LawyerPANE: Proficiency Assessment Network Extension for Trial Lawyers

CCS Concepts: • **Do Not Use This Code → Generate the Correct Terms for Your Paper**; *Generate the Correct Terms for Your Paper*; Generate the Correct Terms for Your Paper; Generate the Correct Terms for Your Paper.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Lawyer, Proficiency assessment, IRT

ACM Reference Format:
. 2018. LawyerPANE: Proficiency Assessment Network Extension for Trial Lawyers. In *Proceedings of Make sure to enter the correct conference title from your rights confirmation email (Conference acronym 'XX)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX

1 Introduction

2 Experiments

NeuralCDM [1]

Table 1. The experiments on the representation of lawyers and cases.

Methods	LCHR-small		
	Accuracy	RMSE	AUC
NeuralCDM	0.809	0.377	0.778
LRN	0.809	0.377	0.778
LawyerPAN	0.809	0.377	0.778
LawyerGen	0.809	0.377	0.778
LawyerPT	0.784	0.399	0.702
LawyerKG	0.792	0.388	0.701
LawyerAC	0.770	0.403	0.659
LawyerMTL	**0.821**	**0.361**	**0.823**
LawyerRank	0.803	0.380	0.769
LawyerCube	0.803	0.380	0.769

Author's Contact Information:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
© 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
Manuscript submitted to ACM

3 Applications

3.1 LawyerRec

3.2 LawyerDev

Acknowledgments

To Robert, for the bagels and explaining CMYK and color spaces.

Ethics and Privacy Statement

This section of your ACM work should discuss the potential societal risks that might result from its publication; two to three sentences related to the findings of your study, or new advancements made possible by their developed methods. The privacy and ethics statement should clearly address the broader impacts of their work as it relates to the authors' interpretation of privacy, fairness, safety, human rights, data sovereignty, or future misuse and any benefit/risk trade-off resulting from this research. We acknowledge that some papers may have minimal societal risks beyond those considered by institutional review boards, and the dimensions considered by any review of the user study design or dataset licenses could be provided in this statement.

References

[1] Fei Wang, Qi Liu, Enhong Chen, Zhenya Huang, Yuying Chen, Yu Yin, Zai Huang, and Shijin Wang. 2020. Neural Cognitive Diagnosis for Intelligent Education Systems. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.*

A Research Methods

A.1 Part One

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Morbi malesuada, quam in pulvinar varius, metus nunc fermentum urna, id sollicitudin purus odio sit amet enim. Aliquam ullamcorper eu ipsum vel mollis. Curabitur quis dictum nisl. Phasellus vel semper risus, et lacinia dolor. Integer ultricies commodo sem nec semper.

A.2 Part Two

Etiam commodo feugiat nisl pulvinar pellentesque. Etiam auctor sodales ligula, non varius nibh pulvinar semper. Suspendisse nec lectus non ipsum convallis congue hendrerit vitae sapien. Donec at laoreet eros. Vivamus non purus placerat, scelerisque diam eu, cursus ante. Etiam aliquam tortor auctor efficitur mattis.

B Online Resources

Nam id fermentum dui. Suspendisse sagittis tortor a nulla mollis, in pulvinar ex pretium. Sed interdum orci quis metus euismod, et sagittis enim maximus. Vestibulum gravida massa ut felis suscipit congue. Quisque mattis elit a risus ultrices commodo venenatis eget dui. Etiam sagittis eleifend elementum.

Nam interdum magna at lectus dignissim, ac dignissim lorem rhoncus. Maecenas eu arcu ac neque placerat aliquam. Nunc pulvinar massa et mattis lacinia.

Received 20 February 2007; revised 12 March 2009; accepted 5 June 2009