

HW:

18. Prove the following assertion: Every measurable function is the limit a.e. of a sequence of continuous functions.

$$f \in L(E), f_n(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } f(x) \in [-n, n], \\ n, & \text{if } f(x) > n \\ -n, & \text{if } f(x) < -n \end{cases} \text{ then } f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x), \forall x.$$

Lusin $\Rightarrow \exists F_n$ closed, $m(E \setminus F_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$, s.t. $f_n(x) \in C(F_n)$

$G_n := \bigcap_{k \geq n} F_k$, then $m(E \setminus G_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$, $G_n \subset G_{n+1} \subset \dots$

Tietz $\Rightarrow f_n(x) \in C(G_n) \rightsquigarrow g_n(x) \in C(E)$, $g_n|_{G_n} = f_n$

If $\exists n$, $x \in G_n$, then $g_k(x) = f_k(x)$ for all $k \geq n$, therefore $g_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$.

$m\{x | g_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)\} \leq m(E \setminus G_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}, \forall n \Rightarrow g_k \rightarrow f$ a.e.

22. Let $\chi_{[0,1]}$ be the characteristic function of $[0,1]$. Show that there is no everywhere continuous function f on \mathbb{R} such that

$$f(x) = \chi_{[0,1]}(x) \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

Suppose there exists such a f . $\exists x_1, f(x_1) = 0, x_2, f(x_2) = 1$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}((0,1))$ not null. It is open $\Rightarrow m(f^{-1}((0,1))) > 0$

But $m(\chi_{[0,1]}^{-1}((0,1))) = 0$

Measurable function is the map between σ -algebra.

X_i set, $\mathcal{M}_i = \{\text{measurable sets}\}$ σ -algebra.

$f : (X_1, \mathcal{M}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \mathcal{M}_2)$ measurable iff $\forall U \in \mathcal{M}_2, f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{M}_1$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists S$ generating \mathcal{M}_2 , $f^{-1}(S) \subset \mathcal{M}_1$

Similar definition: continuous : $f^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_2) \subset \mathcal{T}_1$

Eg. $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B})$ Lebesgue measurable function
Lebesgue measurable set Borel set

Eg. Right continuous function $\left(\lim_{x \downarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)\right)$ is measurable.

Indeed, $f: (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{Sorgenfrey}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{Euclidean}})$

↑
union of $[a, b]$ -interval

$\mathcal{T}_{\text{Euclidean}} \subset \mathcal{B}$

Eg. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous $\Rightarrow f: (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ measurable.

Eg. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cts, $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable

$\Rightarrow f \circ g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $g \circ f$ may not.

$$\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B} \quad g^{-1} \cdot f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \subset g^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{N}$$

Tietz Extension Theorem.

$E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, $A \subset E$ closed, $\forall f: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ continuous,
 $\exists g \in C(E)$, $g|_A = f$.

Pf:

A technique:

$A_1 = \{x \in A \mid f(x) \geq \frac{1}{3}\}$, $B_1 = \{x \in A \mid f(x) \leq -\frac{1}{3}\}$ are disjoint closed
 subset of E , $g(x) := \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{d(x, B_1) - d(x, A_1)}{d(x, B_1) + d(x, A_1)}$ continuous, $\text{Im } g \subset [-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]$

$$g(A_1) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad g(B_1) = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad |f - g|_A \leq \frac{2}{3}$$

$$f_1 = f: A \rightarrow [-1, 1] \Rightarrow \exists g_1 \in C(E), \quad |f_1 - g_1|_A \leq \frac{2}{3}$$

$$f_2 = f_1 - g_1|_A: A \rightarrow [-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \Rightarrow \exists g_2 \in C(E), \quad |f_2 - g_2|_A \leq (\frac{2}{3})^2$$

$$f_n = f_{n-1} - g_{n-1}|_A: A \rightarrow [-(\frac{2}{3})^{n-1}, (\frac{2}{3})^{n-1}] \Rightarrow \exists g_n \in C(E), \quad |f_n - g_n|_A \leq (\frac{2}{3})^n$$

$g = g_1 + \dots + g_n + \dots$ is continuous (converges uniformly) and
 coincides with f on A .

Construct a monotone function f on \mathbb{R} such that f do NOT continuous
 on any interval.

$\mathcal{Q} = \{\Gamma_n\}$, $f(x) = \sum X_{[\Gamma_n, \infty)}(x) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}$, $\forall (a, b)$, $\exists \Gamma_k \in \mathcal{Q} \cap (a, b)$
 and $f(x)$ do not continuous at $\{\Gamma_k\}$.

$\{f_{m,n}(x)\}$ measurable, & m.n, and

CanNOT be used without proof!

