-1} \\ x &\longmapsto (\omega_1(x) : \omega_2(x) : \cdots : \omega_g(x))\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ is a basis a $\Omega^1(C)$

Theorem 1.1. *Use the notion above. If there exists $x_1 \neq x_2$ on C such that $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2)$, then C is hyperelliptic.*

Remark. *If $\exists x \in C$, $d\varphi$ vanishes at x , then C is also hyperelliptic. (HW)*

证明. By $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2)$,

$$i(x_1) = i(x_2) = i(x_1 + x_2)$$

□

Theorem 1.2. *Every smooth complex curve of genus 2 is hyperelliptic.*

证明. Suffice to show that the canonical map $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree 2.

Recall that each holomorphic 1-form on C has two zeros counting multiplicity.

- (1) Suppose that ω_1 has two simple zeros x_1 and x_2 . Then $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2) = (0 : 1) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $(0 : 1)$ has exactly two preimages x_1 and $x_2 \implies \deg \varphi = 2$.

□

Classification of hyperelliptic curves of genus $g \geq 1$