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{m,n}(x) = g_m(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

$$(ii) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{m,n}(x) = h(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

then $\exists \{f_{m_k, n_k}(x)\}$, s.t. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{m_k, n_k}(x) = h(x) \text{ a.e.}$

Rmk: 1. This is a useful lemma, since almost everywhere convergence is NOT
 topological, which means:

$$U = \{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha}, \bar{U} = \{h \mid \exists a_n, f_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow h \text{ a.e.}\}, \bar{U} = \{g(x) \mid \exists h_n \in \bar{U}, h_n \rightarrow g \text{ a.e.}\}$$

$\bar{U} \neq \bar{\bar{U}}$ generally. The definition of closure failed.

But in fact, $\bar{U} = \bar{\bar{U}}$, because this lemma.

2. In HW1B, one can use simple functions to approximate f , and use continuous functions to approximate simple function.

Another proof of HW1B:

$f = f_+ - f_-$, $f_+, f_- \in L^+(\bar{E})$, it suffices to prove:

$$E_n^k = \{x \in E \mid \frac{k}{2^n} < f(x) \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}, k = -n \cdot 2^n, -n \cdot 2^n + 1, \dots, n \cdot 2^n - 1.$$

$$E_n^{-n \cdot 2^n} = \{x \in \bar{E} \mid f(x) \leq -n\}, E_n^{n \cdot 2^n} = \{x \in \bar{E} \mid f(x) > n\}$$

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n \cdot 2^n - 1}^{n \cdot 2^n} \frac{k+1}{2^n} \chi_{E_n^k} \rightarrow f(x), \forall x$$

$\forall \bar{E}$ measurable, $\exists U_n$ open, $U_n \supseteq E$, $m^*(U_n \setminus \bar{E}) < \frac{1}{2^n}$.

$\exists F_n$ closed, $F_n \subseteq \bar{E}$, $m^*(E \setminus F_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$.

$$h_n(x) = \frac{d(x, F_n)}{d(x, F_n) + d(x, U_n^c)}$$

$$h_n|_{F_n} = 1, h_n|_{U_n^c} = 0 \Rightarrow h_n \rightarrow \chi_E \text{ a.e.}$$

Proof of the prop:

Egorov does NOT require $|f| < \infty$ a.e.

Case 1: $m(E) < \infty$.

$\forall k, \exists E_k, m(\bar{E} \setminus E_k) < \frac{1}{2^k}$, $g_m \Rightarrow h$ on E_k

$\exists F_m, m(\bar{E} \setminus F_m) < \frac{1}{2^m}$, $f_{n,m} \Rightarrow g_m$ on F_m

$m(\bar{E} \setminus E_k \cap \bigcap_{m=k}^{\infty} F_m^m) \leq m(\bar{E} \setminus \bigcap_{m=k}^{\infty} F_m^m) < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}$

\Rightarrow on $E_k \cap \bigcap_{m=k}^{\infty} F_m^m$, $\forall l \in \mathbb{N}, \exists M_{k,l}, \forall m \geq M, \exists N_{m,l}, \forall n \geq N$
 $|f_{m,n} - h| < \frac{1}{l}$

We can choose $M_{k,l+1}$ be a subsequence of $M_{k,l}$

$m_k = M_{k,k}, \forall n_k > N_{m_k,k}, |f_{m_k,n_k} - h| < \frac{1}{k}$ on $E_k \cap \bigcap_{m=k}^{\infty} F_m^m$

$\Rightarrow f_{m_k,n_k} \rightarrow h$ a.e. on \bar{E} .

Case 2 : $m(E) = \infty$

On $E \cap B(0, b)$, $\exists m_{n_k}^b$, s.t. $\forall n_{n_k}^b > N_{m_{n_k}^b, k}$, $f_{m_{n_k}^b, n_{n_k}^b} \rightarrow h$ a.e. as $k \rightarrow \infty$

We can choose $\{m_{n_k^{b+1}}^{b+1}\}_k$ as a subsequence of $\{m_{n_k}^b\}_k$

with $n_k^{b+1} > n_k^b$, and

have $\{n_{n_k^{b+1}}^{b+1}\}_k$ w.r.t. $m_{n_k^{b+1}}^{b+1}$. Since $n_{n_k^{b+1}}^{b+1}$ may larger than $n_{n_k^{b+1}}^b$,
so we change the value of $n_{n_k^{b+1}}$, s.t. $n_{n_k^{b+1}} = n_{n_k^{b+1}}^{b+1}$.

$n_b = n_b^b$ and we get a sequence $f_{m_{n_b}, n_{n_b}} \rightarrow h$ a.e.

Proof of "a.e. convergence is not a topological convergence":

$\exists f_k \rightarrow f$ in measure but not a.e. $\Rightarrow \exists U \ni f$, $|f_k \cap U^c| = \infty$

$\{f_{n_k}\} = \{f_k \cap U^c\}$, $\exists \{n'_k\} \subset n_k$, $f_{n'_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e.

$$f_{n,k} = \chi_{[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]} \quad f_{1,0}, f_{2,0}, f_{2,1}, f_{3,0}, f_{3,1}, f_{3,2}, \dots$$